LEGAL ALERT

SUTHERLAND

March 11, 2011

The Multistate Tax Commission Takes Action on Proposal to Tax Pass-Through Entities Owned by Affiliates Not Subject to Corporate Income Tax

On March 10, 2011, the Executive Committee of the Multistate Tax Commission (MTC) voted to submit to public hearings the model statute that would subject to corporate income tax a pass-through entity owned by an entity that is not subject to corporate income tax (Model Statute). The Model Statute could result in a tax increase for insurance companies and others not subject to an adopting state's corporate income tax.

Background

As reported in Sutherland's SALT Shaker (February 18, 2011), the Model Statute, previously approved by the MTC's Uniformity Committee, provides for a partnership or disregarded entity to be taxed by a state, as if it was a corporation subject to tax in that state, if 50% or more of the capital interests or profits interest in the pass-through entity is owned, directly or indirectly, by an entity that is not subject to that state's corporate income tax. If the Model Statute applies, only the net income that passes through to the income tax-exempt entity would be subject to tax. The Model Statute also provides that a real estate investment trust (REIT) is included within the phrase "partnership or disregarded entity."

Executive Committee Action

Prior to taking its vote, the Executive Committee heard a summary of the history and content of the Model Statute from MTC Counsel Sheldon Laskin. Among other comments, Mr. Laskin discussed tax equity concerns expressed by members of the Uniformity Committee when comparing a pass-through entity owned by an entity that is not subject to a state's corporate income tax (e.g., an insurance company) with a pass-through entity owned by an entity that is subject to a state's corporate income tax.

The insurance industry representative, speaking on behalf of various trade associations, requested that the Executive Committee terminate this project. Alternatively, if termination is not an acceptable option, industry requested that, before the Model Statute is submitted to public hearings, the Executive Committee either send the Model Statute back to the Uniformity Committee for further study or establish a public participation working group. One concern raised by industry is the potential for harmful retaliatory tax consequences if the Model Statute is enacted. In addition, the industry argued that there is no tax equity issue here in that studies have shown that premium-based taxes result in the insurance industry having a higher effective state tax rate than the effective state tax rate imposed on other industries under a corporate income tax.

Notwithstanding the efforts of industry, the Executive Committee passed the motion to adopt the recommendation of the Uniformity Committee and directed that public hearings be held on the Model Statute.

^{© 2011} Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP. All Rights Reserved.

This communication is for general informational purposes only and is not intended to constitute legal advice or a recommended course of action in any given situation. This communication is not intended to be, and should not be, relied upon by the recipient in making decisions of a legal nature with respect to the issues discussed herein. The recipient is encouraged to consult independent coursel before making any decisions or taking any action concerning the matters in this communication. This communication does not create an attorney-client relationship between Sutherland and the recipient.

Sutherland Observation: While most states subject insurance companies to state premium taxes (and not to state corporate income taxes) there are some states that do subject insurance companies to corporate income tax. In those states, the Model Statute fails to address the interplay between the "corporate" income taxation of the pass-through entity and the corporate income taxation of the insurance company on the same income. In addition, the Model Statute does not address retaliatory tax issues that may arise in an insurance company's non-domiciliary states. Finally, while the original target of this MTC project was the insurance industry, the Model Statute allows a state to apply the pass-through entity taxation concept to industries, other than the insurance industry, whose members are not subject to the state's corporate income tax.

Next Steps

The Model Statute will now become the subject of public hearings. The MTC expressed its desire that members of the insurance industry who participated in the process to date will continue to participate during the public hearing process. The MTC intends to reach out to all who have participated, and to those who it believes should participate (e.g., insurance company regulators), as the public hearing process progresses.

. . .

If you have any questions about this Legal Alert, please feel free to contact any of the attorneys listed below or the Sutherland attorney with whom you regularly work.

Michele Borens	202.383.0936	michele.borens@sutherland.com
Jeffrey A. Friedman	202.383.0718	jeff.friedman@sutherland.com
Stephen P. Kranz	202.383.0267	steve.kranz@sutherland.com
Marc A. Simonetti	212.389.5015	marc.simonetti@sutherland.com
Eric S. Tresh	404.853.8579	eric.tresh@sutherland.com
W. Scott Wright	404.853.8374	scott.wright@sutherland.com
Jeffrey M. Serether	212.389.5053	jeffrey.serether@sutherland.com
Jonathan A. Feldman	404.853.8189	jonathan.feldman@sutherland.com
Pilar Mata	202.383.0116	pilar.mata@sutherland.com
Michele L. Pielsticker	916.498.3311	michele.pielsticker@sutherland.com
Diann L. Smith	202.383.0884	diann.smith@sutherland.com
Marlys A. Bergstrom	404.853.8177	marlys.bergstrom@sutherland.com
Andrew D. Appleby	212.389.5042	andrew.appleby@sutherland.com
Zachary T. Atkins	404.853.8312	zachary.atkins@sutherland.com
Madison J. Barnett	404.853.8191	madison.barnett@sutherland.com
Michael L. Colavito	202.383.0870	mike.colavito@sutherland.com
Miranda K. Davis	404.853.8242	miranda.davis@sutherland.com
Maria P. Eberle	212.389.5054	maria.eberle@sutherland.com
Seth A. Fersko	212.389.5049	seth.fersko@sutherland.com
Lisbeth A. Freeman	202.383.0251	beth.freeman@sutherland.com
Charles C. Kearns	202.383.0864	charlie.kearns@sutherland.com
Jessica L. Kerner	212.389.5009	jessica.kerner@sutherland.com
David A. Pope	212.389.5048	david.pope@sutherland.com
Melissa J. Smith	202.383.0840	melissa.smith@sutherland.com
Maria M. Todorova	404.853.8214	maria.todorova@sutherland.com
Mark W. Yopp	212.389.5028	mark.yopp@sutherland.com

© 2011 Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP. All Rights Reserved.

This article is for informational purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice.