http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=12057f09-4cb6-418a-a320-pa99aec53e26

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MARYLAND FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY JUVENILE DIVISION

IN RE:

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]

Hearing Date

Petition No:

...Respondent

# RESPONSE AND MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO STATE'S REQUEST TO WAIVE JUVENILE JURISDICTION

The Respondent, [RESPONDENT FIRST LAST NAME], by and through counsel, Patrick J. Hoover, Esq., and PATRICK HOOVER LAW OFFICES provides the following response and memorandum in opposition to the State's Petition of Waiver to Adult Court, and states the following:

#### STATEMENT OF REASONS TO OPPOSE WAIVER TO ADULT COURT

1. Respondent, [RESPONDENT FIRST LAST NAME] (hereinafter "[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]"), has no prior record of adjudication in any forum or any jurisdiction, much less criminal conviction in this or any other jurisdiction; has graduated this past Jurith his Maryland High School Diploma, notwithstanding his many years of specialication instruction; remains living at home under close supervision by both parents, remains in treatment with his psychiatrist and therapist, and is fully more at through the direction of his psychiatrist.

- 2. Victim and witness testimony descrit [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s role in the assault as minimal, with no physical force employed other than the alleged attempt to put hands into the victim's postkvictim and witness testimony described [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] as having fled the scene during the attack; and police reports described [RESPONDENTIRST NAME] as the first to surrender after being stopped by the police and entirely cooperative with the police.
- 3. Psychological reports, behavioral parong reports, and Department of Juver ile Services (DJS) reports all describe SPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s overall improvement and change in personal by havior and self-awareness since the incident; and describe [RESPONDENTFIRST NAME] and his family's remorse over the incident in which [RESPONDENTFIRST NAME] is allegedly involved.
- 4. In spite of two hospitalizations in 1999 and 2000, DJS did not implement the Psychiatric Institute of Washington's or memmended treatment plan in 2000 as part of their informal supervision over [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]. See DJS Transfer Investigation Report page 4.
- 5. In spite of the family's insurance afidancial restrictions for [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s treatment, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s family have actively sought to place [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] in outpatient treatment and have sought special education interventions to help their son; [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s progress in those programs have been well-documented.
- 6. It would be illogical in the extreme staggest, as seen in the State's petition of waiver, that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is an unfit candidate for rehabilitation through the services of the paile court. The juvenile court has not

never had jurisdiction over [RESPONDENFIRST NAME]. The juvenile court has therefore never had the opportunity to provide [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] with any potential services, supervision; our to ordered treatment. Because the Court has never had [RESPONDENFIRST NAME] under supervision or in court-ordered treatment, to suggest the case be transferred to adult court completely ignores the most important fact of the case.

- 7. There has never been court-or deteatment for [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME], nor has there been a meaningful treatment plan in place by DJS in spite of [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s well-documented mental health problems. In fact, DJS chose to overlook the strongoreamendation of his then medical provider, when in 2000, he was hospitalized and discharged with specific recommendations for aftercare, which DJS chose not to incorpoirates informal supervision at the intake level then underway.
- 8. In the past, prior contacts involvining formal supervision through DJS, each described an offense for which [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was inappropriately charged. For instantine, description of False Report in 1999 n fact was anything but a false report. Thie DJS contact involving assault entailed no more than schoolyard incidents elevated inappropriately. Lastly, the weapon on school property offense of Septem 20207 consisted of [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] being in possession of a small penknife on campus.
- 9. DJS report states that an appropriate treatment plan can be implemented in the juvenile court system, in spite[6fESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s current age.

- 10. DJS report describes [RESPONDENT RST NAME] as being immature for his age.
- 11. The DJS transfer report states that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has demonstrated the ability to follow orderanteresponsibility, and has a strong family support system that would be compliant with any treatment plan ordered by the juvenile court system. See DJS Transferentiation Report page 8.

# STATEMENT OF FACTS

Owsley family history, milieu, and mental health:

[RESPONDENT FIRST LAST NAME] was born on and three incident, he was seventeen years and eight months of age. He is currently eighteen years and three months of age. As a toddler, [RESPONEDNT FIRST NAME] suffered from cognitive developmental delays. His birth was absent and his mother did not marry her current husband, who [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] views as a father, until 1995. Between 1990 and 1995, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s mother was involved with a man who was physically and emotionally abusive to both her and physically abusive to [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s medical records indicate that this is a contributing factor to his behavior.

