# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

UNITED STATES	OF AMERICA,	)
	<b>Plaintiff,</b> <i>Ex rel</i> .	) ) )
[UNDER SEAL],		) 0:10-cv-01465-JFA ) C.A. No
	Plaintiff-Relator,	) COMPLAINT
v.		)
[UNDER SEAL],		)
	Defendants.	) ) )

# FILED IN CAMERA AND UNDER SEAL

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
<b>Plaintiff,</b> <i>Ex rel</i> .	) FILED UNDER SEAL pursuant to ) 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2)
LYNN E. SZYMONIAK,	) C.A. No. 0:10-cv-01465-JFA
Plaintiff-Relator,	) COMPLAINT
v.	)
AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE SERVICING, INC.; SAXON MORTGAGE SERVICES, INC.; LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC.; DOCX, LLC; CITIMORTGAGE, INC., f/k/a CITI RESIDENTIAL LENDING, INC., f/k/a AMC MORTGAGE SERVICES, INC.; WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE d/b/a AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY, BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, as successor-in-interest to Lasalle Bank; THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON; CORPORATION; CITIBANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY; DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS; HSBC USA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; and WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;	
Defendants.	)

RICHARD A. HARPOOTLIAN P.A. Richard A. Harpootlian, Esq. 1410 Laurel Street Post Office Box 1090

Columbia, SC 29202

**Telephone:** (803) 252-4848

JANET, JENNER & SUGGS, LLC

Howard Janet, Esq. Woodholme Center 1829 Reisterstown Road, Suite 320 Baltimore, MD 21208

**Telephone:** (410) 653-3200 **Facsimile:** (410) 653-9030

JANET, JENNER & SUGGS, LLC

Kenneth M. Suggs, Esq. 500 Taylor Street Columbia, SC 29201

**Telephone:** (803) 726-0050 **Facsimile:** (410) 653-9030

**GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.** 

Jay W. Eisenhofer, Esq. Frank Karam, Esq. Lydia Ferrarese, Esq. 485 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017

**Telephone:** (646) 722-8500 **Facsimile:** (646) 722-8501

**GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.** 

Reuben Guttman, Esq. 1920 L Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036

**Telephone:** (202) 386-9500 **Facsimile:** (202) 350-5908

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page	
I.	INTI	RODUCTION	1	
II.	JUR	SDICTION AND VENUE		
III.	PAR	TIES	4	
	A.	Relator	4	
	B.	Defendants	4	
IV.	BAC	BACKGROUND		
	A.	Real Estate Sale, Mortgage Finance and Foreclosure Procedures	7	
	B.	Relator's Mortgage	8	
	C.	Foreclosure Proceedings Against Relator	10	
	D.	Relator Discovers a Forged Mortgage Assignment Filed in Her Foreclosure by DBNTC	11	
	E.	Relator Reports Discovery of Mortgage Assignment Fraud to Authorities	15	
V.	THE	MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES MARKET	15	
	A.	Mortgage-backed Securities Trusts and the Trustees' Role	15	
	В.	Mortgage-backed Securities Trusts, Controlled by the Trustee Bank Defendants Allegedly Purchased Notes and Mortgages on Homes in South Carolina and Across the United States	16	
	C.	The Foreclosure Problem in South Carolina and the United States	18	
	D.	Defendants' Role in the Foreclosure Actions in the South Carolina Courts and Defendants' Filing of Forged Mortgage Assignments in South Carolina, and Across the United States, Worsening the Foreclosure Problem		
VI.	DEF	DEFENDANTS' ACTS VIOLATE THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT		
	A.	False Corporate Officer Titles and Forged Signatures	24	
		(i) South Carolina	25	
		(ii) Georgia	27	

		(iii)	Florida	39
		(iv)	Minnesota	41
	В.	Signatures Vi	Use of Fake Documents, False Officer Titles, and Forged colates the Federal Lending Laws, and State Mortgage Fraud raud Laws	48
VII.	SECU ASSIG	IRITIES MADI GNMENTS FR	MENT PURCHASED MORTGAGE-BACKED E UP OF MORTGAGES WITH MISSING OR FORGED OM ORIGINATING BANKS TO THE SECURITIES OM SUBSTANTIAL FINANCIAL HARM	50
	A.	Types of MB	S	50
	B.		ses by Federal Reserve Funding of Maiden Lane	51
	C.		ses by Treasury Financing of Public-Private unds	56
	D.	MBS Purchas	ses by Federal Reserve and Treasury Direct Purchases	59
	E.	Damages to the	he U.S. Government	59
		(i)	Impaired Value of MBS	59
		(ii)	Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac	60
		(iii)	Federal Taxes From Trusts' Loss of Tax Status due to Assignments Made After the Date of Trust Formation	63
VIII.	CAUS	SES OF ACTIO	ON	64
		UNT I leral False Clai	ms Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A)	64
	COUNT II Federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B)		65	
	COUNT III Federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(C)			67
IX.	PRAY	ER FOR REL	IEF	68
X	REOI	REQUEST FOR A TRIAL BY JURY		69

Plaintiff/Relator Lynn E. Szymoniak ("Relator"), by and through her attorneys, Richard A. Harpootlian P.A., Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., and Janet, Jenner & Suggs, LLC, files this complaint against Defendants and alleges as follows:

### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. According to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"):

  "Mortgage-backed securities ["MBS"] are debt obligations that represent claims to the
  cash flows from pools of mortgage loans, most commonly on residential property.

  Mortgage loans are purchased from banks, mortgage companies, and other originators
  and then assembled into pools by a governmental, quasi-governmental, or private entity.

  The entity then issues securities that represent claims on the principal and interest
  payments made by borrowers on the loans in the pool, a process known as securitization."

  SEC, "Mortgage-Backed Securities" (June 25, 2007) (http://www.sec.gov/answers/
  mortgagesecurities.htm).
- 2. The defendants named herein are: (i) trustees which controlled the MBS trusts whose assets consisted of pools of residential mortgages in South Carolina and throughout the United States, and (ii) the mortgage servicing companies that managed the day-to-day operations of the payment processing and foreclosure proceedings at the direction of the trustee banks.
- 3. The U.S. government has financed the purchase of the MBS that used the defendants as trustees or servicers, and are missing assignments, or include forged assignments. The U.S. government is harmed by the resulting impaired value of the purchased securities and by the increased costs to prove good title to the mortgages purportedly in their MBS' asset pools, since the supporting documents are missing or forged.

- 4. Pursuant to the Federal Civil False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.<sup>1</sup> (the "FCA"), Relator seeks to recover, on behalf of the United States of America, damages and civil penalties arising from the sale by Defendants of MBS, and other forms of asset-backed securities, using funds provided by the United States ("U.S.") government.
- 5. Relator conducted her own investigations in furtherance of a False Claims Act qui tam action and found that The Defendants pursued and continue to pursue foreclosure actions using false and fabricated documents, particularly mortgage assignments. The Defendants used these fraudulent mortgage assignments to conceal that over 200 MBS trusts, each with mortgages valued at over \$1 billion, are missing critical documents, namely, the mortgage assignments that were required to have been delivered to the trusts at the inception of the trust. Without lawfully executed mortgage assignments, the value of the mortgages and notes held by the trusts is impaired because effective assignments are necessary for the trust to foreclose on its assets in the event of mortgage defaults. When the trustee banks discovered that the mortgage assignments were missing, the trustee banks, together with an associated servicing company, default management company and/or mortgage loan documentation company, devised and operated a scheme to replace the missing assignments with fraudulent, fabricated assignments. The purpose of this scheme was to meet the evidentiary requirements imposed by courts in the foreclosure cases, and to conceal from trust shareholders the true, impaired value of the assets of each of the trusts, crippled by the missing assignments and related documents.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This action is brought pursuant to both the FCA as it stood prior to the enactment of the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 (the "FERA") and the FCA, as amended by the FERA.

- 6. The fraud carried out by the Defendants in this case includes, *inter alia*:
  - Mortgage assignments with forged signatures of the individuals signing on behalf of the grantors, and forged signatures of the witnesses and the notaries;
  - Mortgage assignments with signatures of individuals signing as corporate officers for banks and mortgage companies that never employed them;
  - Mortgage assignments prepared and signed by individuals as corporate
    officers of mortgage companies that had been dissolved by bankruptcy
    years prior to the assignment;
  - Mortgage assignments prepared with purported effective dates unrelated to the date of any actual or attempted transfer (and in the case of trusts, years after the closing date of the trusts);
  - Mortgage assignments prepared on behalf of grantors who had never themselves acquired ownership of the mortgages and notes by a valid transfer, including numerous such assignments where the grantor was identified as "Bogus Assignee for Intervening Assignments;" and
  - Mortgage assignments notarized by notaries who never witnessed the signatures that they notarized.

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 31 U.S.C. § 3732.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants pursuant to subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because Defendants transact the business that is the subject matter of this lawsuit in the District of South Carolina and numerous acts proscribed by 31 U.S.C. § 3729 occurred in the District of South Carolina.
- 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because Defendants transact the business that is the subject matter of this lawsuit in the District of

South Carolina and numerous acts proscribed by 31 U.S.C. § 3729 occurred in the District of South Carolina.

### III. PARTIES

#### A. Relator

10. Relator Lynn E. Szymoniak brings this action on behalf of herself and the federal government pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733. Relator is an attorney specializing in white collar fraud in Palm Beach County, Florida. Relator resides and works in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida. Relator has direct and personal knowledge of the fraudulent scheme described herein.

#### B. Defendants

- 11. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE SERVICING, INC.

  ("AHMS") is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware. AHMS operates service centers in Irvine, California, Jacksonville, Florida, and Pune, India.

  AHMS' corporate headquarters is located at 1525 South Beltline Road, Coppell, Texas.
- 12. Defendant SAXON MORTGAGE SERVICES, INC. ("SAXON") is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware. SAXON's corporate headquarters is located at 4708 Mercantile Drive, Fort Worth, Texas.
- 13. Defendant LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. ("LPS") is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware. LPS' corporate headquarters is located at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida.
- 14. Defendant DOCX, LLC ("DOCX") is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Georgia. DOCX is a subsidiary of LPS. DOCX's principal place of business is located at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida.

- 15. Defendant AMC MORTGAGE SERVICES, INC., is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware. Prior to August 31, 2007, AMC MORTGAGE SERVICES was a subsidiary of ACC Capital Holdings Corporation, based in Orange, California, but on that date was sold to Citigroup and renamed CITI RESIDENTIAL LENDING, INC.. In 2009 and 2010, CITI RESIDENTIAL LENDING, INC., was merged into CITIMORTGAGE, INC. ("AMC/CITI"). Defendants CITI RESIDENTIAL LENDING, INC., and CITIMORTGAGE, INC., are both corporations formed under the laws of the State of Delaware, and are both subsidiaries of CITIGROUP, INC., based in New York, New York. AMC/CITI's corporate headquarters is located at 1000 Technology Drive, O'Fallon, Missouri.
- 16. Defendant WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, INC. d/b/a

  AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY ("WELLS/ASC") is a corporation formed under
  the laws of the State of Delaware. WELLS/ASC is a division of defendant WELLS

  FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, which is a subsidiary of WELLS

  FARGO & COMPANY, with a corporate headquarters in San Francisco, California.

  WELLS/ASC's corporate headquarters is located at 3476 Stateview Boulevard, Fort Mill,
  South Carolina.
- 17. Defendant BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION ("BA") is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware. BA's corporate headquarters is located at Bank of America Corporate Center, 100 North Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina. BA is successor-in-interest to LaSalle Bank.

