

Does Stricter Decertification Mean More "Leedigation?"



Recently, my friend and fellow construction attorney/consultant, Chris Cheatham (@chrischeatham) posted the news that <u>USGBC</u> <u>will be more stringent on the de-certification front</u>. This statement relates to the continued energy performance of LEED certified buildings and increases the likelihood that energy performance (as opposed to mere reporting) could lead to de-certification.

I have discussed on several occasions the <u>potential legal risks</u> <u>relating to green building</u>. One of the big potential sources for such litigation (or "leedigation" as coined by Mr. Cheatham) is the

possible de-certification of a previously certified building. With this latest statement by USGBC the specter of such de-certification seems even stronger.

Couple this potential with the fact that <u>anyone can challenge the certification of a</u> <u>building at any time</u> and contractors, subcontractors and other construction professionals face potential liability for the performance of a building in ways well beyond their control.

While this risk is a real one in my opinion, careful planning and the consultation with a <u>construction lawyer familiar with the LEED certification system</u> can help construction professionals minimize this risk.

On another note, <u>Scott Wolfe</u> and I will be discussing these risks and solutions in more detail next week at the <u>Green Legal Matters</u> conference in <u>New Orleans</u>.

Please check out my <u>Construction Law Musings Blog</u> for more on Virginia construction law and other topics.