In the third grade, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was transferred into a special education program in order to metest education needs in light to cognitive delays and emotional disturbance disability. Although [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has been involved with Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) in the pass such incidents have such that the court is the court of the court in the pass such incidents have such that the court is the court incidents have such that the court is the court of the court incidents have such that the court is the court incident incidents have such that the court is the court incident incidents have such that the court incident incident

supervision and were resolved at DJS Intaktelitionally, in each past contact, [RESPONDEN]
FIRST NAME] successfully completed all conditions required of him by DJS.

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s actions that led to past informal contact at DJS are attributed to [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s emotional medical disorder. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] currently receives medical treatmemonsisting of therapy and medication, newly designed and implement since the date of then ding event and starting in January of 2008. However, in spite of the Psychiatric InstituteWashington's recommended treatment plan, after [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s second hospitalization i , stating that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] needed a long-term intensive residel treatment program, DJS did not implement the recommendation for [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]. See DJS Transfer Investigation page 4. Because of insurance restrictioned finances, his family was only Report able to gain available treatment through the pustoling of system and through outpatient treatment. This failure of potentially more effective services occurred despite the family's request of the DJS intake officer to order more intensive treetmfor [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME], stating that by obtaining such treatment order through the Depent, the Owsley's health insurance plan had agreed to fund such more intensive servicesse the so see Children Of Color With Mental Health Problems: Stuck In All The Wrong Place Prof. Leviton, Susan, University of Maryland School Of Law, Spring 2002, 2 Margins 13, at 24-25, describing how African-American children are rhuch less likely to receive specialty services.

Since the age of eight, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has been receiving outpatient therapy and medication management. His initial godiosis, which lasted until this year, was for Oppositional Defiant Disorder and Mood Disorder hroughout his childhood until present, he has been prescribed Adderall, Clonidine, Depakotsperdal, Welbutrin, and Zyprexa, with mixed

results. At the age of nine and again at age ten, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was hospitalized to deal with his aggression attodfind a more suitable treatmemethod. Most notably, from October of to January the peritodwhich the incident occurred, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] had ceased receiving counseling and taking medication because his counselor relocated to California.

Since the incident in which [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has been charged, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has been receiving therapy and medication management from Capital Mental Health Associates. There, Dr. Robert Hunt changed [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s diagnosis to Bipolar Disorder and pressed Lamictal, a psychotropic medication directed at treatment of bipolar disorder. Accordito Dr. Hunt, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has made excellent progress in these few months, both from the medication change and from the counseling. Dr. Hunt describes [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] as experiencing a newfound understanding of his psyche, as starting to spesitive role models, as improving his cognitive thinking skills, and as being able to identify and hold future goals. Dr. Hunt has also observed positive behavioral changes in [RESPONDENTERST NAME]'s affect and mood since beginning new medication and therapy treatment, for the first time in his life, appropriate to his medical diagnosis of bipolar disorder.

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has been successfully employed part-time since June of 2006. He successfully graduated/jume 2008 and received his Manydahigh school diploma. He has recently been admitted to Prince Georgensmounity College and received a partial scholarship towards another college. He voluntarily attethets Take Charge Behavity foodification program in order to improve his decision-makingillsk where the program director has noted [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s improvement in his understanding of the consequences of his

actions and has identified [RESPONDENT FIRST NME]'s strong interestand efforts in selfimprovement.

In addition to his psychiatrist, his program counselor and his school's report that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has made and continues to make significant progress towards positive change, all describe [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] as having expressed remorse and guilt for his alleged role in the assaul December 2007. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has also been able to identify his tendency to viol people he identified as former friends, and [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is able to describe how their influence affected his judgment and decision-making skills. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is now able to describe the problems that he encountered from following a group of individuiving in his area who had no real goals or ambitions in their lives. All reports, including [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s own self-reports, describe [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] as seekingetter role models for friendship, and that at this time, when placed in a milieu with positive role models, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] gravitates towards positive individuals instead of negative role models.

: Victim [VICTIM NAME] and witness [WITNESS NAME] audio statements to police.

On \_\_\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ in Silver Spring, Maryland, [VICTIM NAME], the victim (hereinafter "[VICTIM NAME]"), was walking to the apartment of [WITNESS NAME] (hereinafter "[WITNESS NAME]"). As he passed by a white Ford he saw a number of young men in and abdute car and was able to describe of them down to the length of hair worn and the articles of clothing worn by each. The specificity with which the victim described the young men who assaulted him isnippoytant insofar as his description makes clear that [RESPONDENT FIRST LAST NAME]'s particip ation in the event consisted of (1) presence

at the scene, (2) intervention only to try to separate the assailant and victim, and (3) flight soon thereafter while the assault was getting underway and continued in his absence.