- 18. Defendant THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON CORPORATION ("BONY") is a national bank. BONY's corporate headquarters is located at One Wall Street, New York, New York.
- 19. Defendant CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ("CITIBANK") is a national bank. CITIBANK is a subsidiary of CITIGROUP, INC., based in New York, New York. CITIBANK's corporate headquarters is located at 388 Greenwich Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York.
- 20. Defendant DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY ("DBNTC") is a trust company. DBNTC is a subsidiary of DEUTSCHE BANK AG, with a corporate headquarters in Frankfurt, Germany. DBNTC's corporate headquarters is located at 60 Wall Street, New York, New York.
- 21. Defendant DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS

  ("DBTCA") is a trust company. DBTCA is a subsidiary of DEUTSCHE BANK AG,
  with a corporate headquarters in Frankfurt, Germany. DBTCA's corporate headquarters
  is located at 60 Wall Street, New York, New York.
- 22. Defendant HSBC BANK USA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ("HSBC"), is a national bank. HSBC is a subsidiary of HSBC USA, INC., which, in turn, is a subsidiary of HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS, INC.. HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, based in London, England, is the parent company of HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS, INC.. HSBC's corporate headquarters is located at 1800 Tysons Boulevard, McLean, Virginia.

- 23. Defendant JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ("CHASE") is a national bank. CHASE is a subsidiary of JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.. CHASE's headquarters is located at 270 Park Avenue, New York, New York.
- 24. Defendant U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ("U.S. BANK") is a national bank. U.S. BANK is a subsidiary of U.S. BANCORP. U.S. BANK's corporate headquarters is located at U.S. Bancorp Center, 800 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- 25. Defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

  ("WELLS FARGO") is a national bank. WELLS FARGO is a subsidiary of WELLS

  FARGO & COMPANY, with corporate headquarters in San Francisco, California.

  WELLS FARGO's corporate headquarters is located at 101 North Phillips Avenue, Sioux

  Falls, South Dakota.
- 26. The defendants AHMS, SAXON, LPS, DOCX, AMC/CITI AND WELLS/ASC, described in paragraphs 11-16 above, are collectively referred to herein as the "Mortgage Foreclosure Servicing Defendants." The defendants BA, BONY, CITIBANK, DBNTC, DBTCA, HSBC, CHASE, U.S. BANK, and WELLS FARGO, described in paragraphs 17-25 above, are collectively referred to herein as the "Trustee Bank Defendants." All of the defendants, described in paragraphs 11-25 above, are collectively referred to herein as the "Defendants."

#### IV. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- A. Real Estate Sale, Mortgage Finance and Foreclosure Procedures
- 27. This case began with Relator's purchase of a home in Florida financed with a mortgage followed by a subsequent foreclosure case based on forged documents.

Relator's investigations revealed that Defendants' practices in a specific case occurred in numerous other cases, and tainted foreclosures in South Carolina and nationwide.

- 28. Generally, to finance the purchase and sale of real estate, the buyer borrows money from a bank or mortgage company. The procedure to loan money to a person to buy a home, and record the loan as a lien against the home, relies on a promissory note secured by a mortgage. The note and mortgage are signed by the buyer of the home, who is the borrower, and by an officer of the bank or mortgage company which originates the loan, *i.e.*, the lender.
- 29. The lender records the mortgage as a lien against the property by filing a copy with the county recorder's office in the county in which the property is located.

  Any assignment of a note and mortgage from the lender to a purchaser is attested simply by the signatures of the parties involved, which are often notarized or witnessed.
- 30. A subsequent purchaser traditionally records its ownership of the note and mortgage by filing the assignment with the county recorder's office. But in the case of the mortgage-backed securities trusts, recording of the assignments rarely occurred.
- 31. If the borrower defaults on the payments, the lender, or a new owner of the note and mortgage, can bring a foreclosure action in state court to obtain a court order for the auction of the property, and in this manner can receive payment on the loan by sale of the asset. In a foreclosure lawsuit, the plaintiff must prove that the property is subject to a note and mortgage, the plaintiff is the entity that lawfully owns the note and mortgage, and a default by non-payment occurred.

#### **B.** Relator's Mortgage

32. On or about April 30, 1998, Relator acquired a home on real property located at the address of 8268 Man O War Road, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida (the

- "Property"). Relator paid Three hundred ninety-two thousand eight hundred dollars (\$392,800) for the Property with a mortgage in the amount of Three hundred fourteen thousand two hundred and no/100 dollars (\$314,200).
- 33. On February 3, 2006, Relator refinanced her mortgage in the principal amount of Seven hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$780,000) ("Relator's Mortgage"). The lender was OPTION ONE. The lender's appraiser determined that the fair market value of the Property was approximately One million three hundred thousand dollars (\$1.3 million).
- 34. In 2009, the appraised value of Relator's home was Five hundred twenty-three thousand eight hundred fifty-three dollars (\$523,853), according to the Palm Beach County Appraiser's Office Web site.
- 35. In accordance with the terms of the note, Relator made monthly mortgage payments of Four thousand eight hundred two dollars and 59/100 (\$4,802.59) to OPTION ONE from February 2006 through April 2008.
- 36. Relator's Mortgage was an adjustable rate mortgage. Pursuant to its terms, the rate could adjust only on the first day of March 2008, and on the first day of the month every six months thereafter, provided the mortgage company gave written notice of the adjustment.
- 37. OPTION ONE did not adjust the interest rate on Relator's Mortgage on March 1, 2008. Instead, in violation of the terms of the note, on March 31, 2008, OPTION ONE raised the rate to 8.125%, thus increasing the monthly payment to Five thousand seven hundred forty dollars and 65/100 (\$5,740.65).

38. Relator promptly disputed the adjustment as a violation of the terms of the note but OPTION ONE never responded. On April 25, 2008, under protest and to advance negotiations, Relator made one payment at the higher rate, including a disputed late charge of Three hundred forty-four and 44/100 (\$344.44) incorrectly imposed by OPTION ONE, for a total payment of Six thousand eighty-five and 9/100 (\$6,085.09). Through July 2008, Relator continued to dispute with OPTION ONE the increased rate, but to no avail.

## C. Foreclosure Proceedings Against Relator

- 39. In July 2008, without any prior notice, a real property foreclosure action was filed against Relator, titled, DBNTC as Trustee for the Certificateholders of Soundview Home Loan Trust 2006-OPT2, Asset-backed Certificates, Series 2006-OPT2 v. Lynn Szymoniak, case number 50-2008-ca-022258 (Circuit Court, Palm Beach County, Florida)("Relator's Foreclosure"), to foreclose on the Property.
- 40. The action was commenced by DBNTC. DBNTC's complaint alleged that DBNTC owned the note originally made to OPTION ONE on February 3, 2006, for \$780,000; that it had possession of the note at some time, but that the original note was lost or destroyed, "the exact time and manner of said loss or destruction being unknown" but that it was entitled to enforce the original note; and that Relator was in default. As of June 2010, Relator's Foreclosure remains pending.
- 41. In Relator's Foreclosure, DBNTC attached to the complaint a copy of the original mortgage to OPTION ONE, but did not attach any documents that proved that a mortgage-backed securities trust had acquired Relator's Mortgage, nor did it make any specific allegations regarding the acquisition of the note and mortgage by DBNTC. The Relator first learned that Relator's Mortgage had allegedly been acquired by Soundview

Home Loan Trust 2006-OPT2 ("Soundview Trust") when she was served with the complaint for foreclosure. DBNTC's complaint alleges that DBNTC acts as the trustee for the Soundview Trust.

- 42. Soundview Trusts' prospectus, disseminated to the investors, represented that it held good title to the mortgages bundled into the mortgage-backed securities, including Relator's Mortgage, and that it possessed the executed assignments transferring the notes and mortgages from the originating lender to the Soundview Trust.
- 43. In reality, Soundview Trust did not hold the original assignments of the notes and mortgages.
  - D. Relator Discovers a Forged Mortgage Assignment Filed in Her Foreclosure by DBNTC
- 44. After the filing of Relator's Foreclosure, in August 2008 Relator's attorney in that action filed a Motion to Dismiss, based on DBNTC's lack of standing because DBNTC did not possess any title to Relator's note or mortgage. No assignment was produced, or filed and recorded. Indeed, DBNTC alleged that the mortgage and promissory note had been lost or destroyed in an unknown manner.
- 45. To cure the deficiencies of its initial allegations, in December 2009, DBNTC, through its attorneys, the Law Offices of Marshall C. Watson, P.A., filed in Relator's Foreclosure a Notice of Filing, attaching copies of three allegedly *bona fide* documents, as follows: (1) a copy of the note (previously alleged to have been lost or destroyed); (2) an allonge; <sup>2</sup> and (3) a mortgage assignment. The mortgage assignment document DBNTC filed with the Circuit Court is a forgery.

11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An "allonge" is defined as "[a] piece of paper annexed to a bill of exchange or promissory note, on which to write endorsements for which there is no room on the instrument itself." BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 70 (5<sup>th</sup> ed. 1979).

- 46. Relator discovered the forgery upon inspection and analysis and through her own investigations. First, the document, entitled, "Assignment of Mortgage," bears an execution date of October 28, 2008, months after the filing of Relator's Foreclosure. The grantor is "American Home Mortgage Servicing Inc. as successor-in-interest to Option One Mortgage Corporation," and the grantee is "Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as Trustee for the Certificateholders of Soundview Home Loan Trust 2006-OPT2, Asset-backed Certificates, Series 2006-OPT2." Two individuals, Linda Green and Jessica Ohde, each sign as "vice president" of "American Home Mortgage Servicing Inc. as successor-in-interest to Option One Mortgage Corporation." Two individuals, Korrell Harp and Christina Huang, sign as witnesses. As described below, Relator's investigation showed that Linda Green and Jessica Ohde were not employed by American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., and did not have any authority whatsoever to sign the document. Instead, they were employees of defendant LPS, or its subsidiary, defendant DOCX, and were part of a larger team, who were producing a vast number of forged mortgage assignments for use in foreclosure cases across the United States. The purported signatures of Linda Green and Jessica Ohde on the mortgage assignment submitted in Relator's Foreclosure were likely made by various other people, as Relator's comparison of their various forged mortgage assignments makes clear. The purported witness signatures were also forged, Relator's analysis shows.
- 47. Affixing or submitting false signatures on a mortgage document is a violation of federal and state law, and those signatures are without authority to complete the transaction. According to a mortgage fraud notice prepared jointly by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Mortgage Bankers Association, submitting false

mortgage assignments and forging signatures violates potentially eight federal criminal statutes. Specifically: (1) 18 U.S.C. § 1001 - Statements or entries generally; (2) 18 U.S.C. § 1010 - HUD and Federal Housing Administration transactions; (3) 18 U.S.C. § 1014 - Loan and credit applications generally; (4) 18 U.S.C. § 1028 - Fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents; (5) 18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Frauds and swindles by mail; (6) 18 U.S.C. § 1342 - Fictitious name or address; (7) 18 U.S.C. § 1343 - Fraud by wire; and (8) 18 U.S.C. § 1344 - Bank Fraud. *See* FBI Mortgage Fraud Notice (*available at* http://www.mbaa.org/FBIMortgageFraudWarning.htm); *see, also,* Truth in Lending Act, title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1601 *et seq.*; Florida Statutes 817.545, Mortgage fraud (penalty is a felony of the third degree).

