



Detecting and Preventing Fraud and Embezzlement

Jeffrey Tenenbaum, Esq.
William Devaney, Esq.

March 2013



Program Agenda


- Recent Examples of Nonprofit Embezzlement
- Why Does Employee Fraud Occur?
- Why Are Nonprofits Frequently the Victims of Embezzlement?
- External Audits
- Fraud Risk Assessments
- Strong Compliance Programs
- Preventing Embezzlement
- Control Measures to Consider
- Online Fraud



American Red Cross



- On February 27, 2013, a former financial director for a New York chapter of the American Red Cross was sentenced to two to seven years in prison for grand larceny and using a false instrument for filing. She was also ordered to make restitution and pay back taxes.
- The former director embezzled over \$274,000 between 2005 and 2009, using the money to pay for clothing, her children's tuition, and other personal expenses.
- She would make ATM withdrawals on a weekly basis using the chapter's debit card, a copy of which she was able to obtain because she was a signatory to the operating account.
- The funds were discovered missing in 2010 because of an audit.



HOW Foundation

THE H.O.W. FOUNDATION
*Changing People's Lives &
Serving the Community*

- On November 8, 2012, the former executive director of the H.O.W. Foundation, a Tulsa, Oklahoma nonprofit alcohol and drug treatment center, was sentenced to 15 months imprisonment and ordered to pay over \$1.5 million in restitution for defrauding H.O.W. over the course of eight years.
- The former executive director wrote himself 213 unauthorized checks for a total of more than \$1.35 million. He disguised the unauthorized checks by inflating the cost of legitimate expenses.
- In addition, he embezzled more than \$200,000 dollars by stealing approximately \$700 a week from a thrift store operated by the non-profit.
- The fraud of the former executive director was discovered only after a new executive director had taken over.



Project Genesis, Inc.




- On October 10, 2012, the former CFO of Project Genesis, Inc., a Connecticut nonprofit organization that serves adults and children with disabilities, was sentenced to 33 months in federal prison after embezzling more than \$348,000 from the organization over a three-year period.
- The former CFO stole the organization funds by keeping terminated employees on the payroll and then transferring their salaries to his personal bank account. He labeled the transfers as payments to vendors or to the U.S. Treasury, and he reclassified some of the proceeds of his crimes as expenses on the organization's annual Form 990.



York County Community Action Corporation (YCCAC)




- On July 31, 2012, the former CEO of YCCAC, a Maine nonprofit providing heating assistance, transportation, and early childhood education for low-income residents, pled guilty to embezzling approximately \$900,000 from the organization over a seven-year period.
- During that time, the former CEO diverted \$413,000 in YCCAC funds to a consulting company, which then paid him kickbacks. He also sent more than \$400,000 to a defunct nonprofit of which he was the former treasurer, and then used \$300,000 of that money to pay gambling debts.
- As part of his plea agreement, the former CEO agreed to pay \$1.2 million in restitution to YCCAC and up to \$150,000 to the IRS. He also faces up to five years in prison.
- He embezzled money only from “unrestricted” cash funds and not from funds given by the federal government, because government funds were subject to greater oversight by federal auditors.




Ronald McDonald House Cruise




- As early as 2005, Randall Morrison, the former treasurer of Ronald McDonald House Cruise (“RMDHC”), a nonprofit organization that solicits volunteers and charitable donations, and organizes activities and events, to benefit families of children receiving medical care, began embezzling more than \$112,000 from RMDHC
- Morrison wrote checks and wired funds from RMDHC to personal accounts and concealed his scheme by falsifying reports to the RMDHC board of directors.
- RMDHC board members were alerted to Morrison’s embezzlement scheme after Morrison’s former employer contacted RMDHC about suspicions that Morrison embezzled from them as well.
- On April 18, 2012, Morrison was sentenced to three years supervised release and ordered to pay \$112,220 in restitution.



Pueblo Hispanic Education Foundation (PHEF)



- On June 5, 2010, the director of the Pueblo Hispanic Education Foundation (PHEF), a nonprofit that helps local graduates attend college, was arrested for embezzling more than \$57,000 from the nonprofit organization.
- He was charged with two counts of theft, two counts of identity theft and 232 counts of forgery. The executive director used the foundation funds to pay for a Las Vegas trip, patio furniture and \$7,500 worth of jewelry, among other personal purchases.
- The director forged the board president's name on checks totaling \$30,143 in purchases, funneled checks destined for colleges, some that didn't even exist, into his own personal bank account, and without the 12-member foundation board knowing, he obtained a debit card and spent \$27,531 in PHEF funds for personal use.
- After the arrest, the board of directors learned that the director had pled guilty to theft in 2006 and was on probation for stealing from a Denver nonprofit, the Latin American Educational Foundation. PHEF's founder stated that the board of directors should have scrutinized the director's background much closer before hiring him.





Greenville-Pitt County Home Builders Association


- The executive director of the Greenville-Pitt County Homebuilders Association was indicted in June of 2012 for embezzling more than \$87,000 from the group.
- The Association has more than 240 members.
- The executive officer stole the funds over a three-year period by writing checks to herself, her relatives, and a business she owned.
- The association first discovered problems with group's membership payments and requested an audit by the North Carolina Home Builders Association. That audit uncovered the executive officer's embezzlement scheme.







North Texas Home Builders Association

- An employee of the North Texas Home Builders Association was charged in July of 2007 with stealing more than \$250,000 from the group over a five-year period.
- The employee, who at the time was the sole paid employee of the organization, was in charge of banking functions and bookkeeping.
- The employee wrote more than 500 unauthorized checks. Under the Association's policy, the checks should have had two signatures, but the employee ignored that rule and made the checks payable to herself or her relatives.



Why Does Employee Fraud Occur?

Three Key Factors



Why Does Employee Fraud Occur?

■ Motivation


- Economic factors such as personal financial distress, substance abuse, gambling, overspending, or other similar addictive behaviors may provide motivation.
- The current national economic downturn may serve to increase the incidence of such financial motivations.

■ Rationalization


- The employee finds a way to rationalize the fraud.
- Such rationalizations can include perceived injustice in compensation as compared to their colleagues at for-profit enterprises, unhappiness over promotions, the idea that they are simply “borrowing” from the organization and fully intend to return the assets at a future date, or a belief that the organization doesn’t really “need” the assets and won’t even realize they are missing.

■ Opportunity

- The employee has sufficient access to assets and information that allows him or her to believe the fraud can be committed and also successfully concealed.




Why are Nonprofits Frequently the Victims of Embezzlement?




Management and board members are often more trusting



Less stringent financial controls for nonprofits




A belief that audits will catch any fraud



External Audits

- External audits can be helpful in ensuring that financial controls and fraud prevention measures are being followed and are effective
- The standard audit, however, is not designed and should not be relied upon to detect fraud
- The Association of Certified Fraud Examiners reports that less than 10% of frauds are discovered as a result of an audit by an independent accounting firm
- Auditors generally only have a responsibility to give “reasonable” assurance that no material misstatements in financial statements have been made
- While auditors are required to approach the audit with a skeptical attitude and must not overly rely on client representations (SAS 99), auditors do not have an absolute responsibility for the detection of fraud
- Specific fraud audits are available and are encouraged when there is any suspicion of fraud. When fraud audits are conducted, the auditors give greater scrutiny to certain items and another auditor within the firm will often take a second look at the audit to decrease the chance that anything was missed
- It is also a good idea to have auditors review and test your financial controls to ensure that appropriate controls are in place and working



Fraud Risk Assessments

- The purpose of a fraud risk assessment is to identify where fraud may occur within an organization and how it may be perpetrated
- The Assessment Process:
 - Define fraud as it pertains to the organization’s industry, culture, and tolerance for risk;
 - Determine scope (e.g., entity-wide, process-level)
 - In collaboration with management, identify relevant fraud risks and scenarios
 - Conduct facilitated brainstorming sessions to identify additional fraud risks that include employee participation at additional levels (e.g., process owners, staff)
 - Map fraud risks with their mitigating controls and identify control gaps;
 - Measure each fraud risk based on its inherent risk (without controls) and residual risk (with controls); and
 - Prioritize fraud risks and scenarios based on the organization’s risk tolerance
- Conduct such assessments on a recurring basis. Risk level/tolerance may change




Strong Compliance Program

- **The best way to prevent embezzlement and to protect an organization given the nature of respondeat superior liability is a comprehensive and vigorous compliance program that must be more than a “mere paper program”**
- **Any effective compliance program will:**
 - **Be tailored to the specific organization, such that the controls mitigate the risks inherent in that organization’s business and address any applicable government regulations and industry standards**
 - **Include a corporate code of ethics. The organization’s commitment to ethical behavior should be clearly and concisely communicated to the board, management and employees. This commitment to the code should be affirmed by all employees on a periodic and ongoing basis**
 - **Be owned by senior management. Management must be proactive. The Board must have ultimate oversight and control of the program**
 - **Provide for regular education and training for directors, management, employees, volunteers and staff**



Strong Compliance Program (cont.)


- Be regularly monitored and audited to ensure that it is working
- Contain effective means to report violations and concerns, such as whistleblower hotlines or other anonymous reporting mechanisms
- Provide for meaningful discipline for violation of the policy. A reputation for aggressively investigating fraud can have a strong deterrent effect while a reputation for ignoring possible fraud is an invitation to commit fraud
- Require that appropriate steps are taken if a crime occurs
- Address any control weaknesses uncovered



Set the tone at the top


Management,
including
directors and officers
need to
“set the tone at the top”
for ethical behavior

Management must set a good
example for fair and honest
business practices



Role of the Board


- **Boards of Directors have a fiduciary duty to ensure**
 - Financial decisions are made soundly and legally
 - Individual directors and management always put the organization’s financial and business interests ahead of personal financial and business interests
 - The Board prudently manages the organization’s assets in furtherance of the organization’s stated purpose
- **Business Judgment Rule protects actions taken by board members, however those actions must be taken in good-faith with that degree of diligence, care and skill which ordinary prudent people would exercise under similar circumstances**
- **Satisfying these obligations requires hands-on oversight of management**
 - Review financial and other business records
 - Question management
 - Ensure the organization’s policies, procedures and mission are followed
- **At least one board member should have relevant financial experience**
- **At least some board members should not be current or former associates of management. Consider a seasoned lawyer as a board member, as well as members with nonprofit and sector expertise**