According to the police report and according to the sole person to hit [VICTIM NAME]. The other individual participating with the main actor, [PARTICIPANT], was identified as [PARTICIPANT] (hereinafter "[PARTICIPANT]").

When [VICTIM NAME] entered the foyer ofthe building, he was assaulted first by [PARTICIPANT]. [PARTICIPANT] grabbed [VICTIM NAME] by the arm and began to punch [VICTIM NAME] repeatedly. See [VICTIM NAME] audio interview at 4.05 minutes. [PARTICIPANT] then joined the struggle by trying to dig and rip [VICTIM NAME]'s pants pockets. The respondent and counsel, his pareouts, sel undersigned and his treatment providers are unanimous in their position that the event involving the assault of Mr. [VICTIM NAME] was serious, notwithstanding the that no medical injuries to Mr. [VICTIM NAME] are reported.

However, the facts taken from the statementate victim Mr. [VICTIM NAME] as well as the police report generated following thent all make clear that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] participation in the event was minimal. This easily seen by review of the victim's statement, where it is heard that at no time did [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] strike or otherwise batter the victim. The fact of [REONDENT FIRST NAME]'s peripheral involvement cannot be overemphasized. A witness to then, [WITNESS NAME], who lived in the apartment and witnessed the assault by [PARPANT] and [PARTICIPANT] and who saw the main actor [PARTICIPANT] in the midst of the assault against the victim [VICTIM NAME] states in her audio statement the events, in the enclosed cd audio file containing the [WITNESS]

NAME] interview from 13:30 to 14:20 minutes, that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was nowhere to be seen. She also states in a stateon@filicer Miller that "I'm positive on the third guy. He was outside following us. He didn'tlood and come into the partment." See page 84 of State's Discovery, Statement of PO3 D.Miller #205

[WITNESS NAME] only saw two assailants with she opened her door, and she identified them as [PARTICIPANT] and [PARTICIPANT]. See the enclosed cd audio file containing the [WITNESS NAME] audio interview from 1330 to 14:20 minutes. She did not see [RESPONDENT FIRST LAST NAME]. See the enclosed cd audio file containing the [WITNESS NAME] audio interview from 13:30 to 14:20 minutes. [WITNESS NAME] stated that she saw that [PARTICIPANT] was holding to [VICTIM NAME] and hitting him and that [PARTICIPANT] was standing behind [PARTICIPANT], but not touching [VICTIM NAME]. See the enclosed cd audio file containting [WITNESS NAME] audio interview from 13:30 to 14:20 minutes.

[WITNESS NAME]'s cousin, who was inside [WITNESS NAME]' apartment and witnessed the adda then immediately opened the front door. According to [WITNESS NAME], her cousin saw [PARTICIPANT] and [PARTICIPANT] casually walking out of the foyer, but did see [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME], who had long since fled the scene. See the encloseaudid file containing [WITNESS NAME] audio interview at 14:54 to 15:24. According to audio interview, [WITNESS NAME]' cousin followed [PARTICIPANT] and [PARTICIPANT] and asked them "what happened?", upon which [PARTICIPANT] replied, "Nothing," and the two assailants continued walking to the white car. Again, no evidence or sight of [RESINDENT FIRST LAST NAME] was reported by [WITNESS NAME] or her cousin.

Within an hour of the incident, the suspectshive was stopped by several police. According to the police report, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was the first suspect to surrender to the police after the car was stopped. The suspects were taken to the police station on New Hampshire and Merrimack streets, where vitotim [VICTIM NAME] and witness [WITNESS NAME] identified each suspect and identified threle in the attack upon [VICTIM NAME]. See the enclosed cd audio file containing the [WITNESS NAME] audio interview at 17:36 to 18 32 minutes. [WITNESS NAME] specifically identified the two assailants she witnessed as being [PARTICIPANT] and [PARTICIPANT], see the enclosed cd audio file containing the [WITNESS NAME] audio interview at 17:36 to 18:32 minute [VICTIM NAME] specifically identified [PARTICIPANT] as the one who hit him, identified [PARTICIPANT] as the one digging at his front pockets. See the enclosed cd audio of ite [VICTIM NAME] audio interview from 3:37 to 3:50 minutes.

