UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk Phone: (312) 435-5850 www.ca7.uscourts.gov

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF MANDATE

December 2, 2009

To:

Laura A. Briggs
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Southern District of Indiana
United States Courthouse
Indianapolis, IN 46204-0000

	JAMES M. HARDESTY, Plaintiff - Appellant
No.: 09-2413	v.
	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Defendant - Appellee

Originating Case Information:

District Court No: 1:07-cv-01396-LJM-DML Southern District of Indiana, Indianapolis Division District Judge Larry J. McKinney

Herewith is the mandate of this court in this appeal, along with the Bill of Costs, if any. A certified copy of the opinion/order of the court and judgment, if any, and any direction as to costs shall constitute the mandate.

RECORD ON APPE	AL STATUS:	No record to be returned
NOTE TO COUNSEL:		
		ve been filed in the above-entitled cause, they are s notice. Exhibits not withdrawn during this period
Please acknowledge recei	pt of these documents or	n the enclosed copy of this notice.
Received above mar the Seventh Circuit.	ndate and record, if a	ny, from the Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals fo
Date:		Received by:
12/02/09		Theresa M. amato
form name: c7_Mandate (fe	orm ID: 135)	

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk Phone: (312) 435-5850 www.ca7.uscourts.gov

CERTIFIED COPY

A True Copy

Teste:

Deputy Clerk

Of the United States

Court of Appeals for the

Seventh Circuit

ORDER

December 2, 2009

BEFORE

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, Chief Judge

	JAMES M. HARDESTY, Plaintiff - Appellant	
No.: 09-2413	v.	
	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Defendant - Appellee	
Originating Case Information:		

District Court No: 1:07-cv-01396-LJM-DML Southern District of Indiana, Indianapolis Division District Judge Larry J. McKinney

Upon consideration of the APPELLEE'S MOTION FOR REMAND PURSUANT TO SEVENTH CIRCUIT RULE 57, filed on November 30, 2009, by counsel for the appellant,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is **GRANTED**. In light of the district court's indication of its willingness to modify its judgment, this appeal is **REMANDED** to the district court for further proceedings. The parties are reminded that a new notice of appeal must be filed by any party dissatisfied with the judgment as modified. *See* Cir. R. 57. The mandate in this appeal shall issue forthwith.