3

7

9

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

Defense of Marriage Act, 1 U.S.C. § 7 ("Section 3 of DOMA"), on which the United States Trustee's motions are based, is unconstitutional.

The United States Trustee's motion to dismiss and his objection to confirmation relied on Section 302 of the Bankruptcy Code, which permits the filing of a single bankruptcy petition by an individual and the individual's "spouse," and on Section 3 of DOMA, which limits the word "spouse" to a person of the opposite sex who is a husband or wife.

On February 23, 2011, the Attorney General notified Congress that the Executive Branch has determined that Section 3 of DOMA, as applied to same-sex couples who are legally married under state law, violates the equal protection component of the Fifth Amendment. Accordingly, the Department of Justice will no longer defend the constitutionality of Section 3 as applied to those couples. The Attorney General's February 23 letter stated that "the President has informed [the Department of Justice] that Section 3 of DOMA will continue to be enforced by the Executive Branch." The Attorney General noted that "this course of action respects the actions of the prior Congress that enacted DOMA, and it recognizes the judiciary as the final arbiter of the constitutional claims raised." The Attorney General further stated that the Department of Justice "attorneys will also notify the courts of our interest in providing Congress a full and fair opportunity to participate in the litigation in those cases."2

The Department of Justice has advised the House Bipartisan Legal Advisory Group (the "BLAG") of the pendency of this appeal, and the BLAG has responded that it does not intend to appear to present arguments in support of Section 3 of DOMA. The BLAG is actively participating in litigation in several other courts in which the constitutionality of Section 3 has been challenged. In light of the decision by the BLAG not to participate in this appeal and the availability of other judicial for for resolution of the constitutional question, the United States Trustee has determined

¹Letter from Eric H. Holder, Jr. to The Honorable John A. Boehner dated February 23, 2011, a copy of which is attached to the concurrently-filed Request for Judicial Notice as Exhibit "1".

²Id.

Cas	s ‡ 2:11-bk-17831-TD Doc 6	61 Filed 07/06/11 Entered 07/06/11 16:58:59 Desc in Document Page 3 of 5		
1	that it is not a necessary or appropriate expenditure of the resources of this Court and the parties to			
2	continue to litigate the appea	continue to litigate the appeal.		
3	The United States Trustee requested that Debtors agree to the withdrawal of the appeal, but			
4	Debtors refused. The United States Trustee requests that the court enter an order approving			
5	withdrawal of the appeal.			
6				
7	DATED: July 6, 2011	Respectfully submitted,		
8		PETER C. ANDERSON UNITED STATES TRUSTEE		
9		·) A A		
10		By: JUL M. STURTEVANT		
11		Assistant United States Trustee		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18	#			
19 20				
21				
22				
23	1			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		- 3 -		

Cas	e 2:11-bk-17831-TD Doc 61 Filed 07/06/11 Entered 07/06/11 16:58:59 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 5				
1	PARTIES TO APPEAL:				
2	DEBTORS:				
3	Gene Douglas Balas 5702 Lindenhurst Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90036				
4					
5	Carlos A. Morales 5702 Lindenhurst Ave.				
6	Los Angeles, CA 90036				
7	DEBTORS' COUNSEL:				
8	Peter M. Lively				
9	The Law Offices of Peter M Lively 11268 Washington Blvd Ste 203				
10	Culver City, CA 90230-4647				
11	SPECIAL COUNSEL: Robert J Pfister				
12					
13	Klee Tuchin Bogdanoff & Stern LLP 1999 Ave of the Stars 39th Fl				
14	Los Angeles, Ca 90067				
15	CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE:				
16	Kathy A Dockery				
17	700 S. Flower Street, Suite 1950 Los Angeles, CA 90017				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25 26					
27					
21					

NOTE: When using this form to indicate service of a proposed order, **DO NOT** list any person or entity in Category I. Proposed orders do not generate an NEF because only orders that have been entered are placed on the CM/ECF docket.

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

725 S. Figueroa St., Suite #2600, Los Angeles, CA 90017

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document described as <u>UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S NOTICE OF MOTION</u>

AND MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER FED. R. BANKR. P. 8001(c) FOR RELATED RELIEF will be served or was served

(a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner indicated below:

- I. <u>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF")</u> Pursuant to controlling General Order(s) and Local Bankruptcy Rule(s) ("LBR"), the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On <u>7/6/11</u>, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email address(es) indicated below:
 - Kathy A Dockery (TR) efiling@CH13LA.com
 - M Jonathan Hayes jhayes@polarisnet.net
 - Peter M Lively PeterMLively2000@yahoo.com, PeterMLively2000@yahoo.com

RobeUnite	ert J Pfister rpfister@ktbslaw.com ed States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@us r K Yip hatty.yip@usdoj.gov	
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
On 7/6/11, adversary propostage prep	oceeding by placing a true and correct copy there	s) at the last known address(es) in this bankruptcy case or eof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, first class, ssed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a
DEBTOR: Ge	ene Douglas Balas & Carlos A. Morales, 5702 Li	ndenhurst Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90036
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
entity served entity(ies) by and/or email completed no): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBI personal delivery, or (for those who consented i as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a collater than 24 hours after the document is filed.	R, on 7/6/11, I served the following person(s) and/or n writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission declaration that personal delivery on the judge will be resy Bin outside Suite 1352, 255 E. Temple St., Los Angeles,
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
I declare und	er penalty of perjury under the laws of the United	d States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.
7/6/11 Date	PATTI BRUNDIGE Type Name	Signature
Late	Typo Ivanio	Olynataro