- 48. False or fraudulent notary acknowledgements are also a violation of Florida law, and the underlying signature is void. Florida Statute 117.05, False or fraudulent acknowledgements (penalty is a felony of the third degree). South Carolina has corresponding Statute, as explained in detail below.
- 49. The signatures contained on the assignments filed in Relator's Foreclosure are fraudulent, in violation of federal and Florida law, and do not serve to assign the note and mortgage to DBNTC. Therefore, DBNTC lacks any authority to act on Relator's note and mortgage, is not a true party in interest in Relator's Foreclosure, and cannot prosecute the foreclosure.
- 50. Even if DBNTC believes it owns Relator's Mortgage, in Relator's Foreclosure DBNTC may prove unable to establish title or will expend significant funds in an effort to prove its allegations that it is the lawful owner of Relator's Mortgage since

DBNTC lacks any supporting documents. The amount DBNTC will expend to prove its ownership is materially more than DBNTC would have spent if it had complied with the procedures described in the Soundview Trust prospectus, or had presented truthful documents to the Circuit Court in Relator's Foreclosure.

- So, too, more widely, in foreclosure cases in South Carolina around the United States the costs to foreclose are higher without any supporting documents. In each foreclosure case, the U.S. government, as a purchaser of MBS with missing or forged assignments, is financially harmed by the impaired value of the securities that it purchased and by the Defendant Trustee Banks' inability, or excessive expenditures, to prove good title to the mortgages purportedly in their MBS' asset pools, since the supporting documents are missing or forged.
- 52. Through her investigations Relator uncovered a wide spread pattern and practice of forging documents. Relator's investigation was prompted as a result of defending her own foreclosure.
- 53. In each of the cases involving fraudulent mortgage assignments, the mortgage servicing company was or is defendant AHMS, AMC/CITI, WELLS/ASC or SAXON, and the mortgage default management company was or is defendant LPS.
- 54. Since at least 2007, Defendants have known of the significant and serious problems in the Missing Mortgage Assignments Trusts ("MMA Trusts"), but have failed to disclose these problems and, instead, have actively concealed these problems from the SEC, and all investors, including the Treasury, and the Federal Reserve Bank.

- E. Relator Reports Discovery of Mortgage Assignment Fraud to Authorities
- 55. In December 2009, Relator began reporting her findings of widespread fabricated mortgage assignments used by mortgage-backed securities trusts to the: (1) Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; (2) U.S. House of Representatives, Financial Oversight Committee, and its Housing and Community Opportunity Subcommittee; (3) Financial Crisis Oversight Commission; (4) U.S. Attorney for the Middle District of Florida; (5) State Attorney for Palm Beach County, Florida; and (6) various Florida Court Clerks, among others.
- 56. Upon information and belief, Relator's findings communicated to the FBI Special Agent in Jacksonville, Florida were brought to the attention of Fannie Mae and an investigation ensued.

### V. THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES MARKET

- A. Mortgage-backed Securities Trusts and the Trustees' Role
- 57. Although relatively unknown and unused prior to 2004, MBS trusts held approximately one-third of all residential mortgages in the United States by the end of 2009.
- 58. Such trusts hold a pool of approximately 5,000 residential mortgages, with notes, with a stated value of \$1.5 to \$2.5 billion in each trust.
- 59. These trusts are each governed by Pooling & Servicing Agreements establishing the duties of various entities involved in the creation and operation of the trust.

- 60. One of the most significant duties of the trustee is the conveyance of the mortgages and notes from the original lenders to the depositor, then to the trust. This conveyance is done via mortgage assignments.
- 61. The trusts represent to purchasers of their securities that the notes and mortgages (bundled to form the asset pool of the trust) will be delivered with assignments to the trust in recordable form, so that they will be able to foreclose on the assets in the event of default.
- 62. Despite the trusts' representations, in many trusts the assignments of the notes and mortgages were not delivered at all to the trustee or, if delivered, were allegedly lost or misplaced.
- diminished because the trustee is unable to establish clear chain-of-title to pursue a foreclosure action. The trust usually turned to a "lost note affidavit" procedure to assert ownership. Faced with an increasing number of inaccuracies in foreclosure cases, courts have increasingly established minimum evidentiary standards requiring more than a lost note affidavit. Rather than pursuing the proper procedure for obtaining valid assignments, the Defendants have filed forged mortgage assignments in court to support their foreclosure cases.
  - B. Mortgage-backed Securities Trusts, Controlled by the Trustee Bank Defendants Allegedly Purchased Notes and Mortgages on Homes in South Carolina and Across the United States
- 64. The MBS trusts, controlled by the Trustee Bank Defendants were created, packaged and sold between 2004 and 2009, during the rapid expansion of the MBS industry.

- 65. Relator's investigations revealed that between 2004 and 2009, the MBS trusts, controlled by the Trustee Bank Defendants, allegedly acquired notes and mortgages for homes in South Carolina, and across the United States.
- 66. Notes and mortgages on homes located in South Carolina are identified as assets in the prospectus of the MBS trusts controlled by the Trustee Bank Defendants. The prospectus specifies the location by state of the homes subject to the notes and mortgages acquired by the trusts and includes properties for which foreclosures with forged assignments are pending. For example, South Carolina properties are included in a MBS created in 2004, entitled "American Home Mortgage Investment Trust 2004-4", among the forged assignments, with defendant BONY as the trustee. A review of the prospectus further shows that this MBS contains a total of 341 South Carolina homes, with a principal aggregate value of \$52 million, as illustrated in Table 1, below. All of these filings, including the forging of mortgage assignments to the American Home Mortgage Investment Trust 2004-4, show that Defendants' fraudulent practices spread widely in South Carolina.

Table 1. South Carolina Homes Controlled by Defendant BONY in the American Home Mortgage Investment Trust 2004-4

Group No.	No. Mortgages in S.C.	Principal Value
Group I	27	\$6,925,746.82
Group II	56	\$8,264,377.00
Group III	12	\$8,802,181.56
Group IV	91	\$12,874,666.42
Group V	6	\$2,984,900.00
Group VI	77	\$9,159,103.53
Group VII	72	\$3,249,689.06
TOTAL	341	\$52,260,664.39

*Source*: Prospectus of American Home Mortgage Investment Trust 2004-4, Prospectus Supplement dated December 17, 2004, tables entitled, "Geographic distribution of the mortgage properties," entries for S.C.: Group I, at 4; Group II, at 12; Group III, at 23; Group IV, at 32; Group V, at 42; Group VI, at 64; and Group VII, at 72, SEC Form 424B5 (filed Dec. 22, 2004).

#### C. The Foreclosure Problem in South Carolina and the United States

- 67. The foreclosure problem in South Carolina, and the United States, is substantial. As of February 2010, South Carolina has 3,114 homes reportedly in foreclosure, an increase of 26% from a year ago.
- 68. As of December 31, 2009, South Carolina has 2.53% of its so-called "prime mortgages" in foreclosure, ranking 16th nationally, according to figures compiled by the Richmond office of the Federal Reserve Bank. Among riskier, subprime mortgages in South Carolina, 11.32% were in foreclosure, which ranks 28th nationally. Lisa Hearl *et al.*, "Quarterly Report: Housing Market and Mortgage Performance in Maryland and the District of Columbia," The Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, at 8 (April 2010) (<a href="https://www.richmondfed.org/">http://www.richmondfed.org/</a> community development/resource centers/foreclosure/research\_and\_pubs/mortgage\_per formance\_summaries/md\_dc/pdf/mortgage\_performance\_mddc\_20094q.pdf).
- 69. The problems in South Carolina reflect a national trend. In the most recent quarter, a record number of foreclosures has occurred in the United States. On May 10, 2010, *Bloomberg* reported: "Bank repossessions in the U.S. rose 35 percent in the first quarter from a year earlier to a record 257,944, according to RealtyTrac Inc." Brian Louis, "Mortgage Holders Owing More Than Homes Are Worth Rise to 23%," *Bloomberg*, May 10, 2010 (<a href="http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive-wsid=all-DMOrP8m3M">http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive-wsid=all-DMOrP8m3M</a>).

- 70. The size of the foreclosure problem is growing, with "the 1.8 million foreclosures already tallied in the first half of this year by Equifax and Moody's Economy.com, and by projections for 3 million to 4 million foreclosures over two years." Elise Craig, "Mortgage Modifications Can't Catch Foreclosures," *BusinessWeek*, Aug. 4, 2009 (http://www.businessweek.com/bwdaily/dnflash/content/ aug2009/db2009084\_229370.htm).
- 71. Other sources suggest that as many as five to six million homes will end up in foreclosure beyond the number already seized. Dan Levy, "U.S. Home Seizures Reach Record as Recovery Delayed," *Bloomberg*, May 13, 2010 ("About 5 million delinquent loans will probably end up in the foreclosure process in addition to the 1.2 million homes already taken back by lenders, Sharga said.")

  (<a href="http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=akVDiuetiH5I">http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=akVDiuetiH5I</a>).
- 72. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York states that it "considers the record rate of mortgage delinquencies, foreclosures and their impacts on communities an urgent problem." The Federal Reserve Bank of N.Y. (2010)

  (http://data.newyorkfed.org/creditconditionsmap/).
  - D. Defendants' Role in the Foreclosure Actions in the South Carolina Courts and Defendants' Filing of Forged Mortgage Assignments in South Carolina, and Across the United States, Worsening the Foreclosure Problem
- 73. The Defendants' illegal activities, conducted in South Carolina and elsewhere, play a significant role in making the foreclosure problem worse in South Carolina, and the United States.

- 74. The Mortgage Foreclosure Servicing Defendants (*e.g.*, WELLS/ASC, AHMS, SAXON and AMC/CITI) are significant participants in foreclosure actions in the South Carolina courts.
- 75. Defendant WELLS/ASC primarily services loans for investors, including loans that were packaged into securities and sold by lenders into the secondary market. WELLS/ASC services residential mortgages from across country from its corporate headquarters in Fort Mill, South Carolina, particularly loans that are bundled into MBS trusts and have defendant WELLS FARGO as the trustee. The activity of WELLS/ASC in South Carolina residential foreclosures is so intense that "Wells Fargo Home Mortgage" is named as a party in approximately 700 bankruptcy cases filed in South Carolina as of April 25, 2010, according to court dockets.
- 76. Likewise, defendant AHMS primarily services loans that are packaged into MBS trusts and have defendant DBNTC as the trustee. Defendant DBNTC is one of the most active plaintiffs in foreclosure cases in South Carolina, and throughout the United States. Defendant DBNTC has such a large presence in the South Carolina mortgage market that it is named as a party in approximately 400 bankruptcy cases filed in South Carolina as of April 25, 2010, according to court dockets.
- 77. Additionally, foreclosures in South Carolina were affected by the activities of Saxon Mortgage Servicing, the mortgage servicing division of defendant SAXON.

  Saxon Mortgage was such a large presence in the South Carolina mortgage market that it is named as a party in approximately 500 bankruptcy cases filed in South Carolina as of April 25, 2010, according to court dockets.

- 78. Finally, defendant AMC/CITI (d/b/a AMC Mortgage Servicing, Inc., and then acquired by Citi Residential Lending) was the primary loan servicer for the now defunct Ameriquest Mortgage, Inc.. There are over 17 MBS trusts in the U.S. that are primarily comprised of mortgages made by Ameriquest Mortgage, and that have defendant DBNTC as the trustee. Both Ameriquest and AMC/CITI were such a large presence in the South Carolina mortgage market that Ameriquest is named as a party in approximately 200 bankruptcy cases, and AMC/CITI is named in approximately 140 bankruptcy cases, filed in South Carolina as of April 25, 2010, according to court dockets.
- 79. The Mortgage Foreclosure Servicing Defendants service mortgages in MBS trusts, including thousands of South Carolina properties. The defendant Trustee Banks serve as trustees for these MBS trusts.
- 80. Furthermore, the Defendants all use the default management services provided by defendant LPS to manage loan defaults. When a homeowner defaults on his or her mortgage, and that mortgage loan has been acquired by a MBS trust, the serving company, acting as an agent of the trust, uses LPS to retain counsel and oversee litigation, and to provide documents necessary for foreclosing. Defendant LPS has retained attorneys to file foreclosures in the South Carolina courts, and in courts nationwide, and has directed their attorneys to file forged documents in those foreclosure cases. More than 100 forged mortgage assignments were prepared by LPS and recorded in South Carolina for the purposes of foreclosure.
- 81. In the 2009 Annual Report, Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2010, LPS represents that its services are used by a majority of the 50 largest banks in the

United States. Its technology solutions include its mortgage processing system which processes over 50% of all residential mortgages by dollar volume. Its outsourcing services include the "default management services which are used by mortgage lenders and servicers to reduce the expense of managing defaulted loans..."

