SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF QUEENS	
X	Index No:
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
	SUMMONS
	Plaintiff designates QUEENS
	County as the place of trial
Plaintiff(s),	
	The basis of venue is
-against-	Plaintiffs' residence and the site of incident
NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	Plaintiff(s) reside at XXXXXXXX
And the CITY OF NEW YORK,	XXXXXXX, New York XXXXX
	County of Queens
Defendant(s).	
X	
TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT(S):	

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your Answer, or, if the Complaint is not served with this Summons, to serve a Notice of Appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorneys within 20 days after the services of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you in the State of New York). In case of your failure to answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

Dated: Mount Sinai, New York XXXXXX XX, 200X

THE LAW OFFICE OF JEENA R. BELIL, P.C.

Defendant's address:

THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT **OF EDUCATION** 100 Church Street, Fourth Floor New York, NY 10007

By: _ JEENA R. BELIL, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff(s) 28 Avolet Court Mount Sinai, New York 11766 631-445-7380

File No.: XXXXXXX

THE CITY OF NEW YORK 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007

COUNTY OF QUEENS		
X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		Index No:
		VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	Plaintiff(s),	
-against-		
xxxxxxxxxxxxxx	XXXXXX,	
	Defendant(s).	
	Α	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s), by their attorneys, THE LAW OFFICE OF JEENA R. BELIL, P.C., as and for its complaint against defendants respectfully set forth the following:

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. At all times mentioned herein, infant Plaintiff is a person of good moral character
- 2. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiffs were and still are residents of the County of Queens, State of New York.
- 3. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION/THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION (hereinafter referred to as "THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION"), was and still is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the County of Queens, State of New York, with its principal office located at 52 Chambers Street, New York, NY 10007.
- 4. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, was and still is a school district duly organized and existing under the laws of the City of New York.

- 9. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, deferation of the County DSUPRA YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION, was and hard the county of Queens, State of New York, with its principal office located at 52 Chambers Street, New York, NY 10007.
- 10. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION, was and still is a school district duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York.
- 11. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION, was and still is a school district duly organized and existing under the laws of the City of New York.
- 13. Upon information and belief, that at all times mentioned herein, defendant THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION, operated a certain school building known at Public School #xx, located at xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.

- 16. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, was and still is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the law of the City of New York, State of New York, with its principal office located at 100 Church Street, New York, NY.
- 17. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, governs and controls the New York City Department of Education.
- 18. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, was and still is a school district duly organized and existing under the laws of the City of New York.

- 23. Upon information and belief, that at all times mentioned herein, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, maintained a certain school building known at Public School #xx, located at xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.

- 24. That on or about xxxxxxxxxx, while infant plaintiff was a student enrolled and automating Put JDSUPRA School #xx, infant plaintiff was assaulted, and/or maliferous infant plaintiff was assaulted, and/or maliferous infant plaintiff was assaulted and/or sexually raped and or sodomized by xxxxxxxxxxxxx.
- 25. Upon information and belief, that on or about xxxxxxxxxx, xxxxxxxxxx was a student enrolled and attending Public School # xx.
- 26. That on or about xxxxxxxxxx, at approximately xxxxx a.m., a teacher allowed infant plaintiff, xxxxxxxxxxx and another female student to deliver supplies to a classroom on a different floor.
- 27. That the teacher allowed the three students to use the school stairwell unsupervised to deliver the supplies to the classroom.
 - 28. That the teacher allowed the three students to come back to the classroom unsupervised.
- 29. That the teacher allowed the three students to use the school stairwell unsupervised to come back to the classroom.
- 30. That xxxxxxxxxxx then sexually molested, assaulted, raped and/or sodomized the infant plaintiff in the stairwell.
- 31. That the assault and/or malicious assault and/or sexual assault and/or sexual molestation and or rape/sodomy and resulting injuries to infant plaintiff were due to the negligence and carelessness of the defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees.
- 32. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendants negligently monitored and supervised xxxxxxxxxxxx.
- 33. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants undertook the care and supervision of infant plaintiff.
- 34. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants were responsible for the safety of the infant plaintiff.
- 35. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants failed to adequately provide for the care and safety of infant plaintiff.
- 36. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants negligently provided for the care and safety of infant plaintiff.
- 37. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants negligently supervised the infant plaintiff.
- 38. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, the injuries sustained by infant plaintiff were foreseeably related to the absence of supervision of said infant plaintiff.
- 39. The defendants failed to act with the reasonable care that a parent would have regarding the supervision and care of infant plaintiff.
- 40. That the negligence and carelessness of defendants was the proximate cause of the incidents herein.
- 41. That on or about the xxxx day of xxxx, 200x, plaintiff(s) presented a Notice of Claim in writing and sworn to on behalf of the plaintiff(s) herein, containing a statement of the name and address of said plaintiff(s) and their attorneys, the nature of the claim, the date when, the place where and the manner in which

the claim arose and the items of damages for injuries claimed to have been sustained, so far as the prediction by JOSUPRA be served on defendants THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF LEDUCATION THE NEW YORK CITY OF NEW YORK in compliance with General Municipal Law, Section 50-e.

- 42. That testimony of both Plaintiffs pursuant to General Municipal Law, Section 50-h was taken on xxxxxxxx x, 200x.
- 43. That solely by reason of defendants' negligence as aforesaid, infant plaintiff was caused to become sick, sore, lame and disabled, and so remained, sustaining other severe and permanent personal injuries, required medical aid and attention, suffered grievous physical and psychological pain and mental anguish, and will continue to suffer pain and anguish for a considerable time to come.
- 44. That as a result of the foregoing, plaintiffs have been damaged in the sum of an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts that would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 45. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained set forth in this complaint numbered "1" through "44", with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.
 - 46. That defendants have a duty to insure the safety of its students lawfully upon its premises.
 - 47. That on or about xxxxxxxxxx, defendants negligently supervised infant plaintiff.
 - 48. That on or about xxxxxxxxxx, defendants negligently supervised the students.
- 49. That on or about xxxxxxxxxxx, defendants negligently failed to have proper safeguards in place to insure proper supervision of the students whose care was entrusted to the school and failed to take proactive measures.
 - 50. Defendants wantonly disregarded the safety of its students, and the infant plaintiff in particular.
- 51. That defendants have engaged in a negligent, careless and reckless manner, without regard for the safety of its students and the infant plaintiff in particular.
- 52. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiffs have been damaged in a sum which exceeds the jurisdictional limitations of all lower courts, which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 53. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained set forth in this complaint numbered "45" through "52", with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth at length herein.
- 55. That as a result of the foregoing, plaintiff has been deprived of the services, society, and companionship of her daughter, infant plaintiff herein; has suffered mental anguish, sleeplessness and anxiety as a result of her daughter's suffering.

- 57. That as a result of the foregoing, plaintiff has incurred actual expenses which would otherwise be unnecessary but for the negligence of defendants.
- 58. That as a result of the foregoing, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts, which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against the defendants in the sum of an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts that would otherwise have jurisdiction over all causes of action, together with the costs and disbursements of this action and interest from the date of verdict rendered thereon.

Dated: Mount Sinai, New York xxxxxxx xx, 200x

Jeena R. Belil, Esq. The Law Office of Jeena R. Belil, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiffs 28 Avolet Court Mount Sinai, New York 11766 631-445-7380

File No.: xxxxxxxx