#### ARGUMENT

The arguments of the Respondent may be summarized as follows:

1. Burden of proof, preponderance of the evidence

The State bears the burden of proof that the Roandent is unfit for rehabilitation and thus, must be waived to adult court jurisdiction. eT State must show that the preponderance of the evidence weighs in favor of waiver and batter juvenile. See In re: Ricky B.3 Md. App. 645, 406 A.2d 690. The preponderance of the evidences to be such that a judge would find it more

probable than not that the juvenile is urfor rehabilitation. See In re: Randolph Tat 112 (437 A.2d 230, 238).

Respondent asserts past psychological repasts and current achievements in education, therapy and behavioral programs; improved dissignation medication treatment; improved self-awareness of [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s mental illness; improved self-awareness of [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s cognitive thinking; [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has remained under increased parental supervision restrictions; [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s remorse over his alleged involvement in incident, and [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s demonstrated goals for achieving higher education and achieving personal goals support a judge's decision that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is a good candidate for rehabilitation in the juvenile court system.

2. Weight of Amenability to Rehalbilitain Evaluating the 5 factors d Weight of Role of Juvenile Participation in Final Waiver Determination

The amenability of treatment at the juvenilædeis perhaps the most critical factor of all, Matter of Trader; State of Maryland v. Richard Trader17 (315 A.2d 528, 538). Amenability to rehabilitation cannot be disessed simply because the naturate of offense is extremely grievous.

Matter of Diane Johnsonat 712 (304 A.2d 859, 863). The five legislative factors must be considered and properly weighed in relation to catalen and relative to the legislative purpose. Id at 712 (863).

# Age and Maturity

While [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was four months shy of turning 18, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s mental illness and emotional disability cause [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] to behave less matterly and less independently for his age and,

when not on proper medication, cause him to be irritable and to impulsively act first and think second. Additionally, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s relationship with the young adults who participated in the incident is similar to that of a younger sibling following elder siblings out of admiration and a wish to emulate in order to fit in and belong.

# Degree of Juvenile Participation

In addition to the fivefactors to consider for waiving jurisdiction to adult court, the Court should consider the degree of the juvenile is cipation. See Matter of Eugene Flowers 416 (283 A.2d 430, 432). [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s role in the incident in was not that of a ring-leader, but as boforer: [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] did not attack the victim, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] did not encourage nor help the attacker strike the victim, and [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] voluntarily and rapidly left the scene once he determined an assault was underway and beefgre the other assailants walked away. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s actions during the incident resemble a nervous child who is not sure what to do, but tries to look good to himenids. It is clear from the victim and witness testimony that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s actions were not the actions of a determined actor with intent to gain possession of property. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s diagnosis of bipolar disorder and special education code larisweDHD, point to an impulsive behavior pattern and a high probability that [RESPONDENT FIRS NAME]'s actions were unplanned and based upon responding to a chaotic situation, rather than deliberative behavior suggesting criminal intent.

Finally, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was the first to surrender to the police upon seizure, showing that he was aware of the **textibilalt** he was involved in and was not willing to exacerbate the situation. It also demonstrates [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s respect for

authority figures, which can also be seenthing video interview at the police station.

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] speaks in a deferential and respectful tone to the police detective.

Thus, all the above facts demonstrate that \$RONDENT FIRST NAME]'s role in the incident was no more than minor from start to finish.

# Amenability to Rehabilitation

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is diagnosed withbipolar disorder, which is among the most treatable of the psychiatric illnesses. Children Of Color With Mental Health Problems: Stuck In All The Wrong Places 15. In spite of [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] not having received the recommended treatment from DJS after his habitation in the family thereafter sought better treatment for his mental illness and emotionisability; and his parents actively sought to place [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] in outpatient treatment and have sought special education interventions to help their son.

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has responded very positively to the current medication regime with improvements in both affectnoentration and in mood. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is active in therapy and in his behaviourabgram and is learning how to better understand the consequences of his actions. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has able to identify his tendency to follow people identified as former friends, and [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is able to describe how their influence able chis judgment and disjon-making skills. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is seeking more positive role models, and looks forward to attending college, where he will be interacting outliber students who have milar goals in life. More importantly, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] fully understands and agrees that he will need lifetime treatment for his mental illness.

The amenability of treatment at the juveniledeis perhaps the most critical factor of all, Matter of Trader; State of Maryland v. Richard Trader 17 (538). [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has made and continues to make signific progress towards positive change since the incident. All reports from his doctors, behavail treatment team and the DJS report describe [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] as being a productive member of society, as being employed, and with immediate future plans to attend college.