82. In the 2009 Annual Report, LPS states in 2009, its two largest customers, defendants WELLS FARGO and CHASE, each accounted for more than 10% of LPS' aggregate revenue and more than 10% of the revenue from each of the Technology, Data and Analytics and Loan Transaction Services segments. LPS Annual Report for 2009, SEC Form 10-K (filed Feb. 23, 2010), at 5, available at

http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1429775/000095012310015599/g22183e10vk.htm.

# VI. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTS VIOLATE THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT</u>

- 83. Defendants created mortgage-backed securities lacking lawful assignments of the notes and mortgage to the trusts, and then forged the missing assignments by having employees or agents of defendant LPS, on behalf of all the other Defendants, sign using false corporate officer titles, false dates of assignment, and forged signatures.
- 84. By 2008, at the latest, the Trustee Bank Defendants knew, or reasonably should have known, that the requisite mortgage assignments were lost, or had never been delivered, for the MBS sold to the U.S. government.
- 85. By 2008, at the latest, the Trustee Bank Defendants knew, or reasonably should have known, that foreclosure cases with missing assignments would draw the scrutiny of courts or would not be accepted by the courts, preventing foreclosure, or increasing the costs substantially by requiring document custodian witnesses to testify in

court. The higher procedural costs of the foreclosures is borne by the trusts and thus the value of the trusts' securities is materially diminished.

- 86. Rather than disclose that the assignments were missing for many trusts, the Defendants embarked on a plan to forge assignments to comply with the evidentiary standards of the courts in foreclosure cases.
- 87. The Trustee Bank Defendants directed the Mortgage Foreclosure Servicing Defendants to prepare and file forged mortgage assignments to replace the missing assignments.
- 88. After discovering the forged mortgage assignment in Relator's Foreclosure, Relator investigated approximately one thousand mortgage assignments prepared by defendant DOCX and found the same forged assignments in all of these cases.
- 89. In her investigation, Relator examined similar assignments prepared in LPS's offices in Dakota County, Minnesota, and in Jacksonville, Florida, and found that the forgeries were also created in these offices. Ironically, LPS claims on its Web site as follows: "Our full service, integrated solution eliminates errors and delays that can come from employing multiple title companies, while providing seamless service and consistent quality control throughout the entire default cycle." Relator further examined assignments prepared by certain of the law firms used by LPS in its default management processes and found that, in many cases, the law firm employees were signing as officers of grantors, without any authority or power of attorney, so that certain trusts could foreclose. These assignments, as all the others that Relator had examined, had the same, or similar, false information regarding transfer of ownership to the trust as the

assignments prepared in the other offices of LPS. Moreover, Relator found that the law firm employees signed as officers of an industry database, called the Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS"), without disclosing that they were associates or support staff in the foreclosing law firms.

- 90. The employees or agents of defendants WELLS/ASC in South Carolina, and LPS, and/or its subsidiary, DOCX, with offices in three states, who participated in the fraudulent assignments mainly recorded in South Carolina, include: (i) the officers based in the State of SOUTH CAROLINA: (1) John Kennerty; (2) Nicki Cureton; (3) China Brown; (4) Heather Carrico; (5) Elizabeth Mathis; (6) Anita Antonelli, (7) Natasha Clark, and (8) Joseph Kaminski; (ii) the officers based in GEORGIA: (9) Linda Green; (10) Korell Harp; (11) Linda Thoresen; (12) Tywanna Thomas; (13) Jessica Ohde; (14) Brittany Snow; (15) Lorraine O'Reilly Brown; (16) Ron Meharg; (iii) the officers based in FLORIDA: (17) Michelle Halyard, (18) Kathy Smith; (19) Cynthia Stevens; (20) Joseph Kaminski; and (iv) the officers based in MINNESOTA: (21) Greg Allen; (22) Liquenda Allotney; (23) Alfonzo Greene; (24) Topako Love; (25) Christina Allen; (26) John Cody, (27) Laura Hescott, (28) Eric Tate, (29) Bethany Hood, (30) Cecilia Knox, (31) Becky North, (32) Jodi Sobotta, (33) Rick Wilken, and others, as detailed below.
- 91. Likewise, the employees or agents of defendants AHMS and Saxon Robert Hardman and John Cottrell participated in the fraudulent assignments.

#### A. False Corporate Officer Titles and Forged Signatures

92. After examining the forged mortgage assignment filed in Relator's own foreclosure, including the signatures of "Linda Green" and "Jessica Ohde," Relator located numerous other examples of assignments signed by those names. Relator also located other examples of documents signed by the same witnesses, "Korrell Harp" and

"Christina Huang." Indeed, Relator discovered a number of forgeries using the signature of Linda Green, and others. The results of Relator's investigation are described below.

#### (i) South Carolina

- 93. The name "John Kennerty" appears on over one thousand mortgage assignments prepared by America's Servicing Company (*i.e.*, defendant WELLS/ASC) in Fort Mill, South Carolina. On these assignments, Kennerty signs as an officer of the grantor, but he was, in reality, just an employee of defendant WELLS/ASC, the mortgage servicing company of these grantee trusts.
- 94. On WELLS/ASC-prepared mortgage assignments, at least three different job titles are listed for John Kennerty, who also signs using the name, "Herman John Kennerty." Titles attributed to Kennerty include the following:
- 95. Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., acting soley as nominee for Mortgage Network, Inc.;
- 96. VP of Loan Documentation, Wells Fargo Bank, NA Attorney-in-Fact-For-Home 123 Corporation; and
- 97. VP of Loan Documentation, Wells Fargo Bank, NA Attorney-in-Fact-For-New Century Mortgage Corporation.
- 98. The use of so many different corporate titles for John Kennerty shows it is unlikely that he was actually the officer of any of the named companies; John Kennerty lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies.
- 99. The assignments signed by Kennerty also contain false dates of assignment.
- 100. The name "Nicki Cureton" appears on over one thousand mortgage assignments prepared by defendant WELLS/ASC in Fort Mill, South Carolina. On these

assignments, Cureton signs as an officer of the grantor, but Cureton instead works for WELLS/ASC, the mortgage servicing company of these grantee trusts. Without any authority, Cureton signs as the officer of the grantor, or as a MERS officer, for at least 10 different mortgage companies.

- 101. The use of so many different corporate titles for Nicki Cureton shows it is unlikely that she was actually the officer of any of the named companies; Nicki Cureton lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies.
- 102. The Assignments signed by Cureton also contain false dates of assignment.
- 103. The names "China Brown," "Heather Carrico," "Elizabeth Mathis", "Natasha Clark," appear on over one thousand mortgage assignments prepared by defendant WELLS/ASC in Fort Mill, South Carolina. On these assignments, Brown, Carrico, Mathis or Clark signs as an officer of the grantor, but they are actually employees of WELLS/ASC, the agent mortgage servicing companies of these grantee trusts. Without any authority, Brown, Carrico, Mathis or Clark signs as the officer of the grantor, or as a MERS officer, for at least 10 different mortgage companies.
- 104. The use of so many different corporate titles for Brown, Carrico, Mathis or Clark shows it is unlikely that they were actually the officer of any of the named companies; Brown, Carrico, Mathis and Clark lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies.
- 105. The Assignments signed by Brown, Carrico, Mathis or Clark also contain false dates of assignment.

- 106. The assignments created by defendant WELLS/ASC were prepared and filed only in those cases in which foreclosure was likely, due to the default in payments by the borrower-homeowners. When the loans went into default, defendants AHMS, SAXON, WELLS/ASC or AMC/CITI hired defendant LPS, who in turn retained law firms. At the direction of their clients, the law firms submitted to the courts the false and forged documents prepared by LPS and its subsidiary, DOCX.
- 107. In South Carolina, for example, the Korn Law Firm was retained by and acted under the direction of LPS. Employees of the Korn Law Firm signed as officers of an industry database, called the Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS"), without disclosing that they were associates or support staff in the foreclosing law firms.
- 108. The Korn Law firm submitted to the courts forged mortgage assignments prepared by employees at the LPS offices in Dakota County, Minnesota, Alpharetta, Georgia, or Jacksonville, Florida.

### (ii) Georgia

- 109. The name "Linda Green" appears on over 100 mortgage assignments prepared by defendant DOCX. On these assignments, Green signs as an officer of the grantor but she was, in reality, just an employee of defendant DOCX.
- 110. On DOCX-prepared mortgage assignments, at least 18 different job titles are listed for Linda Green, with different titles assigned to Green even on the same day.

  Titles attributed to Green include the following:
  - Vice President, Loan Documentation, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., successor by merger to Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc.;

- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Home Mortgage;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Brokers Conduit;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for HLB Mortgage;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for Family Lending Services, Inc.;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for AIIM Mortgage;
- Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc. as successor-ininterest to Option One Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President & Asst. Secretary, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., as servicer for Ameriquest Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President, Option One Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc.;
- Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc. as successor-ininterest to Option One Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President, Argent Mortgage Company, LLC by Citi Residential Lending Inc., attorney-in-fact;
- Vice President, Sand Canyon Corporation f/k/a Option One Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President of Optimum Mortgage Group LLC by Sand Canyon Corporation f/k/a Option One Mortgage Corporation as Attorney-in-Fact;
- Vice President of Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for the Certificateholders of Soundview Home Loan Trust 2006-OPT1, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2006-OPTI;
- Vice President, Amtrust Funsing (sic) Services, Inc., by American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc. as Attorney-in-fact; and

- Vice President, Seattle Mortgage Company.
- 111. The use of so many different corporate titles for Linda Green shows it is unlikely that she was actually the officer of any of the named companies; Linda Green lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies.
- 112. Further, Linda Green did not sign all of the documents to which her name is affixed. At least eight different persons have signed on the DOCX-prepared assignments. Likewise, so many people signed mortgage assignment documents using her name, Linda Green, that the notarization of those signatures is clearly unreliable, and fraudulent.
- 113. Similarly, the name "Korell Harp" appears on over 100 mortgage assignments prepared by DOCX. On these assignments, Harp signs as an officer of the grantor.
- 114. On DOCX-prepared mortgage assignments, at least 14 different job titles are listed for Korell Harp, with different titles assigned to Harp on the same day. Titles attributed to Harp include the following:
  - Vice President, American Brokers Conduit;
  - Vice President, American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
  - Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc.;
  - Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc. as successor-ininterest to Option One Mortgage Corporation;
  - Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc. as successor-ininterest to Option One Mortgage Corporation;
  - Vice President, Option One Mortgage Corporation;
  - Vice President & Asst. Secretary, Argent Mortgage Company, LLC by Citi Residential Lending, Inc., as Attorney in Fact;

- Vice President, J.S. Shirk and Associates, Inc. by American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., as Attorney-In-Fact;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Brokers Conduit;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Home Mortgage;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., acting solely as a nominee for HLB Mortgage; and
- Vice President, Sand Canyon Corporation, f/k/a Option One Mortgage.
- 115. The use of so many different corporate titles for Korell Harp shows it is unlikely that he was actually the officer of any of the named companies; Korell Harp lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies.
- 116. Further, Korell Harp did not sign all of the documents to which his name is affixed. At least five different persons have signed the name Korell Harp on the DOCX-prepared assignments. Likewise, so many people signed mortgage assignment documents using his name, Korell Harp, that the notarization of those signatures is clearly unreliable, and fraudulent.
- 117. The name "Linda Thoresen" appears on over 100 mortgage assignments prepared by DOCX. On these assignments, Thoresen signs as an officer of the grantor.

