King & Spalding

Client Alert

Privacy & Information Security Practice Group

April 23, 2014

Kentucky Enacts Data Breach Notification Law New Law Limits Cloud Service Providers' Collection of Student Data

Kentucky is the 47th state, along with the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, to enact a data breach notification law requiring business entities to notify individuals of security breaches involving personally identifiable information. Kentucky's law also aims to protect student data by imposing new limits on cloud service providers.

House Bill 232, signed into law by Governor Steve Beshear earlier this month, requires any entity transacting business in Kentucky that reasonably believes a data breach has caused or will cause identity theft or fraud to notify all affected Kentucky residents whose personally identifiable information is or may be compromised. The Kentucky law defines personally identifiable information as "an individual's first name or first initial and last name" in combination with one of the following three elements: (1) Social Security number; (2) driver's license number; (3) account number, credit or debit number, in combination with any required security code, access code, or password permit access to an individual's financial account.²

According to the new Kentucky law, in the event of a data breach, written or electronic notice must be provided "in the most expedient time possible and without unreasonable delay." Notification may be delayed, however, if law enforcement determines that notification would impede a related criminal investigation.³ If the number of notifications exceeds 1,000 individuals, notice must also be provided to the major credit reporting agencies.⁴

While Kentucky's data breach notification requirements are fairly typical compared to other states, the law imposes a relatively unheard of restriction on cloud computing service providers. The new law regulates cloud computing service providers' storage of educational institution student data for students in kindergarten to grade twelve. Student data includes the student's "name, email address, email messages, postal address, phone number, and any documents, photos or unique identifiers relating to the student." Section 2 of House Bill 232 prohibits cloud computing service providers from processing student data "for any purpose other than providing, improving, or maintaining the integrity of its cloud computing services" without express parental permission. The law permits limited disclosure for the purpose of assisting an educational institution with

For more information, contact:

Phyllis B. Sumner +1 404 572 4799 psumner@kslaw.com

> **Sarah E. Statz** +1 404 572 2813 sstatz@kslaw.com

Elizabeth K. Hinson +1 404 572 2714 bhinson@kslaw.com

King & Spalding *Atlanta*

1180 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3521 Tel: +1 404 572 4600 Fax: +1 404 572 5100

www.kslaw.com

Client Alert

research, as permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 ("FERPA"). The law further prohibits the use of student data in advertising or the sale of student data for any commercial purpose. In addition, any cloud computing service provider working with an educational institution serving students in kindergarten to grade twelve must "certify in writing" to the institution that it will comply with the provisions of Section 2. This new restriction on cloud computing service providers demonstrates a continuing trend to increase protection of the personal information of minors.

Kentucky's new law leaves only three other states—Alabama, South Dakota and New Mexico—without data breach notification laws, and proposed legislation in New Mexico was introduced earlier this year and recently passed the state House of Representatives. New Mexico's proposed law, if it passes, will impose rigorous timing requirements for notice to affected residents and the New Mexico Attorney General. Specifically, the proposed law requires notification to affected individuals within 10 days of discovering the incident and, if more than 50 New Mexico residents are impacted, requires notification to the New Mexico Attorney General within 10 days. Unlike many state data breach notification laws, the proposed New Mexico law provides for a private right of action for individuals to recover costs associated with the data breach and statutory damages.

While recent high-profile breaches have brought discussions of a potential federal breach notification law back to the forefront, states appear poised to continue to regulate in this area and are imposing increasingly strict standards on businesses that maintain personal information of their residents. To assist with the increasing challenge of complying with the myriad state data breach notification laws, clients should implement comprehensive incident response plans to ensure that internal policies and procedures allow the company to respond quickly and efficiently to a data security incident. Clients should also provide frequent training of all employees so that all members of the organization are on the lookout for potential breaches and know what to do in the event of a data security incident.

King & Spalding's Privacy and Information Security Practice

King & Spalding is particularly well equipped to assist clients in the area of privacy and information security law. Our Privacy & Information Security Practice regularly advises clients regarding the myriad statutory and regulatory requirements that businesses face when handling personal customer information and other sensitive information in the U.S. and globally. This often involves assisting clients in developing comprehensive privacy and data security programs, responding to data security breaches, complying with breach notification laws, avoiding potential litigation arising out of internal and external data security breaches, defending litigation, whether class actions brought by those affected by data breaches, third party suits, or government actions, and handling both state and federal government investigations and enforcement actions.

With more than 30 Privacy & Information Security lawyers in offices across the United States, Europe and the Middle East, King & Spalding is able to provide substantive expertise and collaborative support to clients across a wide spectrum of industries and jurisdictions facing privacy-based legal concerns. We apply a multidisciplinary approach to such issues, bringing together attorneys with backgrounds in corporate governance and transactions, healthcare, intellectual property rights, complex civil litigation, e-discovery, government investigations, government advocacy, insurance recovery, and public policy.

* * *

Celebrating more than 125 years of service, King & Spalding is an international law firm that represents a broad array of clients, including half of the Fortune Global 100, with 800 lawyers in 17 offices in the United States, Europe, the Middle East and Asia. The firm has handled matters in over 160 countries on six continents and is consistently recognized for the results it obtains, uncompromising commitment to quality and dedication to understanding the business and culture of its clients. More information is available at www.kslaw.com.

This alert provides a general summary of recent legal developments. It is not intended to be and should not be relied upon as legal advice. In some jurisdictions, this may be considered "Attorney Advertising."

King & Spalding

Client Alert

http://www.nmlegis.gov/Sessions/14%20Regular/bills/house/HB0224.pdf (last visited on Apr. 16, 2014); *see also* http://www.nmlegis.gov/Sessions/14%20regular/votes/HB0224HVOTE.pdf.

¹ See H.B. 232, § 1(1)(b), 2014 Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2014), available at http://www.lrc.ky.gov/record/14rs/HB232/bill.doc (last visited on Apr. 16, 2014).

² *Id.* § 1(1)(c).

³ *Id*. § 1(2).

⁴ *Id.* § 1(7).

⁵ *Id.* § 2(1)(f), 2014 Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2014).

⁶ *Id.* § 2(2), 2014 Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2014).

⁷ Id. § 2(2), 2014 Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2014).; see 20 U.S.C. § 1232g.

⁸ *Id.* § 2(2), 2014 Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2014).

⁹ *Id.* § 2(3), 2014 Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Ky. 2014).

¹⁰ See H.B. 224, 51st Leg., 2d Sess., (N.M. 2014), available at

¹¹ *Îd*. § 6.

¹² *Id.* § 12.