LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

TAX INFO

Aktuelle Entwicklungen im deutschen Unternehmenssteuerrecht

Recent Developments in German Business Taxation



No. 34 | July 30, 2014

Prohibition of deduction of Trade Tax is constitutional

The legislative concept of the Corporate Tax Reform of 2008 justifies fiscally motivated individual provisions.

The first senate of the Federal Fiscal Court (*Bundesfinanzhof*, BFH) dealt with the consequences of the far reaching Corporate Tax Reform Act of 2008 in a judgment dated 17 January 2014 (I R 21/12) and declared the amended concurrence between trade tax, income tax and corporate income tax as compliant with the constitution. The Court's decision proves the broad tax legislative discretion of the German legislative body.

The Corporate Tax Reform aimed at making Germany more attractive to foreign direct investments and to reduce fiscal incentives to shift profits generated by German companies abroad. For this purpose, the corporate income tax rate as well as the trade tax base were reduced in order to allow for a nominal tax burden of business profits in an amount of approximately 30 %. With respect to partnerships, which are not eligible to profit from the tax rate reduction introduced by the Corporate Tax Reform, the Corporate Tax Reform provided for the possibility to credit almost the entire amount of trade tax paid against the income tax of the entrepreneurs. However, the reduction of the nominal tax rates was accompanied by a broadening of the tax assessment base: Apart from the introduction of the so-called interest stripping rules and add-back provisions, amendments were made to the concurrence of the income taxes. While the trade tax paid could originally be deducted as business expense and accordingly also reduced the tax assessment base for

Latham & Watkins operates worldwide as a limited liability partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware (USA) with affiliated limited liability partnerships conducting the practice in the United Kingdom, France, Italy and Singapore and as affiliated partnerships conducting the practice in Hong Kong and Japan. Latham & Watkins practices in Saud Arabia in association with the Law Office of Salman M. Al-Sudairi. In Qatar, Latham & Watkins LLP is Ilicensed by the Qatar Financial Centre Authority. Under New York's Code of Professional Responsibility, portions of this communication contain attorney advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Results depend upon a variety of factors unique to each representation. Please direct all inquiries regarding our conduct under New York's Disciplinary Rules to Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10022-4834, Phone: +1.212.906.1200. © Copyright 2013 Latham & Watkins. All Rights Reserved.

LW.com

Should further analysis or explanation of the subject matter be required, please contact a member of our Tax team or the lawyer with whom you normally consult.

Düsseldorf

Latham & Watkins LLP Königsallee 92a 40212 Düsseldorf Tel +49.211.8828.4600 Fax +49.211.8828.4699

Frankfurt

Latham & Watkins LLP Reuterweg 20 60323 Frankfurt am Main Tel +49.69.6062.6000 Fax +49.69.6062.6700

Hamburg

Latham & Watkins LLP Warburgstrasse 50 20354 Hamburg Tel +49.40.4140.30 Fax +49.40.4140.3130

Munich

Latham & Watkins LLP Maximilianstrasse 13 80539 Munich Tel +49.89.2080.3.8000 Fax +49.89.2080.3.8080 income tax respectively corporate income tax, the Corporate Tax Reform introduced a prohibition of deduction of trade tax in Sec. 4 (5b) Income Tax Act (ITA).

Pursuant to the BFH, no constitutional doubts arise if trade tax is levied besides income tax or corporate income tax even if the tax burden stemming from one tax is not taken into account in assessing the other. Accordingly, the BFH stated that the crediting of trade tax granted previously was not constitutionally required but was rather a concession of the legislative body, which could be amended or even repealed.

Although the prohibition of deduction in Sec. 4 (5b) ITA results in a restriction of the so-called objective net principle, according to which only the difference between income and income related expenses may be subject to taxation, this restriction was held to be constitutionally justified. The reduction of the nominal tax rates accompanied by a broadening of the tax assessment base constitutes a legislative concept which allows for individual burdensome provisions for the taxpayer as, per example, the prohibition of deduction of trade tax. Furthermore, the prohibition of deduction of trade tax cannot be held unconstitutional just because some enterprises are subject to a very high trade tax burden – in the underlying case, the taxpayer claimed an effective tax burden of nearly 80%.

The trade tax continues to be of high relevance in practice. Often, the trade tax burden is even higher than the corporate income tax.

YOUR GERMAN TAX TEAM

Full Spectrum of Tax Advice Combined with Strong Cross-border Experience



Dr. Thomas Fox

Partner, Munich/Düsseldorf

- **T** +49.89.2080.3.8160 +49.211.8828.4616
- E thomas.fox@lw.com



Dr. Tobias Klass

Partner, Hamburg

T +49.40.4140.3287 E tobias.klass@lw.com



Stefan Süss

Partner, Munich

T +49.89.2080.3.8041 E stefan.suess@lw.com



Dr. Götz T. Wiese

Partner, Hamburg

T +49.40.4140.3136 E goetz.wiese@lw.com



Dr. Anders Kraft

Counsel, Frankfurt

T +49.69.6062.6646 E anders.kraft@lw.com



Dr. Philipp Lukas

Associate, Hamburg

T +49.40.4140.3416 E philipp.lukas@lw.com



Dr. Stefan Mayer

Associate, Munich

T +49.89.2080.3.8094 E stefan.mayer@lw.com



Dr. Felicitas Mayer-Theobald

Associate, Munich

- T +49.89.2080.3.8095
- E felicitas.mayer-theobald@lw.com



Lisa M. Sönnichsen

Associate, Frankfurt

- T +49.69.6062.6650
- E lisa.soennichsen@lw.com



Verena Stoecker

Associate, Hamburg

- T +49.40.4140.3369
- E verena.stoecker@lw.com