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is reported as having expressed remorse and guilt for his role in the assault is a contract, in Matter of Traderthe court noted that the juvenile was not remorseful. More notative RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has never been under the supervision of the court in his relitation, nor had DJS followed the recommendations of the mental health professionals when [RESNDENT FIRST NAME] was discharged from the hospital ir and a clear that the juvenile had many opportunities through the court for rehabilitation those multiple failed attempts demonstrated that the juvenile was a poor candidate for rehabilitation.

The DJS transfer report states that an appropriate treatment plan can be implemented in the juvenile court system, in spite of [RESPONDENFIRST NAME]'s current age. See DJS report at page 10. While the DJS report describes [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] as being immature for his age, which is appropriative his mental health diagnosis, the same DJS transfer report states that [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has demostrated the ability to follow orders, learn responsibility, and has a strong family supportestysthat would be compliant with any treatment plan ordered by the juvenile court systemee DJS Transfer Investigation Rep page 8.

Thus, transfer to adult court would be inappriate in this immediate matter: Neither DJS nor the court has demonstrated that [RESPONDT FIRST NAME] is unfit for rehabilitation, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] has demonstrated the ability, capacity, and more importantly, motivation to learn from his actions; [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] expresses remorse for his involvement; [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] is responding successfully acnew medication and therapy regime; and [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] demonstrates a strong record of responsible citizenship, again, single when he first began to received necessary medication and psychiatric services.

# The Nature of the Offense, The Public Safety

Amenability to rehabilitation cannot be dismissed simply because the nature of the offense is extremely grievous. Matter of Diane Johnson 12 (304 A.2d 859, 863). In Matter of Johnson the juvenile, who had not been pionesly involved in any court mattewas directly responsible for the vehicular manslaughter of one child and infortywo children. The incident involved grievous harm to the victims and decease wheteas their families. Nonetheless, the appellate court ruled that the nature of the offense, however grievous pnot dismiss the juvenile's amenability to rehabilitation. If the juvenile can be rehabilitated, weight of rehabilitation can be given greater weight than the weight of the nature of the offense.

In contrast with this immediate matter, thout the physical risk to the victim could have been more serious, it is highly improbable that the ault would have resulted in a loss of human life. There were no weapons involved, and the victim was injured in any way that required even a doctor visit, much less resulting in signatific physical trauma. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] himself did not strike or injure the victim.

[RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] did not cause the victim's injuries. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] was not the planner nor the instator of the incident. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] did not physically beat the victim. Threitness stated that she never saw [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME].

Furthermore, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] himself did not behave in a way that demonstrated a total disregard for the law, baltaved in a way that seembled nervousness and uncertainty. [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] stood as de and then fled the scene while the attack continued. Significantly, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] demonstrates cognisance and respect when it is seen that he was the first to surre trother police after being stopped and fully cooperative with the authorities.

While the incident in which [RESPONDENTFIRST NAME] is accused of is a serious offense, the victim makes clear in his recorded statement that is was not [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] but rather another individual who inflicted thollows. The record also makes clear that the victim did not go to the hospital as a result those assault, and no weapons were used during the assault. Furthermore, the DJS Transfer the stigation describes [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME] as a productive member of society who recently uated from high the sool, is employed, and voluntarily attends a behavior modification proof as well as participating in weekly therapy supervised by his psychiatrist while remaining to the and evidencing ignificant improvement through specialized medication therapy. In sum, [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s alleged involvement with the assault pales in consequent to the weight of evidence showing [RESPONDENT FIRST NAME]'s amenability to rehabilitation.

#### CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, together with those reasons which may be articulated at the hearing to be held in this atter, the Respondent respetitive quests this Honorable Court to determine that the matter before the Court riemia the Juvenile Court venue where it properly belongs.

#### CASE LAW AND REFERENCES

# Court, DJS jurisdiction over juveniles who turn 18:

In Re Appeal No. 125832 Md. App. 225; 360 A.2d 27 (1976).

The court has jurisdiction, and as such, can order services, for children who committed a delinquent act under the age of 18 but then reach age 18 by the time the matter comes to court. This jurisdiction is given to the juvenile court over persons up to age 21. At 239 (360 A.2d 27, 33).

# Burden of proof:

In re: Ricky B., 43 Md. App. 645; 406 A.2d 690 (1979).

