



11/07/2007

**Breaking News: Insurance Initiative Approved by Washington Voters**

## Voters Approve Insurance Fair Conduct Act

On November 6, 2007, Washington voters approved R-67, a referral to the people of the legislation entitled "Insurance Fair Conduct Act." We first reported on this legislation in May, when it was signed by Gov. Christine Gregoire. The referendum effort to repeal the legislation stayed the effective date. Now that voters have approved this legislation, the Act will go into effect on December 6, 2007.

### **What is the Insurance Fair Conduct Act?**

The Act allows recovery of uncapped treble damages for unreasonable denial of a claim for coverage or payment of benefits, and recovery of uncapped treble damages for violation of certain claims handling regulations.

### **Who may bring a claim under this Act?**

Any "first party claimant" may bring a claim under this Act, provided the claimant serves advance notice to the insurer as required under the Act. "First party claimant" means anyone asserting a right to payment as a covered person under an insurance policy or contract.

### **How does this Act change the amount an insured may recover for "bad faith" or for a violation of certain insurance regulations?**

This Act allows a court to award uncapped treble damages and expert witness fees and actual costs of suit, in addition to all other remedies currently

available. Only "actual" damages may be trebled.

## **How does this Act change the substantive law?**

This Act does not change the substantive law of bad faith appreciably. Currently, an insured must show that an insurer's denial of coverage is unreasonable, frivolous or unfounded, in order to recover on a claim for bad faith. Plaintiffs may argue the Act was meant to narrow that standard, but Washington courts may well simply view the Act as a codification of existing substantive case law and reject that argument.

The Act also allows recovery for a violation of certain insurance regulations not previously allowed on the basis of a single violation. Prior to this Act, an insured could bring a claim for a single violation of the insurance regulations entitled "Specific Unfair Claims Settlement Practices Defined" in a Consumer Protection Act claim. The Insurance Fair Conduct Act allows recovery of uncapped treble damages under the Unfair Claims Settlement Practices, as well as for violations of four additional insurance regulations which spell out in greater detail some of the provisions under the Unfair Claims Settlement Practices. The Act further allows recovery for violations of:

- WAC 284 30 350 (misrepresentation of policy provisions): Requires an insurer and insurance agent to fully disclose all pertinent benefits, and precludes an insurer from requiring an insured to sign a release that extends beyond the subject matter that gave rise to the claim, among other things;
- WAC 284 30 360 (failure to acknowledge pertinent communications): Requires an insurer to acknowledge receipt of a claim and respond to pertinent communications within 10 working days, or 15 working days with respect to claims arising under group insurance contracts, among other things;

- WAC 284 30 370 (standards for prompt investigation of claims): Requires an insurer to complete investigation of a claim within 30 days, unless it cannot reasonably be completed within that time frame; and
- WAC 284 30 380 (standards for prompt, fair and equitable settlements applicable to all insurers): Requires the insurer to accept or deny the claim within 15 working days after receipt of properly executed proofs of loss, unless the insurer notifies the insured within that time that more time is needed, among other time constraints.

### **How does this Act affect the London market?**

Due to the harsh penalties imposed by this Act for insurance bad faith, the market must ensure that it is strictly complying with the Washington claims handling regulations. In particular, claims handlers should be certain that their position is correct before denying a Washington assured's tender of defense.

### **Members Of Our London Client Team**

#### **Seattle:**

- Gabe Baker - [bakerg@lanepowell.com](mailto:bakerg@lanepowell.com)
- Mark Beard - [beardm@lanepowell.com](mailto:beardm@lanepowell.com)
- Stanton Beck - [becks@lanepowell.com](mailto:becks@lanepowell.com)
- John Devlin - [devlinj@lanepowell.com](mailto:devlinj@lanepowell.com)
- Larry Gangnes - [gangnesl@lanepowell.com](mailto:gangnesl@lanepowell.com)
- Dave Hunter - [hunterd@lanepowell.com](mailto:hunterd@lanepowell.com)
- Robert Israel - [israelr@lanepowell.com](mailto:israelr@lanepowell.com)
- Steve Jensen - [jensens@lanepowell.com](mailto:jensens@lanepowell.com)
- Mark Johnson - [johnsonm@lanepowell.com](mailto:johnsonm@lanepowell.com)
- Katie Matison - [matisonk@lanepowell.com](mailto:matisonk@lanepowell.com)
- Barry Mesher - [mesherb@lanepowell.com](mailto:mesherb@lanepowell.com)
- Laura Morse - [morsel@lanepowell.com](mailto:morsel@lanepowell.com)
- Kathleen Nelson - [nelsonk@lanepowell.com](mailto:nelsonk@lanepowell.com)
- Jeffrey Odom - [odomj@lanepowell.com](mailto:odomj@lanepowell.com)
- Benjamin Roesch - [roeschb@lanepowell.com](mailto:roeschb@lanepowell.com)
- Mary Schug - [schugm@lanepowell.com](mailto:schugm@lanepowell.com)
- Cathy Spicer - [spicerc@lanepowell.com](mailto:spicerc@lanepowell.com)

- James Stoetzer - [stoetzerj@lanepowell.com](mailto:stoetzerj@lanepowell.com)
- Emilia Sweeney - [sweeneye@lanepowell.com](mailto:sweeneye@lanepowell.com)
- David Young - [youngd@lanepowell.com](mailto:youngd@lanepowell.com)

**Anchorage:**

- Brewster Jamieson - [jamiesonb@lanepowell.com](mailto:jamiesonb@lanepowell.com)

**Portland:**

- Stephen McCarthy - [mccarthys@lanepowell.com](mailto:mccarthys@lanepowell.com)
- Victoria Blachly - [blachlyv@lanepowell.com](mailto:blachlyv@lanepowell.com)
- Tanya Durkee - [durkeet@lanepowell.com](mailto:durkeet@lanepowell.com)

**London Client Team**

206.223.7000 Seattle

503.778.2100 Portland

[LMNews@lanepowell.com](mailto:LMNews@lanepowell.com)

[www.lanepowell.com](http://www.lanepowell.com)

We provide *London Market News* as a service to our clients, colleagues and friends. It is intended to be a source of general information, not an opinion or legal advice on any specific situation, and does not create an attorney-client relationship with our readers. If you would like more information regarding whether we may assist you in any particular matter, please contact one of our lawyers, using care not to provide us any confidential information until we have notified you in writing that there are no conflicts of interest and that we have agreed to represent you on the specific matter that is the subject of your inquiry.

© 2007 Lane Powell PC

Seattle - Portland - Anchorage - Olympia - Tacoma -  
London