- 118. On DOCX-prepared mortgage assignments, at least six different job titles are listed for Linda Thoresen, with different titles assigned to Thoresen on the same day. Titles attributed to Thoresen include the following:
  - Asst. Vice President, American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
  - Asst. Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc. as successor-in-interest to Option One Mortgage Corporation;
  - Asst. Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.;
  - Asst. Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for American Brokers Conduit;
  - Asst. Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.; and
  - Asst. Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. acting solely as a nominee for Lenders Direct Capital Corporation.
- 119. The use of so many different corporate titles for Linda Thoresen shows it is unlikely that she was actually the officer of any of the named companies; Linda Thoresen lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies. .
- 120. Further, Linda Thoresen did not sign all of the documents to which her name is affixed. At least three different persons have signed the name Linda Thoresen on the DOCX-prepared assignments. Likewise, so many people signed mortgage assignment documents using her name, Linda Thoresen, that the notarization of those signatures is clearly unreliable, and fraudulent.
- 121. The name "Tywanna Thomas" appears on over 100 mortgage assignments prepared by DOCX. On these assignments, Thomas signs as an officer of the grantor.

- 122. On DOCX-prepared mortgage assignments, at least 21 different job titles are listed for Tywanna Thomas, with different titles assigned to Thomas even on the same day. Titles attributed to Thomas include the following:
  - Assistant Vice President, American Home Mortgage;
  - Assistant Vice President, American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
  - Assistant Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc.;
  - Vice President & Assistant Secretary, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., as servicer for Ameriquest Mortgage Company;
  - Vice President & Assistant Secretary, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., as servicer for Argent Mortgage Company, LLC;
  - Assistant Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc as successor-in-interest to Option One Mortgage Corporation;
  - Vice President & Assistant Secretary, Argent Mortgage Corporation, LLC by Citi Residential Lending, Inc., as Attorney in Fact;
  - Assistant Vice President, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as Indenture Trustee for American Home Mortgage Investment Trust 2005-2 Mortgage-Backed Notes, Series 2005-2 by American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc, as Attorney-in Fact;
  - Vice President & Assistant Secretary, Inc., as servicer for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as trustee for, Ameriquest Mortgage Securities, Inc., asset-backed pass-through certificates, series 2004-R7, under the pooling and servicing agreement dated July 1, 2004;
  - Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.;
  - Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Brokers Conduit;
  - Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., acting solely as nominee for American Home Mortgage;
  - Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;

- Assistant Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for Family Lending Services, Inc.;
- Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., acting solely as a nominee for HLB Mortgage;
- Assistant Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for Homestar Mortgage Lending Corp.;
- Assistant Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., A Separate Corporation that is acting solely as Nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns [Beckman Mortgage Corporation];
- Assistant Vice President, Nationwide Home Loans, Inc, by American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., as Attorney-In-Fact;
- Assistant Vice President, Option One Mortgage Corporation;
- Assistant Vice President, Sand Canyon Corporation f/k/a Option One Mortgage Corporation; and
- Assistant Vice President, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for First Franklin Mortgage Loan Trust 2002-FF1, Asset-Backed Certs., Series 2002-FF1.
- 123. The use of so many different corporate titles for Tywanna Thomas shows it is unlikely that she was actually the officer of any of the named companies; Tywanna Thomas lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies.
- 124. Further, Tywanna Thomas did not sign all of the documents to which her name is affixed. At least three different persons have signed the name Tywanna Thomas on the DOCX-prepared assignments. Likewise, so many people signed mortgage assignment documents using her name, Tywanna Thomas, that the notarization of those signatures is clearly unreliable, and fraudulent.
- 125. The name "Jessica Ohde" appears on over 100 mortgage assignments prepared by DOCX. On these assignments, Ohde signs as an officer of the grantor.

- 126. On DOCX-prepared mortgage assignments, at least six different job titles are listed for Jessica Ohde, with different titles assigned to Ohde even on the same day.

  Titles attributed to Ohde include the following:
  - Assistant Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc.;
  - Assistant Vice President, American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., as successor-in-interest to Option One Mortgage Corporation;
  - Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for American Brokers Conduit;
  - Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., acting solely as nominees for American Home Mortgage;
  - Assistant Secretary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., acting solely as nominees for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.; and
  - Vice President, Seattle Mortgage.
- 127. The use of so many different corporate titles for Jessica Ohde shows it is unlikely that she was actually the officer of any of the named companies; Jessica Ohde lacked corporate authority to sign the documents of behalf of the companies. .
- 128. Further, Jessica Ohde did not sign all of the documents to which her name is affixed. At least three different persons have signed the name Jessica Ohde on the DOCX-prepared assignments. Likewise, so many people signed mortgage assignment documents using her name, Jessica Ohde, that the notarization of those signatures is clearly unreliable, and fraudulent.
- 129. Other individuals who misrepresented themselves to be bank officers or mortgage company officers on mortgage assignments prepared by DOCX, who were actually clerical employees of DOCX, also include: (1) Brent Bagley, (2) Christie Baldwin, (3) Christina Huang and (4) Shelly Scheffey. There are such significant

variations in the signatures of these individuals that it is very likely that some of these signatures were also forged. So many people signed mortgage assignment documents using those names, the notarization of those signatures is unreliable, and fraudulent.

- 130. Many of the mortgage assignments prepared by DOCX have a customer code indicated, in the left-hand corner of the document, under the address information. In over 950 of 1,000 examples reviewed by Relator, the customer code was "AHMA." Upon information and belief, AHMA stands for "American Home Mortgage Acquisition," a company formed by Wilbur Ross in 2007, to acquire the \$45 billion servicing unit of American Home Mortgage Investment Corporation when that company filed for bankruptcy. AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE SERVICING, INC., was formed in 2008 when Ross acquired the mortgage servicing business from American Home Mortgage Investment Corporation.
- 131. A review of the mortgage assignments prepared by DOCX and all notarized during a single day, July 30, 2009, from 13 Florida counties ((1) Broward, (2) Escambia, (3) Hillsborough, (4) Lake, (5) Lee, (6) Marion, (7) Martin, (8) Miami-Dade, (9) Nassau, (10) Osceola, (11) Palm Beach, (12) Sarasota, and (13) St. Lucie) showed that DOCX prepared a high-volume of mortgage assignments for trusts that used AHMS as the mortgage servicer and LPS as the default management company.
- 132. These assignments were prepared and filed only in those cases where foreclosure was likely, due to the default in payments by the borrower/homeowners.

  When the loans went into default, AHMS hired LPS, who in turn retained and supervised the law firms that filed most of the foreclosure actions on behalf of the trusts and used the forged LPS documentation, or assignments fabricated at their law firms, to pursue these

foreclosures. In Florida, for example, five of the largest foreclosure law firms were each retained by, and acted at the direction of LPS: (1) Florida Default Law Group; (2) the Law Offices of Marshall C. Watson, P.A.; (3) the Law Offices of David J. Stern, P.A.; (4) the Law Offices of Daniel C. Consuegra, P.A.; and (5) Shapiro & Fishman, LLP.

- 133. Each of the thousands of assignments from July 30, 2009, had the signatures of Linda Green and Tywanna Thomas as officers of the bank or corporation identified on the assignment as the grantor.
- 134. On each assignment from July 30, 2009, the signature of Linda Green was witnessed by Dawn Williams and the signature of Tywanna Thomas was witnessed by Christina Huang.
- 135. With one exception, each of the assignments prepared on July 30, 2009, was notarized by the same notary, Chris Ivey, in Fulton County, Georgia.
- 136. There are three distinct variations of the Linda Green signature on the assignments prepared on July 30, 2009. In Version 1 of the Linda Green signature, the signature is characterized by a lower case "L" and no discernible "A" in Linda. In Version 2 of the Linda Green signature, the signature is characterized by traditional cursive letters. In Version 3 of the Linda Green signature, the signature is characterized by a large loopy "D" in Linda as the largest letter in the signature and a lower case "L."
- 137. Likewise, there are two distinct versions of the Tywanna Thomas signature on the assignments prepared on July 30, 2009. In Version 1 of the Tywanna Thomas signature, the letters are written in lower-case cursive, with a distinct backhand, and only the first letter or the first two letters, "T" or "Th," of the last name are written. In Version 2, the signature has a distinct slant to the right and the Ts resemble printed

- capital Ts. Only the first letters of each word are written so that "Tywanna" is written as "Ty" and "Thomas" is written as "T."
- 138. The different signature versions correspond to different batch numbers located in the upper left hand corner of the assignments. All of the assignments with Green Signature Version 1 were used on assignments with Batch Number 6647; all of the assignments with Green Signature Version 2 were used on assignments with Batch Number 6643; the assignment, with Linda Green Signature Version 3, had a different Batch Number: 6633.
- 139. An examination of the batch numbers and signing dates shows that defendant DOCX produced nearly 1,000 "batches" of mortgage assignments in 2008 and 2009. Examples of dates corresponding to Batch Numbers include the following:

## Date / Batch / Name / County / State

- 4-1-2008, Batch 1337 Fray, Broward Co, FL
- 8-13-2008, Batch 1737 Santiago, St. Lucie Co, FL
- 12-2-2008, Batch 3434 Shrock, Osceola Co, FL
- 12-29-2008, Batch 3908 Ortiz, South Essex Co, MA
- 1-14-2009, Batch 4122 Boylan, South Essex Co, MA
- 1-21-2009, Batch 4220 Pelosi, South Essex Co, MA
- 2-6-2009, Batch 4434 Clemons, South Essex Co, MA
- 2-11-2009, Batch 4483 Sorrentino, South Essex Co, MA
- 2-17-2009, Batch 4553 Vey, Lee Co, FL
- 3-16-2009, Batch 4919 Banks, South Essex Co, MA
- 4-23-2009, Batch 5430 Vioria, South Essex Co, MA
- 5-15-2009, Batch 5707 Dennis, Queens Co, NY
- 7-16-2009, Batch 6458 Russoniello, Broward Co, FL
- 7-24-2009, Batch 6572 Cruz, Clay Co, FL
- 7-24-2009, Batch 6572 Scheetz, Clay Co, FL
- 7-24-2009, Batch 6572 Curren, Clay Co, FL
- 8-12-2009, Batch 6842 Ashton, South Essex Co, MA
- 8-24-2009, Batch 7131 Quintero, Palm Beach Co, FL
- 11-13-2009, Batch 9140 Dandreo, South Essex Co, MA
- 12-1-2009, Batch 9416 Velazquez, Lee Co, FL

- 140. The same names (Green, Thomas, Harp, Thoresen, Odhe, and a few others) were used on thousands of forged assignments filed in South Carolina, Arizona, California, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York and other states in 2008 and 2009. Each of those signatures is likely forged or fraudulent, and the assignments to which they were affixed are also fraudulent and legally invalid. The MBS trustees, which claim ownership of each of these mortgages, will incur significantly higher costs of foreclosure in proving lawful title to the subject property, or will never be able to prove ownership based on forged signatures on assignments to their respective MBS trusts. The resulting financial harm to the investors of the MBS, including the U.S. government, is substantial.
- 141. In 2008 and 2009, DOCX prepared and filed over one thousand "batches," with approximately 1,000 assignments in each batch, or nearly one million such assignments. DOCX used numerous DOCX employees to sign these names, and paid notaries to falsely notarize the signatures. DOCX knew, or reasonably should have known, that the notaries had not witnessed the signatures, that various individuals were all claiming to be the same person, and also that the titles that appeared next to the names used by the signatories were false. The notarizations of those signatures were each false and fraudulent, and the assignments to which they were affixed are also fraudulent and legally invalid.
- 142. Similar procedures were used in the LPS offices in Dakota County, Minnesota, and Jacksonville, Florida, with LPS employees falsely claiming titles as corporate officers, and signing in illegible squiggles, instead of a true signature, in a dishonest attempt at evasion so that many people could sign the same name.