When the State seeks a waiver of jurisdiction from juvenile court, the State must show by a preponderance of the eviderateathweighing of the five factors tilts in favor of waiver and, patently, against the juvenile.

# Preponderance of the evidence:

In re: Randolph T. 292 Md. 97; 437 A.2d 230 (1981).

Maryland statutes require that a judge find it more probable than not that the child is an unfit subject for juvenile rehabilitative measures. At 112 (437 A.2d 230, 238).

# Type of evidence to be considered by the Judge:

Hazell v. Maryland12 Md. App. 144; 277 A.2d 639 (1971).

The court is not bound to accept the member mendations of the Master, or of the Department of Juvenile Services. At 175 (A.2d 639, 643).

In re Murphy, 15 Md. App. 434, 291 A.2d 867 (1972).

The court is not obliged to follow the recommendations of the evaluation committee of the Department of Juvenile Services 442 (291 A.2d 867, 871).

Matter of Eugene Flowers 3 Md. App. 414; 283 A.2d 430; (1971).

In considering mental and physical condition of the child, the child's amenability to treatment, the nature of the offense and the safety of the public, the degree of the juvenile's participation will be a factor. At 416 (283 A.2d 430, 432).

Police reports or juvenile petitions attormay not furnish sufficient evidence for the court to adequately consider the above-mentioned factors. At 416 (283 A.2d

# Weight given to each of the five factors:

430, 432).

Matter of Diane Johnson 7 Md. App. 705, 304 A.2d 859 (1973).

Amenability to rehabilitation cannot besomissed simply because the nature of the offense is extremely grievous. The five legislative factors must be considered and properly weighed in relation to each other relative to the legislative purpose. At 712 (304 A.2d 859, 863).

In re: Randolph T, 292 Md. 97; 437 A.2d 230 (1981).

Maryland statutes require that a judge find it probable, after weighing all five factors, that the child is an unfit subjetor juvenile rehabilitative measures. At 112 (437 A.2d 230, 238).

Matter of Trader; State of Maryland v. Richard Trader Md. App. 1; 315 A.2d 528 (1974).

Judge discusses the main reason why waiver was granted - prior treatments ordered by the Court failed "The amenability of treatment at the juvenile level is perhaps the most critical factor of all...This respectent has unfortunately a very lengthy history...[the Court has] tried various types of supervision. They never work." At 17 (315 A.2d 528, 538).

Judge then describes the secondary reason why waiver was <u>granted</u> - lack of <u>remorse</u> "The report states that [Respondent] feels no concern, no remorse, no feeling of guilt about the behavior..." At 19 (315 A.2d 528, 539).

Mental Health, Issues From Not Receiving Proper Mental Health Services

Children Of Color With Mental Health Problems: Stuck In All The Wrong Pladesof. Leviton, Susan, University of Maryland Sociol Of Law, Spring 2002, 2 Margins 13.

Bipolar disorder is among the most treatable of the psychiatric illnesses. With the correct medication the number and intensity of episodes can be greatly decreased. At 15.

African American children with mental alleh problems are identified and referred at the same rates as other children, but are much less likely to actually receive specialty mental health services or psychotropic medications. At 24-25.

#### **Enclosures**

In re: [RESPONDENT FIRST LAST NAME], \_\_\_\_\_, cd audio file, excerpts of victim and witness testimony from State's Discovery.

Reference letters, summary letters of treatment, grades, scholarship information.

Respectfully submitted,
PATRICK HOOVER LAW OFFICES

Patrick J. Hoover 600 Jefferson Plaza Suite 308 Rockville, MD 20852 301-424-5777

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Document hosted at JDSUPRA http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=12057f09-4cb6-418a-a320-pa99aec53e26

I hereby certify that a copy the foregoing wasled, first class, postage paid to Assistant	ant
State's Attorney Anthony Simpson, Montgom@punty State's Attorney's Office, 50 Maryland	t
Avenue, Rockville, MD 20850.	

Patrick J. Hoover

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FOR MONTGOMERY COUNT JUVENILE DIVISION		)a99ae(
IN RE:  [RESPONDENT FIRST LAST NAME] Responden	Petition No: Hearing Date	
<u>ORDER</u>		
Opposition to State's Petition to 20 hereby;	consideration of spendent's Response and Memorandum to Waive Juven lerisdiction, it is this day of,  ate's Petition to Waive venile Jurisdiction shall be and hereby	
	Judge	