Broadcast LAW BLOG



More Concerns About The Broadcast of Medical Marijuana Ads

by **David Oxenford**

May 30, 2011

In March, we cautioned broadcasters against the airing of ads for medical marijuana. Our concerns stemmed not only from a complaint pending at the FCC, but also because, despite the widespread belief that the Federal government no longer cared about medical marijuana use and sale, the Department of Justice had only said that prosecution was no longer a priority, not that it was no longer illegal. In recent months, our concerns seem more and more justified. We had worried about some local Federal prosecutor deciding that he or she had time to prosecute offenses, even though DOJ headquarters did not think it to be a priority. But, based on press reports and DOJ's own press releases, it looks like there has been at least some rethinking of the policies in Washington, DC as well. The DOJ appears to be backtracking on medical marijuana, now saying only that it won't prosecute individuals who use medical marijuana, but that dispensaries, even if set up under the color of state laws, are still illegal under Federal law and subject to Federal prosecution. Thus, broadcasters, as Federal licensees, need to exercise extreme care in advertising such dispensaries.

Broadcasters are Federal licensees. The DOJ is now making clear that it still considers the sale of marijuana to be a Federal felony. What Federal licensee wants to be aiding and promoting the commission of a Federal felony? This is especially true with broadcasters being in a license renewal cycle, where their performance can be scrutinized not only by the government, but also by anyone who has any grievance with

Broadcast LAW BLOG



the station. Any license renewal protest, no matter how flimsy, will delay the grant of the renewal, and a protest based on an issue like this, on an issue that is so confused within the Federal government, may tie up a renewal for quite some time. Thus, think twice before taking short term advertising revenues that could lead to long-term processing delays - blocking station sales and other regulatory approvals.

This advisory is a publication of Davis Wright Tremaine LLP. Our purpose in publishing this advisory is to inform our clients and friends of recent legal developments. It is not intended, nor should it be used, as a substitute for specific legal advice as legal counsel may only be given in response to inquiries regarding particular situations.