### (iii) Florida

- 143. Beginning in approximately January 2010, much of the mortgage assignment operation of LPS moved from Alphretta, Georgia, to Jacksonville, Florida. In Jacksonville, LPS employees continued their practice to falsely sign mortgage documents as officers of numerous corporations. For example, in the first quarter of 2010, one LPS employee, Kathy Smith, signed as an officer of several corporations, including: (1) as an officer of Argent Mortgage Company, LLC; (2) as Assistant Secretary of "MERS, Mortgage-Backed Notes, Series 2005-2" (though no such entity exists and derives, apparently, from a mix up of the names of the grantor and grantee, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as indenture trustee for American Home Mortgage Investment Trust 2005-2, Mortgage-backed Notes, Series 2005-2); (3) as Assistant Secretary of MERS, acting solely as nominee for American Brokers Conduit, (4) as Assistant Secretary of MERS, acting solely as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.; (5) as Assistant Secretary of MERS, acting solely as nominee for American Home Mortgage, (6) as an officer of Sand Cannon Corporation f/k/a Option One Mortgage Corporation.
- 144. Likewise, Michelle Halyard signed as an officer of the mortgagee "Argent Mortgage Company, LLC. By Citi Residential Lending, Inc." and as an officer of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems Inc. as nominee for American Home Mortgage" but she is actually an employee of LPS based in its Florida Offices.
- 145. The grantees on most of the assignments prepared on July 30, 2009, were MBS trusts that used defendants DEUTSCHE BANK, U.S. BANK or WELLS FARGO as trustee.

- 146. The assignments were prepared and filed only in those cases in which foreclosure was likely, due to the default in payments by the borrower-homeowners. When the loans went into default, defendants AHMS, SAXON, WELLS/ASC or AMC/CITI hired defendant LPS, who in turn retained the law firms. At the direction of their clients, the law firms submitted to the courts the false and forged documents prepared by LPS and its subsidiary, DOCX.
- 147. In Florida, for example, five of the largest foreclosure law firms--(1) Florida Default Law Group; (2) Law Offices of Marshall C. Watson, P.A.; (3) Law Offices of David J. Stern, P.A.; (4) Shapiro & Fishman, LLP; and (5) Gladstone Law Group--were each retained by and acted under the direction of LPS. These law firms regularly submitted to the courts forged mortgage assignments prepared by employees at the LPS offices in Dakota County, Minnesota, Alpharetta, Georgia, or Jacksonville, Florida.
- 148. These law firms were paid significant monthly cash incentives for successfully foreclosing on the most properties in the state. In thousands of foreclosure cases, employees of these law firms falsely signed mortgage assignments pretending to hold the titles of officers of mortgage companies or banks; and other law firm employees notarized these law firm staff assignments falsely attesting that the employees were corporate officers of banks, mortgage servicing companies, or MERS.
- 149. Cheryl Samons, the office manager for the Law Offices of David J. Stern, P.A., often signed mortgage assignments as a corporate officer of MERS or other corporations.

- 150. Erin Cullaro, formerly an attorney employed by Florida Default Law Group, and subsequently an assistant district attorney assigned to the Economic Crimes Unit of the Florida Attorney General's Office in Tampa, Florida, notarized documents in foreclosure cases, often executed apparently by her sister, Lisa Cullaro, another employee of the Florida Default Law Group. These notarizations by Erin Cullaro continued after she changed employers and was hired by the Florida Attorney General's Office. Erin Cullaro's signature is an indecipherable squiggle or mark, or, often, just the letter "E."
- 151. Caryn A. Graham, who often signs as a corporate officer of MERS on mortgage assignments prepared by the Law Offices of Marshall Watson, is actually an associate in that law firm. In these cases, LPS paid these law firms to prepare the mortgage assignments that were signed by the law firm employees posing as corporate officers of the grantors.

### (iv) Minnesota

- Dakota County, Minnesota, and Jacksonville, Florida, offices followed the same pattern as the DOCX assignments prepared in Alpharetta, Georgia. LPS employees signed as if they were officers of banks and mortgage companies when they were not. The "signatures" were often just a loop, exaggerated check or squiggle, not recognizable as letters of the alphabet and more easily forged by other employees. The notaries often forgot to complete the notary's attestation and often also signed with loops, checks or squiggles, unrecognizable as alphabet letters.
- 153. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Greg Allen, signed mortgage assignments using at least 10 different job titles, as follows:

- Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration systems, Inc, solely as nominee for American Brokers Conduit;
- Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration systems, Inc, as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, as nominee for Bayrock Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as Nominee for First Guaranty Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Taylor, Bean & Whitaker Mortgage Corp.;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Market Street Mortgage Corporation;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Maitland Mortgage Lending Company;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for PMC Lending; and
- Vice President, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Southstar Funding, LLC.
- 154. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Liquenda Allotney, signed mortgage assignments using at least five different job titles, as follows:
  - Attorney In Fact for Novastar Mortgage;
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration systems, Inc, as nominee for American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc.;
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration systems, Inc, as nominee for Decision One Mortgage Company, LLC;
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration systems, Inc, as nominee for Freemont Investment & Loan; and

- Attorney in Fact, JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, as Successor-in-Interest to Washington Mutual Bank, as Successor-in-Interest to Long Beach Mortgage Company.
- 155. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Alfonzo Greene, signed mortgage assignments using at least three different job titles, as follows:
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration systems, Inc, solely as nominee for American Brokers Conduit;
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for First NLC Financial Services, LLC; and
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Homeowners Friend Mortgage Company, Inc..
- 156. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Rick Wilken, signed mortgage assignments using at least five different job titles, as follows:
  - Attorney in Fact of JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association as successor in interest to Washington Mutual Bank as successor in interest to Long Beach Mortgage Company;
  - Attorney in Fact of JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association as successor in interest to Washington Mutual Bank as successor in interest to Long Beach Mortgage Company
  - Officer of Washington Mutual Bank as successor in interest to Long Beach Mortgage Company
  - Attorney in Fact of Washington Mutual Bank; and
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems Inc. as nominee for Premier Mortgage Funding, Inc.
- 157. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Cecilia Knox, signed mortgage assignments using at least two different job titles, as follows:
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for J&S Holdings of Greenville Inc.
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for NovaStar Mortgage Inc.

- 158. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Bethany Hood, signed mortgage assignments using at least four different job titles, as follows:
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for NovaStar Mortgage Inc.;
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Pinnacle Mortgage Inc.;
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Lime Financial Services, LTD.; and
  - Assistant Vice President of Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for Saxon Asset Securities Trust 2007-1, by Saxon Mortgage Services, Inc..
- 159. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Topako Love, signed mortgage assignments using at least four different job titles, as follows:
  - Assistant Secretary of Option One Mortgage Corp., Attorney in Fact for H&R Block Mortgage;
  - Officer of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems Inc. as nominee for Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services LP;
  - Vice-President of Saxon Mortgage Services, Inc., Attorney In Fact for Novastar Mortgage; and
  - Vice President, MERS, as nominee for Mortgage Lenders Network USA, Inc..
- 160. In one instance, a forged "Assignment of Mortgage" signed by Topako Love, the date of assignment, February 9, 2009, is after the foreclosure is completed. The assignment to DBNTC is signed by Topako Love on behalf of Saxon Mortgage Services, Inc., as attorney in fact for Novastar Mortgage. The assignment was prepared by Karen A. Thompson, Esq., of the Law Office of Marshall C. Watson. A typewritten note on the right hand side of the document states: "This Assignment of Mortgage was inadvertently not recorded prior to the Final Judgment of Foreclosure in Case #56-2008-CA-002423,

but is now being recorded to clear title." The docket for the St. Lucie County Clerk of the Court shows the case number corresponds to *DEUTSCHE BANK v. CHRISTY*WATTS, filed March 19, 2008. On January 23, 2009, a final judgment of foreclosure was entered by default in this case. On January 28, 2009, the plaintiff made a motion for substitution of party plaintiff, to "Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. as trustee from Novastar," which the court approved on the same day, the docket shows. On February 9, 2009, eleven days later, the purported assignment of mortgage from Novastar to DBNTC was signed, and recorded on February 24, 2009. According to the calendar in the docket, during this foreclosure, DBNTC did not hold title to the mortgage, contrary to its representations to the court. The Assignment of Mortgage, filed well after the judgment of foreclosure was entered, was forged.

- 161. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Christina Allen, signed mortgage assignments using at least three different job titles, as follows:
  - Attorney In fact, JP Morgan Chase Bank Attorney In fact for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee Beach Mortgage Loan Trust (sic);
  - Vice President, MERS, as nominee for First National Bank of Arizona; and
  - Vice President, MERS, as nominee for Mortgage Lenders Network USA, Inc..
- 162. A LPS employee in Dakota County, Minnesota, Eric Tate, signed mortgage assignments using at least six different job titles, as follows:
  - Attorney In fact, JP Morgan Chase Bank Attorney In fact for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee Beach Mortgage Loan Trust (sic);
  - Attorney In fact, JP Morgan Chase Bank as successor in interest to Washington Mutual Bank formerly known as Washington Mutual Bank;
  - Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration systems, Inc, solely as nominee for American Brokers Conduit:

- Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for First NLC Financial Services, LLC;
- Vice President of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee for Homeowners Friend Mortgage Company, Inc.; and
- Assistant Vice President of The Coastal Bank.
- 163. As mentioned in paragraphs 145-155, above, for the LPS employees in Dakota County, Minnesota (Greg Allen, Liquenda Allotney, Alfonzo Greene, Rick Wilken, Cecilia Knox, Bethany Hood, Topako Love, Christina Allen, and Eric Tate), the use of so many corporate titles shows it is unlikely that the LPS employees were actually the officers of any of the named companies; the LPS employees lacked corporate authority to sign the documents on behalf of the companies.
- 164. In most cases, the assignments prepared by LPS employees in Dakota County, Minnesota, and Jacksonville, Florida, benefited the same banks and trusts that benefited from the assignments prepared in Alpharetta, Georgia, namely the Trustee Bank Defendants.
- 165. The assignments often were made by grantors who had not themselves been validly assigned the mortgage by the original lender, or by subsequent grantees of the original lender, and so had no title to transfer. The LPS employees most often represented, falsely, that MERS, or a mortgage servicing company, particularly AHMS or SAXON, had authority to assign the mortgage to the trust when an assignment from the original lender had never been validly made.
- 166. The assignments described above were prepared with disregard to the veracity of the stated information. In addition to the forgeries discussed above, concerning the signers' identities and the authority of the signers, further irregularities

were found, namely: (1) the dates on which the properties became part of the trust were incorrectly stated; (2) the signers often signed as officers of mortgage companies that had filed for bankruptcy or no longer existed, and the signatures were affixed without authorization of the trustee for the estates in the bankruptcy courts or by an authorized party of a purchaser of the companies; and (3) the grantor or grantee was often incorrectly stated, with the grantor or grantee identified in at least 10 instances as "Bogus Assignee for Intervening ASMTS," and in several other cases identified as "A Bad Bene." In other cases, the mortgage company officer forgot to sign, but the empty line was nonetheless witnessed and notarized. In yet other cases, the original loan amount was misstated as \$.00 or \$.01.

