



THE CRISIS



A company may first learn that it is involved in an antitrust investigation in the US when federal agents appear at offices or homes armed with a search warrant to seize electronic and hard-copy records, computers, smart phones or other items that may contain relevant information.

No one expects to be handed a search warrant by a federal agent at the office, yet it is happening more frequently than ever before, and not only in the United States but around the world. In addition, it is increasingly common for the US Department of Justice Antitrust Division to coordinate its investigations with competition authorities in jurisdictions outside the US, particularly the European Commission, often to the point of coordinating the timing of searches or "dawn raids."

To facilitate this cooperation and the sharing of information, the Antitrust Division has entered into a number of cooperation agreements with enforcement authorities in other jurisdictions. The result: a company with international operations may find itself facing cartel investigations, complete with government agents wielding search warrants and launching dawn raids, at multiple offices in multiple countries at the same moment.

To put your organization in the best possible position to effectively defend such an investigation, it is critical to be proactive and prepare for the possibility well in advance. Such preparation will allow you not only to understand what is happening when a search occurs, but also to have in place guidelines and procedures for dealing with the situation.

This handbook summarizes the process and provides the top ten "do's" and "don'ts" for dealing with a search warrant in a cartel investigation in the US. In future similar publications, we will address related subjects including grand jury subpoenas, civil investigative demands from both federal and state agencies, internal investigations, and the roles of management and the board, including the need for and duties of a special committee.

WHAT IS AT STAKE

A negative outcome in a cartel investigation can be devastating for a company and its executives. The maximum corporate fine under the Sherman Antitrust Act is US\$100 million, but, under an alternative fine provision, the Antitrust Division has obtained fines as high as US\$500 million. Indeed, there is always a call for higher fines to punish and deter. And that's just in the US. In an international cartel case, multimillion-dollar fines may have to be paid in many jurisdictions across the globe. Private civil cases almost invariably follow in the wake of cartel investigations and can be equally devastating to a company.

These effects are not limited to companies. The Antitrust Division prosecutes individuals as well as corporations, and defendants are both domestic and foreign. The maximum prison sentence for an individual found guilty of price fixing is 10 years. To date, the longest sentence of incarceration imposed on an individual for a criminal antitrust violation has been four years.

With the stakes so high, a company needs to do everything it can to prepare to defend itself and its people. Prevention is the best medicine, but prevention does not always work, and investigations can be pursued based upon faulty information. In any case, responding quickly and properly to the disclosure of an investigation, whether by a search warrant, dawn raid or otherwise, can significantly enhance the ability to effectively respond and minimize the fallout from a cartel investigation.

WHEN YOU LEARN OF A CARTEL **INVESTIGATION**

How a company responds in the first few minutes and hours and the actions it takes over the following days will have a significant bearing on the ability to defend the company, management and employees. Speed is essential, but the response needs to be coordinated and directed. Two factors are noteworthy here: using experienced counsel, and preparing effectively and thoroughly.

This pamphlet is intended to provide you with general principles based on our experience. However, every company is different and we recommend further discussions with counsel about how these principles apply to your particular organization.

THE PREQUEL TO THE SEARCH

Execution of a search warrant is usually the first public sign of an undercover cartel investigation by the Antitrust Division. In the US, in order to obtain a search warrant, the government needs specific information that a crime has been committed and reason to believe that evidence of that crime is likely to be found at a specific location. Typically, the government will have this specific information only if it has the cooperation of an insider, perhaps a disgruntled former or current employee, or a purported co-conspirator who has applied for leniency.

The government may also have a cooperator in place who is recording conversations, either by taping phone calls or wearing a wire. Because antitrust offenses are now predicate crimes, the government may also have obtained a wiretap to listen in on calls and monitor meetings using sophisticated surveillance methods. The government particularly likes to monitor calls when it can on the day of a search to see if superiors are speaking to subordinates or competitors are speaking to each other to try to orchestrate a cover-up. On the day of the raid, or even the night before, government investigators may also approach employees or ex-employees who they think might be enticed into providing information or cooperating.

Government investigators may proceed with execution of a search warrant for a variety of reasons: when they think they have exhausted the ability to obtain evidence covertly; when they believe a covert investigation is about to be exposed, perhaps prompting the destruction of evidence; or when they believe certain potential evidence will be present in the premises to be searched. It may ultimately turn out that the information the government is acting on is false, incomplete or inaccurate, but that does not change the fact that the government has obtained a search warrant, the agents are going to search everywhere authorized by that warrant, and you need to be prepared to deal with it.

BE PREPARED - HAVE A PLAN

Advance preparation and instruction on how to handle a search warrant are critical to effectively deal with the "fog of war" on the actual day of the search.

For example, it is important to have a list of persons who are to be notified immediately as soon as knowledge of a search surfaces. Company counsel and already vetted experienced outside antitrust counsel should be contacted immediately. The board of directors and senior management also must be informed as soon as possible. A spokesman to handle all media inquiries should be identified in advance and trained. An on-the-ground person should be assigned in advance to handle the actual onsite search in each location with significant record keeping. In case such personnel are unavailable, there should also be designated backups for each of these positions. Employees should be advised on how to handle unscheduled visits from federal or other agents.

We have prepared this list of Do's and Don'ts as a general guide should the FBI appear at your door with a warrant in a cartel investigation. However, on the day of a search, which will likely be completely unexpected, it is unrealistic to think employees will follow these guidelines fully without some prior training and legal advice.



WHEN AGENTS ARRIVE

TOP 10 DO'S

When agents knock on your door and announce they have a warrant:



TOP 10 DON'TS

Certain conduct must be avoided during a search:



PROACTIVE, PROTECTIVE

If a search warrant in a cartel case is executed on your business, it is likely the result of months or even years of investigation. When the FBI appears at your door, it is important that your personnel know how to react. A call should be placed immediately to experienced criminal antitrust counsel. Job one for your counsel will be to act as the primary contact with the agents on your behalf as they execute the warrant. Once the search has been completed, counsel can communicate with the Antitrust Division prosecutor who is leading the investigation and immediately commence a thorough internal investigation - talking to management and key company personnel and gathering and reviewing documents and communications - to determine the facts as they relate to what the enforcement authorities are alleging. That investigation will allow antitrust counsel to advise you on your options.

Cartel investigation and enforcement is increasing around the world. Most companies would never get involved in a cartel. Yet cartels happen. Companies can be devastated; lives may ruined. In the current climate, it is wise to put in place proactive, preventive compliance training and review procedures, and, just in case, protect your interests with a plan to respond to the worst-case scenario.

ABOUT US

DLA Piper is a global law firm with 4,200 lawyers in more than 30 countries throughout the Americas, Asia Pacific, Europe and the Middle East, positioning us to help companies with their legal needs anywhere in the world.

Cartel enforcement is global business and DLA Piper has the global resources and the experience to help you deal with it. Our former Department of Justice prosecutors, FTC enforcers and lawyers who have worked with competition agencies in other jurisdictions join career defense counsel and other professionals experienced in cartel representation to help clients effectively address the challenges of multijurisdiction cartel investigations.

For more information, please contact:

Carl W. Hittinger

T +1 215 656 2449 carl.hittinger@dlapiper.com

James R. Nelson

T +1 214 743 4512 jr.nelson@dlapiper.com

Robert E. Connolly

T +1 215 656 3318 robert.connolly@dlapiper.com

www.dlapiper.com