Control Measures to Consider #1

Double Signatures & Authorizations


- Multiple layers of approval will make it far more difficult for embezzlers to steal from your organization.
- For expenditures over a pre-determined amount, require two signatures on every check and two authorizations on every cash disbursement.
- Consider having an officer or director be the second signatory or provide authorization for smaller organizations.
- With credit cards, require prior written approval for costs estimated to exceed a certain amount.
- The person using the credit card cannot be the same person approving its use.
- Have a board member or officer review the credit card statements and expense reports of the Executive Director, CFO, CEO, etc.



Control Measures to Consider #2

Require Backup Documentation

- All check and cash disbursements must be accompanied by an invoice showing that the payment is justified
- If possible, the invoices or disbursement request should be authorized by a manager who will not be signing the check
- Only pay from original invoices




Control Measures to Consider #3

Never Pre-sign Checks

- Many nonprofits do this if the executive director is going on vacation
- Keep blank checks and signature stamps locked up

CASE EXAMPLE

An assistant to an executive director of a nursing home had the directors signature stamp locked in her drawer. She stole millions of dollars from the organization by writing checks to herself and using the director's signature stamp. The director never looked at the checks.



Control Measures to Consider #4


Segregation of Duties

- One individual should not be responsible for an entire financial transaction
- Money Coming In: No single individual should be responsible for receiving, depositing, recording and reconciling the receipt of funds
- Money Going Out: No one person should be responsible for authorizing payments, disbursing funds, and reconciling bank statements
- If the organization does not have enough staff on hand to segregate these duties, a board director or officer should reconcile the bank and credit card statements
- Require employees who hold financial positions to take an uninterrupted vacation for two weeks – do not let them work from vacation – This permits transactions to clear properly in their absence. If you have an employee who refuses to go on vacation – that could signal a problem



Case Example

- **Former vice president for finance at large national nonprofit.**
 - Worked there for 20 years
 - Embezzled \$11.9 million
- **Wrote checks to herself, forging the signatures of the required co-signers**
 - Destroyed the canceled checks when the bank mailed them back to her
- **No one noticed because she also kept the organization's books**
- **She was able to cover up for the losses by inflating the reported amount of unfulfilled pledges**
- **Had someone else reconciled the bank statements, she would not have been able to destroy the checks that she had written to herself.**
- **Or, if someone else were responsible for unfulfilled pledges, she would not have been able to cover up the losses**



Control Measures to Consider #5

Conduct Background Checks

- Background checks on new employees and volunteers are important. Many organizations skip this basic step
- The Association of Certified Fraud Examiners reports that 7% of embezzlers have been convicted of a previous crime
- Background checks can reveal undisclosed criminal records and prior instances of fraud, allowing you to avoid a bad hire in the first place
- They are also fairly inexpensive, and should be made a part of your hiring process

CASE EXAMPLE

A thorough background investigation by the Pueblo Hispanic Education Foundation would have likely revealed that the candidate had pled guilty to embezzling money from another nonprofit



Control Measures to Consider #6, #7, #8

■ Fair Bidding Process

- All contracts should be subject to at least three bids, and approved by a manager uninvolved in the transaction
- Large contracts should be reviewed and voted on by the board

■ Fixed Asset Inventories

- Conduct a fixed asset inventory review at least once per year to ensure that no equipment (computers, printers, etc.) is missing
- Record the serial numbers of the equipment and consider engraving an identifying mark on each item in case of theft

■ Audits and Board Level Oversight


- Regular audits will not catch every instance of fraud, however, they are critical to prevent fraud and there should be board level review, if not oversight, of the audit



Control Measures to Consider #9

Encourage Whistleblowers

- Provide a means of anonymous communication.
- Employees may not report theft or mismanagement if they believe their job is in jeopardy.
- Employees must have a manner in which to contact a board member in the event something needs to be reported, and they do not feel comfortable reporting to management.
- Board members must be prepared to take these reports seriously, keep the reporting employee protected and contact legal counsel.



Control Measures to Consider #10

Automated Controls

- Use electronic notifications to alert more than one senior member of the organization of account activity, balance thresholds, etc.
 - There are even fraud filters available



Control Measures to Consider #11


Employee Training


- Regular (e.g., annual, quarterly) discussion of organizational policies and procedures
 - Rotate topics that are of particular risk to your organization



VENABLE[®]LLP

Questions?





Jeffrey S. Tenenbaum, Esq.
VENABLE LLP
575 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 344-8138
jstenenbaum@venable.com

William H. Devaney, Esq.
VENABLE LLP
1270 Avenue of the Americas, 25th Floor
New York, NY 10020
(212) 983-8204
whdevaney@venable.com

**Richard Allison, Director of Risk
Management**
BUILDERS MUTUAL INSURANCE
COMPANY
PO Box 150005
Raleigh, NC 27624
(800) 809-4858 ext. 439
rallison@bmico.com