- 167. In countless other mortgage foreclosure cases, LPS employees prepared affidavits which were filed with courts by the foreclosing law firms in support of summary judgment motions. In these affidavits, the employees again misrepresented their job titles and their personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the affidavits.
- 168. For example, in a "Lost Assignment Affidavit," dated September 16, 2009, and signed by Green as vice president of American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc., she asserts that a note dated June 8, 2007, by Michael McGargle, to the order of Homebanc Mortgage Corporation, is lost and not obtainable. Obviously, Green, who works for DOCX, has no knowledge whatsoever of the location or transfer of the documents involved from Homebanc Mortgage Corporation to American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc..
- 169. In December 2009, the Fulton County, Georgia, District Attorney's office conducted an investigation of complaints received regarding the documents produced by

the Alpharetta, Georgia, office of DOCX. While claiming to cooperate with the investigation, LPS made misleading statements and concealed the extent of the problems with the fraudulent documents it produced to facilitate mortgage foreclosures, while continuing many of the same practices in Jacksonville, Florida.

- 170. Thousands of additional fraudulent assignments were prepared and filed in December 2009, and January and February 2010. Most of these "Remediation Assignments" bore the abbreviation "DXFX1 or DXFX2" in the client identification square, but no disclosures were made to investors, courts or mortgagees of the existence and scope of the fraudulent documents. In hundreds of other cases, after the "remediation," assignments were prepared, signed and notarized in the LPS offices in Dakota County, Minnesota, and Jacksonville, Florida, no information identifying the involvement of LPS was included and, instead, a notation was included on these assignments that they were prepared by the "Law Offices of David Stern," or other law firms hired by LPS.
  - B. Defendants' Use of Fake Documents, False Officer Titles, and Forged Signatures Violates the Federal Lending Laws, and State Mortgage Fraud and Notary Fraud Laws
- 171. As with Relator's Foreclosure, the assignments are forged and void in each and every one of the foreclosures relying on documents derived from the Defendants.
- 172. Affixing or submitting false signatures on a mortgage document is a violation of federal and state law, and those signatures are without authority to complete the transaction. *See*, *e.g.*, federal laws cited in paragraph 47, above; *and see*, Georgia Residential Mortgage Fraud Act, Ga. Code § 16-8-100 *et seq*. (crime considered a felony); Minn. Stat. § 609.64, recording, filing of forged instrument ("Whoever

intentionally presents for filing, registering, or recording, or files, registers, or records a false or forged instrument relating to or affecting real or personal property in a public office entitled to file, register, or record such instrument when genuine may be sentenced to imprisonment for not more than three years or to payment of a fine of not more than \$5,000, or both."). South Carolina law also prohibits and punishes mortgage fraud. S.C. Code § 16-13-10(A) (1), Forgery ("It is unlawful for a person to: falsely make, forge, or counterfeit; cause or procure to be falsely made, forged, or counterfeited; or wilfully act or assist in the false making, forging, or counterfeiting of any writing or instrument of writing.")(penalty is a felony); and, S.C. Code § 37-22-190(A)(8), Consumer Protection Code, Mortgage Lending, Prohibited activities; violation of state or federal law ("In addition to the activities prohibited by other provisions of state or federal law, it is unlawful for a person licensed pursuant to this chapter, in the course of a mortgage loan origination, to: engage in a transaction, practice, or course of business in connection with the making or servicing of, or purchase or sale of, a mortgage loan that is not in good faith or fair dealing, that is unconscionable, as set forth in Section 37-5-108, or that constitutes a fraud upon a person.").

173. False or fraudulent notary acknowledgements are also a violation of state law, and the underlying signature is void. *See, e.g.*, Georgia notary fraud, O.C.G. § 45-17-1 *et seq.* (penalty is a misdemeanor); Minn. Stat. § 359.085, standards of conduct for notarial acts ("In witnessing or attesting a signature, the notarial officer must determine, either from personal knowledge or from satisfactory evidence, that the signature is that of the person appearing before the officer and named in the document or electronic record. When witnessing or attesting a signature, the officer must be present when the signature

is made."); and S.C. Code § 26-1-95 ("A notary public who, in his official capacity, falsely certifies to affirming, swearing, or acknowledging of a person or his signature to an instrument, affidavit, or writing is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, must be fined not more than two hundred dollars or imprisoned not more than thirty days.")

- agents, or the employees of law firms retained by LPS, are likely fraudulent, in violation of law, and do not assign the notes and mortgages to the Trustee Bank Defendants.

  Therefore, the Trustee Bank Defendants lack any authority to act as plaintiffs in foreclosure actions filed on defaulted notes and mortgages for their MBS, the Trustee Bank Defendants are not a true party in interest in such foreclosures, and cannot prosecute the foreclosures.
- X. THE U.S. GOVERNMENT PURCHASED MORTGAGE-BACKED
  SECURITIES MADE UP OF MORTGAGES WITH MISSING OR
  FORGED ASSIGNMENTS FROM ORIGINATING BANKS TO THE
  SECURITIES TRUSTS SUFFERING SUBSTANTIAL FINANCIAL HARM
- assignments through several avenues. These include: (1) Federal Reserve funding to purchase MBS through two limited liability companies, titled "Maiden Lane;" (2) Treasury and other agency funding to purchase MBS through public-private partnership investment funds; and (3) Federal Reserve direct purchases of MBS.

# A. Types of MBS

176. There are two types of mortgage-backed securities: (1) residential MBS ("RMBS") is composed of home mortgages, such as Relator's Mortgage and ones described herein; and (2) commercial MBS ("CMBS") is composed of mortgages for

retail space, office buildings and warehouses, which is not at issue in this suit. The present case is concerned with RMBS.

177. RMBS is categorized as "agency" or "non-agency," which refers to involvement of the government-sponsored entities, *e.g.*, Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae. Non-agency RMBS are residential mortgage-backed securities that are not issued or guaranteed by a U.S. government agency. Like agency RMBS, non-agency RMBS represent interests in "pools" of mortgage loans secured by residential real property.

# B. MBS Purchases by Federal Reserve Funding of Maiden Lane Transactions

- 178. In 2008, to respond to the financial crisis, the Federal Reserve Board authorized the Federal Reserve Bank of New York ("New York Fed") to facilitate the formation of three limited liability companies. Two of these companies hold MBS which are missing assignments or using forged assignments. (The third company formed does not hold MBS).
- 179. The first of these companies, Maiden Lane LLC ("ML LLC"), was formed to facilitate the merger of the Bear Stearns Companies, Inc., and JPMorgan Chase & Co., Inc.. The New York Fed extended credit to ML LLC to acquire certain assets of Bear Stearns.
- 180. The U.S. government provided the funds to ML LLC to purchase the MBS; according to statement of the New York Fed: "ML LLC was formed in the second quarter of 2008. ML LLC borrowed approximately \$28.8 billion from the New York Fed in the form of a senior loan (Senior Loan), which, together with funding from JPMC of approximately \$1.15 billion in the form of a subordinate loan (Subordinate Loan, and

together with the Senior Loan, the Loans) was used to purchase the Asset Portfolio from Bear Stearns. The Asset Portfolio had an estimated fair value as of March 14, 2008, of approximately \$30 billion. The New York Fed has all material control rights over the Asset Portfolio and is the sole and managing member of ML LLC." New York Fed Web site (http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/ maidenlane.html).

181. As of March 31, 2010, ML LLC had purchased and held \$2.069 billion in 12 MBS that Relator found has missing or forged assignments. According to the published list of ML LLC holdings, the 12 MBS listed in Table 2, below, correspond to the MBS which Relator found to have missing or forged assignments.

Table 2. Maiden Lane LLC Holdings, as of March 31, 2010, that are included among the forged assignments listed in Exhibit 3

	the forged assignments listed in Exhibit 3		
#	Maiden Lane LLC Holding	Government Funding	
1	Bear Stearns ALT-A II, 2007-1 [a/k/a CITIBANK: Structured Asset Mort. Investments II, Inc., Bear Stearns ALT-A Trust II, Series 2007-1]	\$1,148,679,970 (at 67)	
2	Harborview MTG LN TR 2006-9 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: HarborView Mort. Loan Trust, Series 2006-9]	\$17,289,000 (at 75)	
3	Morgan Stanley ABS 2007-HE2 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Morgan Stanley ABS Capital 1, Inc., MSAC 2007-HE2]	\$738,000 (at 77)	
4	SOUNDVIEW HM LN 2007-OPT2 [a/k/a WELLS FARGO: Soundview Home Loan Trust 2007-OPT2]	\$6,650,000 (at 81)	
5	STRUCTURED ASSET MTG 2007-AR2 [a/k/a CITIBANK: Structured Asset Mort. Investments II Trust 2007-AR2]	\$58,506,154 (at 83)	
6	STRUCTURED ASSET MTG 2007-AR5 [a/k/a CITIBANK: Structured Asset Mort. Investments II Trust 2007-AR5]	\$8,735,000 (at 83)	

7	STRUCTURED ASSET MTG 2007-AR6 [a/k/a CITIBANK: Structured Asset Mort. Investments II Trust 2007-AR6]	\$819,668,268 (at 83)
8	AMSI 2005-R3 M8, M9 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Ameriquest Mort. Securities Trust 2005-R3]	\$800,000 (at 101)
9	AMSI 2005-R7 M8 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Ameriquest Mort. Securities Trust 2005-R7]	\$10,000,000 (at 101)

#	Maiden Lane LLC Holding	Government Funding
10	CARR 2006-OPT1 M9 [a/k/a WELLS FARGO: Carrington Mort. Loan	\$2,000,000 (at 107)
	Trust, Series 2006-OPT1]	
11	MSAC 2005-HE1 B3 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Morgan Stanley ABS Capital 1, Inc., Series 2005 HE1]	\$2,093,798 (at 119)
12	SVHE 2006-EQ1 M5 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Soundview Home Loan Trust, Series 2006-EQ1]	\$-5,660,323 (at 126)

*Source*: Federal Reserve Bank of New York Web site, Maiden Lane Transactions, "Holdings of Maiden Lane LLC as of March 31, 2010" (http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/maidenlane.html).

Maiden Lane III LLC ("ML III LLC"), were formed to facilitate the restructuring of the New York Fed's financial support to American International Group ("AIG"). The New York Fed extended credit to ML II LLC to purchase residential mortgage-backed securities from the securities lending portfolio of several regulated U.S. insurance subsidiaries of AIG. ML III LLC represented that it purchased only collateralized debt obligations, and did not purchase MBS, as shown on its list of holdings as of March 31, 2010.

- 183. According to the New York Fed, the U.S. government provided the funds to ML II LLC to purchase the MBS: "ML II LLC was formed in the fourth quarter of 2008. On December 12, 2008, ML II LLC purchased RMBS with an estimated fair value of approximately \$20.8 billion, determined as of October 31, 2008, (Asset Portfolio). ML II LLC financed this purchase by borrowing \$19.5 billion (Senior Loan) from the New York Fed. The Senior Loan proceeds, after adjustments (totaling \$0.3 billion between October 31, 2008, and December 31, 2008) including principal and interest payments received by the AIG Subsidiaries on the RMBS, were used to purchase the \$20.8 billion Asset Portfolio. In addition to receiving the cash purchase price on the closing date, AIG Subsidiaries received a contingent right to collect the deferred portion of the total purchase price of \$1.0 billion (Fixed Deferred Purchase Price) plus a one-sixth participation in the residual portfolio cash flow, if any, each following ML II LLC's repayment of the Senior Loan and accrued interest thereon to the New York Fed. As of October 31, 2008, the Asset Portfolio had a par value of approximately \$39.3 billion. The New York Fed has all material control rights over the Asset Portfolio and is the sole and managing member of ML II LLC." New York Fed Web site, Maiden Lane II LLC transactions (http://www.newyorkfed.org/ markets/maidenlane2.html).
- 184. As of March 31, 2010, ML II LLC had purchased and held \$570 billion in 15 MBS that Relator found has missing or forged assignments. According to the published list of ML LLC holdings, the 12 MBS listed in Table 3, below, correspond to the MBS which Relator found to have missing or forged assignments.

