Solution of Tax Credits News, Analysis and Commentary On Affordable Housing, Community Development and Renewable Energy Tax Credits

May 2011, Volume II, Issue V

Published by Novogradac & Company LLP

Managing the Exit Tax Burden of the QALICB Part Two of Two

By Neil D. Kimmelfield, Lane Powell PC

Part One of this article explained that when a new markets tax credit (NMTC) investor exercises a put option (the exit transaction) in a leveraged transaction at the end of the seven-year compliance period, it is possible that the qualified active low-income community business (QA-LICB) will not recognize any cancellation of indebtedness (COD) income. Part One also explained that if COD income is recognized, the amount of income should be the difference between the issue price of the "B loan" and the fair market value of the B loan when the put is exercised.

The following example was used to illustrate these points:

An investor contributes \$3 million to Investment Fund LLC in exchange for 100 percent of the membership interests in the fund. The fund borrows an additional \$7 million (the leverage loan) and uses its combined \$10 million of capital to make a qualified equity investment (QEI) in a community development entity (CDE) in exchange for a 99.99 percent membership interest. The leverage loan has a seven-year term. Only interest is paid until the end of the term. The CDE makes two qualified low-income community investment (QLICI) loans to the QALICB. The A loan has a sevenyear term, and the B loan has a 30-year term. Both loans call for interest payments only during the first seven years, generating sufficient interest for the CDE to make the required interest payments on the leverage loan. At the end of seven years, the QALICB refinances its property, repaying the A loan. The CDE distributes the proceeds to the fund, which repays the leverage loan. Shortly thereafter, the investor exercises its put option, selling its 100 percent interest in the fund to an affiliate for \$1,000.

Planning to Reduce COD Income

In Part Two of this article, it is assumed that COD income is recognized in the exit transaction. QALICBs have a number of options for planning to reduce the amount of COD income and thus defer the tax burden on the economic benefit from the exit transaction that accrues to the family of entities that includes the QALICB and affiliate (the entity family).

Because the amount of COD income that results from the exit transaction under Treas. Reg. §1.108-2(f)(2) is based on the fair market value of the B loan at the time of the transaction, one approach is to take steps to maximize that value. If the QALICB's activities can support a high interest rate and a QALICB affiliate is the leverage lender, market interest rates on the A and B loans from the time the NMTC financing is closed may be advantageous. If a high interest rate from the outset would jeopardize the "true debt" status of the QLICI loans, another approach is for the QALICB and CDE to agree, after the end of the compliance period, to increase interest on the B loan to a market rate. Of course, the QALICB should be mindful of the business risk that the investor will not exercise its put option.

Another option is to convert the B loan to an equity interest in the QALICB before the investor exercises the put option. Under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) §108(e)(8), if a debtor corporation issues stock, or a debtor partnership issues a capital or profits interest, to a creditor in satisfaction of indebtedness, the debtor is treated as having satisfied the indebtedness with an amount of money equal to the fair market value of the equity interest. Therefore, if the QALICB is a corporation or a partnership, it may be able to minimize

continued from page 1

COD income by issuing to the CDE an equity interest with a fair market value as close as possible to the adjusted issue price of the B loan before the exit transaction occurs. If the B loan is converted to equity before the exit transaction occurs, COD income will be recognized only to the extent it is triggered by the equity conversion itself; since there will be no debt after the conversion, COD income cannot be triggered by the exit transaction itself.

The equity interest issued to CDE should be structured carefully, with a view to its value upon issuance. For example, the CDE may be given a capital account credit equal to the balance of the B loan, a preferred return, and a to-be-determined residual profits interest that fairly reflects the value of the QALICB's property at the time of the conversion. Of course, the QALICB should be mindful of the business risk that the investor will not exercise its put option.

Note that if the QALICB is a single member LLC and is a disregarded entity for tax purposes, its issuance of an equity interest to the CDE may be treated as the transfer of an undivided interest in the QALICB's assets to the CDE in satisfaction of the B loan followed by the formation of a new partnership by the CDE and the QALICB's single member. (See Rev. Rul. 99-5, 1999-1 C.B. 434, Situation 1.) This should avoid COD income to the same extent as under IRC §108(e)(8), but the QALICB will have taxable gain if the basis in the assets deemed to be transferred to the CDE is less than their fair market value. To avoid that result, if it is contemplated that a QALICB that is an LLC will issue an equity interest to the CDE in satisfaction of the B loan, the QALICB should have at least two members well before the exit transaction occurs.

The QALICB and CDE may agree at any time prior to the exit transaction that the QALICB will issue a membership interest to the CDE in satisfaction of the B loan. However, it may be advantageous for the parties to enter into an equity conversion option agreement when the QLICI loans close. Having an option mechanism in place will remind the parties that converting the debt to equity should be considered as the end of the tax credit period approaches. Furthermore, an option mechanism will allow the conversion to take place upon delivery of an exercise notice and will eliminate the need for the parties to establish the terms of the CDE's equity interest in the QALICB in year seven.

It is important to note that any option to convert the B loan into equity of the CDE must be held by the CDE, and not the QALICB. If the QALICB has the option to convert B loan into equity, there is a risk that the B loan will not be treated as true debt for tax purposes. If COD income cannot be avoided because of a decline in the value of the QALICB's property, consideration should be given to provisions in IRC §108 under which COD income may be excluded from taxable income.

Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Editorial Board

PUBLISHER

Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

MANAGING EDITOR

Alex Ruiz

FDITOR

Jane Bowar Zastrow

TECHNICAL EDITORS

Robert S. Thesman, CPA James R. Kroger, CPA

Owen P. Gray, CPA

Thomas Boccia, CPA

Daniel J. Smith, CPA

ASSIGNMENT EDITOR

Jennifer Dockery

STAFF WRITER

Jennifer Hill

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

Tom Boccia Brandi Day Brad Elphick Jason Evans Tony Grappone A. Ann Hered Thane R. Hodson Neil D. Kimmelfield Chris Kolerok Peter Lawrence

John Leith-Tetrault Forrest David Milder Amanda M. Peterson John Sciarretti Amanda Talbot John Tess Stephen Tracy

PRODUCTION Jesse Barredo

James Matuszak

Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Information

Address all correspondence and editorial submissions to: Alex Ruiz/ 415.356.8088

Address inquiries regarding advertising opportunities to: Emil Bagalso / 415.356.8037

Editorial material in this publication is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding the low-income housing tax credit or any other material covered in this publication can only be obtained from your tax advisor.



© Novogradac & Company LLP 2011 All rights reserved. ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.

Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits Advisory Board

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Bud Clarke BOSTON FINANCIAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT Jana Cohen Barbe SNR DENTON Tom Dixon **BOSTON CAPITAL** Valerie White STANDARD & POOR'S CORPORATION Rick Edson HOUSING CAPITAL ADVISORS INC Richard Gerwitz CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL Rochelle Lento DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC John Lisella U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP. Phillip Melton **GRANDBRIDGE REAL ESTATE CAPITAL Thomas Morton** PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP Stephen Ryan COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON LLP **Arnold Schuster** SNR DENTON Rob Wasserman U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Rose Guerrero CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE
Sharon Jackman SIG SERVICES LLC
Michael Kotin KAY KAY REALTY
Michael Snowdon MCA HOUSING PARTNERS
Gianna Solari SOLARI ENTERPRISES
Ruth Theobald Probst THEOPRO COMPLIANCE & CONSULT. INC.
Kimberly Taylor HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CENTER

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Sheldon Schreiberg PEPPER HAMILTON LLP
Monica Sussman NIXON PEABODY LLP

NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman **COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND** Bruce Bonjour **PERKINS COIE LLC** LANE POWELL Neil Kimmelfield Marc Hirshman U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP. Scott Lindquist **SNR DENTON Ruth Sparrow FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC** Herb Stevens NIXON PEARODY LLP Mary Tingerthal HOUSING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK Tom Tracy **HUNTER CHASE & COMPANY** Joseph Wesolowski Enterprise community investment inc

HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Don Holm

John Leith-Tetrault

Bill MacRostie

Donna Rodney

John Tess

FARRIS BOBANGO BRANAN PLC

NATIONAL TRUST COMM. INVESTMENT CORP.

MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS LLC

BRYAN CAVE LLP

HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Ed Feo USRG RENEWABLE FINANCE
Michael Hall BORREGO SOLAR SYSTEMS
Jim Howard DUDLEY VENTURES
Forrest Milder NIXON PEABODY LLP
Darren Van't Hof U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

continued from page 2

If the QALICB is a corporation, and its liabilities exceed the value of its property, COD income resulting from cancellation or reduction of the B loan may be excluded under the insolvency exclusion in IRC §§108(a)(1)(B) and (a)(3). The exclusion under these rules is limited to the amount by which the QALICB is insolvent immediately before the debt reduction.

If the B loan was used to acquire or construct real property, and the QALICB is a partnership with one or more partners that are not C corporations, the QALICB may elect to exclude its COD income under the rules in IRC §§108(a)(1)(D) and 108(c) for qualified real property business indebtedness (QRPBI). The exclusion under these rules is limited to the amount by which the outstanding principal amount of the canceled debt exceeds the fair market value of the real property securing the debt minus the outstanding principal amount of any other QRPBI secured by the property. Only non-corporate partners in the QALICB can benefit from the QRPBI exclusion.

Exclusion of COD income under the insolvency rules or the QRPBI rules will cause a reduction in the basis of the QALICB's property (or, in the case of the insolvency exclusion, other tax attributes) by the amount of the excluded income. This generally will be a small price to pay for avoiding current tax on COD income.

The exclusion rules in IRC §108(a)(1) operate in tandem with the rules that determine the amount of recognized COD income. For example, suppose that the QALICB is a corporation, has property with a value of \$8 million, and has refinanced its \$7 million A loan with another \$7 million loan. Before the exit transaction occurs, the QALICB issues stock with a \$3 million liquidation preference and a 10 percent residual equity participation in full satisfaction of the \$3 million B loan. If it is determined that the stock has a fair market value of \$1 million (the value of the QALICB's property net of the \$7 million loan), the QALICB will recognize \$2 million of COD income under IRC §108(e)(8). However, because the QALICB is insolvent by \$2 million, the QALICB may exclude all of its COD income under IRC §108(a)(1)(B).

Income Other Than COD Income

Taxable QALICBs and their affiliates should be mindful that the IRS could take the position that the exit transaction produces current taxable income that is not COD income. Whether such income arises depends on the application of nonstatutory tax doctrines and is beyond the scope of this article.

Neil Kimmelfield has 30 years of experience as a tax attorney. His practice emphasizes structuring corporate, partnership and real estate transactions. He can be reached at kimmelfieldn@lanepowell.com.

continued from page 4

This article first appeared in the May 2011 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits.

© Novogradac & Company LLP 2011 - All Rights Reserved

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any advice expressed in this article is limited to the federal tax issues addressed in it. Additional issues may exist outside the limited scope of any advice provided — any such advice does not consider or provide a conclusion with respect to any additional issues. Taxpayers contemplating undertaking a transaction should seek advice based on their particular circumstances.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.novoco.com.

Special offer for E-newsletter only subscribers: Click here for 20% off an introductory 12-Month Print Subscription to the Journal of Tax Credits.