



Thompson Coburn LLP |One US Bank Plaza | St. Louis, MO 63101

Employers Beware: Missouri Supreme Court Heightens the Risk of Dismissing Employees Who Filed Workers Compensation Claims

The Missouri Supreme Court recently overruled the long-standing "exclusive" causation standard for worker's compensation retaliation claims and held that an employee only needs to demonstrate that filing a worker's compensation claim was a "contributing factor" to an employer's discrimination or adverse action. In *Templemire v. W&M Welding, Inc,* -- S.W.3d --, (Mo. 2014), No. SC 93132, 2014 WL 1464574, (Mo. April 15, 2014), the "contributing factor" standard turns on whether an illegal factor played any role in the decision to discharge the employee rather than the exclusive reason. Put another way, imagine an employer with 10 reasons for terminating an employee—nine of the reasons are lawful and one is because the employee filed a worker's compensation claim. In that scenario, the firing is illegal discrimination.

The major take-away

The Missouri Supreme Court's ground-breaking decision makes it significantly easier for employees to prove worker's compensation retaliation. Employees now may sue and recover if they can prove their worker's compensation claim was "a factor" in the decision to dismiss, just like in discrimination cases under the Missouri Human Rights Act (MHRA). Therefore, we anticipate a resurgence in these claims. Employers should proceed with caution and seek legal counsel when terminating or taking any other adverse employment action against an employee who has filed a worker's compensation claim, particularly while the claim is pending. Consideration of an employee's worker's compensation claim history should not be taken into account in making an employment decision. Likewise, employers should be very careful to refrain from negative comments or treatment towards employees who make worker's compensation claims or receive work accommodations. Thompson Coburn will continue to keep you advised of any developments. If you have questions regarding the above-referenced decision, or other labor or employment issues, please contact your Thompson Coburn attorney or a member of Thompson Coburn's Labor and Employment Group.

Timothy J. Sarsfield
Charles M. Poplstein
Clifford A. Godiner
Krissa P. Lubben
Laura M. Jordan
Hope K. Abramov
Arthur B. Sternberg
Susan M. Lorenc
Michael W. Duffee
Joyce M. Pratt

314-552-6432 314-552-6095 314-552-6433 314-552-6389 314-552-6469 314-552-6496 312-580-2235 312-580-2235 312-580-2324 312-580-2218 314-552-6319

tsarsfield@thompsoncoburn.com cpoplstein@thompsoncoburn.com cgodiner@thompsoncoburn.com klubben@thompsoncoburn.com ljordan@thompsoncoburn.com habramov@thompsoncoburn.com asternberg@thompsoncoburn.com slorenc@thompsoncoburn.com mduffee@thompsoncoburn.com

Thompson Coburn LLP Chicago | Los Angeles | St. Louis | Southern Illinois | Washington, D.C. www.thompsoncoburn.com

This newsletter is intended for information only and should not be considered legal advice. If you desire legal advice for a particular situation you should consult an attorney. The ethical rules of some states require us to identify this as attorney advertising material. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements.