

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

Case No. 01-5338

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

SEAN CARTER,
a/k/a Marquan Antonio McCall

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
For the Eastern District of Kentucky at Lexington
Criminal Action No. 00-58

BRIEF FOR APPELLANT

ROBERT L. ABELL
Security Trust Building
271 West Short Street, Suite 500
P.O. Box 983
Lexington, KY 40588-0983
859/254-7076

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT
Case No. 01-5338

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

SEAN CARTER,
a/k/a Marquan Antonio McCall

Defendant-Appellant

DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE AFFILIATIONS
AND FINANCIAL INTEREST

Pursuant to Sixth Circuit Rule 25, Defendant-Appellant, Sean Carter, a/k/a Marquan Antonio McCall makes the following disclosures:

1. Is said party a subsidiary or affiliate of a publicly owned corporation?

RESPONSE: No.

2. If the answer is YES, list below the identity of the parent corporation or affiliate and the relationship between it and the named party:

RESPONSE: See the Response above.

If the answer is YES, list the identity of such corporation and the nature of the financial interest:

RESPONSE: See Response above.

ROBERT L. ABELL
COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE AFFILIATIONS AND CORPORATE INTEREST	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORAL ARGUMENT	v
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION	1
STATEMENT OF ISSUE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	2
Introduction	2
The Suppression Hearing	3
The Ruling of the Court Below	5
The Plea and Sentence	6
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	7
STATEMENT OF THE STANDARD OF REVIEW	8
ARGUMENT	9
POINT 1	
WHERE POLICE OFFICERS HAD NO INFORMATION THAT APPELLANT, WHO WAS THE ONLY OCCUPANT OF THE MOTEL ROOM, HAD BEEN OR WAS ENGAGING IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, THERE WAS NO CONSENT TO THE POLICE'S ENTRY TO THE MOTEL ROOM AND NO EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES WERE PRESENT, THE WARRANTLESS ENTRY TO THE MOTEL ROOM VIOLATED THE FOURTH AMENDMENT AND ALL EVIDENCE SEIZED THEREIN SHOULD BE SUPPRESSED.	
CONCLUSION	14
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	15

ADDENDUM A

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
<i>Bumper v. North Carolina</i> , 391 U.S. 543 (1968)	10,12
<i>Johnson v. United States</i> , 333 U.S. 10 (1948)	10-13
<i>Katz v. United States</i> , 389 U.S. 347 (1967)	10
<i>Schneckloth v. Bustamonte</i> , 412 U.S. 218 (1973)	10
<i>United States v. Chadwick</i> , 433 U.S. 1 (1977)	10
<i>United States v. Jones</i> , 641 F.2d 425 (6 th Cir. 1981)	10,12
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> , 22 F.3d 674 (6 th Cir. 1994) ..	8
<i>United States v. Mendenhall</i> , 446 U.S. 544 (1980)	10
<i>United States v. Morgan</i> , 743 F.2d 1158 (6 th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 471 U.S. 1061 (1985)	10
<i>United States v. Pasquarille</i> , 20 F.3d 682 (6 th Cir. 1994)	8
<i>United States v. Taylor</i> , 956 F.2d 572 (6 th Cir.), cert. denied, 506 U.S. 952 (1992)	8
<i>United States v. Ogbuh</i> , 982 F.2d 1000 (6 th Cir. 1993)	10-14
 <u>Constitutional Amendments and Statutes</u>	
U.S. Const. Amend. IV	passim
18 U.S.C. § 3231	1
21 U.S.C. § 841 (a)(1)	1
28 U.S.C. § 1291	1

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORAL ARGUMENT

Appellant submits that the issues in this case can be adequately presented in the briefs and oral argument is not necessary.