Table 3. Maiden Lane II LLC Holdings, as of March 31, 2010, that are included among the forged assignments listed in Exhibit 3

#	Maiden Lane II LLC Holding	Government Funding
---	----------------------------	--------------------

1	ACE_06-OP1	\$7,000,000 (at 1)
	[a/k/a HSBC: Ace Securities Corp. Home Equity	
	Loan Trust, Series 2006-OP1]	
2	AMIT_05-3	\$35,658,000 (at 1)
	[a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: American Home Mort.	
	Investment Trust Series 2005-3]	

#	Maiden Lane II LLC Holding	Government Funding
3	ARMT_07-1 [a/k/a U.S. BANK: MASTR Adjustable Rate Mortgages Trust 2007-1]	\$11,156,000 (at 1)
4	ABFC_06-OPT2 [a/k/a WELLS FARGO: ABFC 2006-OPT 2 Trust]	\$12,000,000 (at 1)
5	BSAA_07-3 [a/k/a CITIBANK: Structured Asset Mort. Investments II, Inc., Bear Stearns ALT-A Trust, Series 2007-3]	\$156,304,497 (at 2)
6	CARR_06-OPT1 [a/k/a WELLS FARGO: Carrington Mort. Loan Trust, Series 2006-OPT1]	\$28,786,919 (at 3)
7	BNCMT_07-3 [a/k/a CITIBANK: BNC Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-3]	\$30,000,000 (at 2)
8	MSAC_07-HE6 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Morgan Stanley ABS Capital 1, Inc., Series 2007-HE6]	\$68,000,000 (at 10)
9	MSIX_06-1 [a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Morgan Stanley IXIS Real Estate Capital Trust, 2006-1]	\$43,255,000 (at 10)
10	OOMLT_05-4 [a/k/a WELLS FARGO: Option One Mort. Loan Trust, Series 2005-4]	\$15,151,774 (at 12)
11	OOMLT_05-5 [a/k/a WELLS FARGO: Option One Mort. Loan Trust, Series 2005-5]	\$5,866,603 (at 12)

12	OOMLT_06-3	\$23,500,000 (at 12)
	[a/k/a WELLS FARGO: Option One Mort. Loan	
	Trust, Series 2006-3]	
13	SAST_06-3	\$111,000,000 (at 14)
	[a/k/a DEUTSCHE BANK: Saxon Asset Securities	
	Trust 2006-3]	

#	Maiden Lane II LLC Holding	Government Funding
14	SAIL_04-8 [a/k/a LASALLE BANK: Securitized Asset Investment Loan Trust, 2004-8]	\$10,256,786 (at 14)
15	WAMU_07_HE3 [a/k/a CITIBANK: WAMU Series 2007-HE3 Trust]	\$12,000,000 (at 15)

Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York Web site, Maiden Lane Transactions, "Holdings of Maiden Lane II LLC as of March 31, 2010" (http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/maidenlane.html).

185. In total, the ML LLC and ML II LLC entities own \$2.639 billion (\$2.069 billion ML LLC + \$570 million ML II LLC) of MBS including missing assignments or use forged assignments, as shown in Tables 2 and 3 above.

# C. MBS Purchases by Treasury Financing of Public-Private Partnership Funds.

186. In addition to the purchases above, to purchase non-agency RMBS, and other securities, on March 23, 2009, the U.S. Treasury, in conjunction with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Federal Reserve Bank, announced the creation of the Public-Private Investment Partnership ("PPIP") of 2009, as a part of the government's Financial Stability Plan. Under the PPIP, the Treasury provides equity and debt financing to newly-formed public-private investment funds ("PPIFs") established by fund managers with investors for the purpose of purchasing legacy securities from financial institutions. These securities are commercial MBS and non-agency residential MBS.

According to a Treasury press release: "The PPIP is designed to encourage the transfer of certain illiquid legacy real estate-related assets off of the balance sheets of financial institutions, restarting the market for these assets and supporting the flow of credit and other capital into the broader economy. PPIP funds established under the legacy loan program will be established to purchase troubled loans from insured depository institutions and PPIP funds established under the legacy securities program to purchase from financial institutions legacy non-Agency RMBS and newly issued and legacy CMBS that were originally AAA rated. PPIFs will have access to equity capital from the U.S. Treasury as well as debt financing provided or guaranteed by the U.S. government."

187. In 2009, the Treasury selected private fund managers to operate funds that would purchase non-agency RMBS through a mix of private and government financing, listed in Table 4, below ("PPIF Managers").

Table 4. PPIFs holding non-agency RMBS, as of 3/31/10

<ul><li># Fund</li><li>1. AG GECC PPIF Master Fund, L.P.</li></ul>	Fund Creation Date 11/12/09
2. AllianceBernstein Legacy Securities Master Fund, L.P.	10/23/09
3. Blackrock PPIF, L.P.	10/16/09
4. Invesco Legacy Securities Master Fund, L.P.	10/13/09
5. Marathon Legacy Securities Public-Private Investment Partnership, L.P.	12/15/09
6. Oaktree PPIP Fund, L.P.	02/19/10
7. RLJ Western Asset Public/Private Master Fund, L.P.	11/23/09
8. Wellington Management Legacy Securities PPIF Master Fund, LP	10/19/09

- *Source*: U.S. Treasury, Legacy PPIP Second Quarterly Report (quarter ending March 31, 2010) (http://www.financialstability.gov/roadtostability/publicprivatefund.html).
- 188. In 2009, the Treasury entered into limited partnership agreements ("PPIP Agreements") with each of the PPIF Managers listed in Table 4 to purchase a portfolio of non-agency RMBS. *See* U.S. Treasury, Legacy Securities Public-Private Investment Program, Fund Manager Documentation, Limited Partnership and Loan Agreements (http://www.financialstability.gov/roadtostability/legacysecurities.html#contracts).
- 189. Under the terms of the PPIP Agreements, the Treasury provided debt and equity financing to the PPIF Managers from the Troubled Asset Relief Fund ("TARP"), created by the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA"), Pub. L. No. 110-343, 122 Stat. 3765 (2008), *codified at* 12 U.S.C. § 5201 *et seq.*. Congress passed the EESA, which provided the Treasury with the authority to purchase or guarantee up to \$700 billion in troubled assets held by financial institutions. Treasury was directed to exercise this authority to promote the liquidity and stability of the financial system.
- 190. As of March 31, 2010, the PPIF Managers had acquired \$8.8 billion of the non-agency RMBS. The Legacy PPIP Second Quarterly Report states: "As of March 31, 2010, the eight funds participating in the program had acquired just over \$10 billion in eligible assets, compared to \$3.4 billion at the end of 2009. About 88 percent of the PPIP portfolio holdings, or \$8.8 billion, are non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS). Twelve percent, or \$1.2 billion, are commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS). Of the RMBS assets, nearly half fall into the Alt-A loan category." The PPIF Managers have \$25.1 billion of remaining purchasing power, which figure would mean purchases of an additional \$22.1 billion of non-agency RMBS (applying the 88 percent

ratio of the current portfolio). Thus, PPIF Managers, using TARP funds provided by the Treasury, will soon own over \$30 billion of non-agency RMBS under the PPIP.

191. Upon information and belief, the purchases by the PPIF Managers include the securities for the MBS trusts in which the Defendants participate, as described herein, including forged assignments.

### D. MBS Purchases by Federal Reserve and Treasury Direct Purchases

announced that they would purchase agency MBS to support the housing market, and to date it has purchased \$1.25 trillion of those securities. *See*, Press release, Federal Reserve Bank, Dec. 30, 2008 (http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/monetary/20081230b.htm); *and see*, MBS purchase program FAQs, N.Y. Federal Reserve Bank (http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/mbs\_faq.html). On January 8, 2010, the *Wall St. Journal* reported that: "The Fed now holds \$909 billion of mortgage-backed securities. In the past year it has purchased 73% of the mortgages that government-backed Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae have turned into securities. Purchases by the Treasury pushed total government purchases above \$1 trillion." Liz Rappaport and Jon Hilsenrath, "Fed Plan to Stop Buying Mortgages Feeds Recovery Worries," *WSJ*, Jan. 8, 2010 (http://online.wsj.com/article/ SB126291088200220743.html).

### E. Damages to the U.S. Government

### (i) Impaired Value of MBS

193. Each of the Defendants named herein participates, or has participated, in MBS trusts that are missing lawful assignments from originating banks to the trusts, and has used forged instruments instead. To date, the Defendants had not disclosed to

investors, particularly the Treasury, that the trust assets were severely impaired because of the forged and fraudulent assignments.

- 194. In any foreclosures on assets in the trust, the Trustee Bank Defendants will be unable, or will have to expend significant funds, to prove their allegations that the trust is the lawful owner of the subject mortgage, in addition to expenses due to the filing of forged assignments. The amount the Trustee Bank Defendants will expend to prove their ownership is materially more than they would have spent if they had complied with the procedures described in the respective prospectus and Pooling & Servicing Agreement. In addition, the value of the security must be discounted to account for the risk that a court may not recognize the trust as the legal owner of the security, and thus deny foreclosure on the collateral. The cost for the Trustee Bank Defendants to establish their trust is the owner of each mortgage included in the MBS is a financial harm to the U.S. government, as a purchaser of the MBS.
- 195. Relator prepared a list of the trusts that have missing assignments and are using fabricated replacement assignments, as determined by an analysis of mortgage assignments conducted by Relator. An earlier version of this list was provided by Relator on March 16, 2010, to the FBI Special Agent in Jacksonville, Florida, investigating the fraudulent mortgage assignments.

#### (ii) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac

196. Both the Federal National Mortgage Association, Inc. ("Fannie Mae") and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") also paid the mortgage service defendants named herein millions of dollars in U.S. government funds to provide services involving mortgage assignments. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are government-sponsored enterprises ("GSEs"), which are corporations with public purposes created by

the U.S. government. The primary purpose of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac is to provide funding for the U.S. mortgage market by purchasing mortgages from banks and other direct lenders and packaging them, to ensure that adequate capital is available to banks and other financial institutions that lend money to home buyers. The two entities provide funding for more than \$6.3 trillion of the \$11 trillion U.S. mortgage market.

- financial support of its GSEs. During the financial crisis, on September 7, 2008, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac were essentially nationalized to avoid losses and provide financial stability to the mortgage market. They were taken over by the U.S. government in a conservatorship. Since that event, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have received nearly \$145 billion in taxpayer support through the Treasury, divided as \$83 billion to Fannie Mae and \$61 billion to Freddie Mac, according to SEC filings and press releases. At the time of the conservatorship, former Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson put a ceiling of \$100 billion for investments in each company. But in February 2009, his successor, Timothy Geithner, raised the cap to \$200 billion each. In December 2009, the Treasury said it would provide unlimited financial support to ensure the survival and liquidity of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac for three years.
- 198. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac use mortgage servicing companies, including defendants AHMS, WELLS/ASC, SAXON and LPS, to service the loans that they acquire.
- 199. As part of the services provided to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, defendants AHMS, WELLS/ASC and SAXON prepared and filed forged mortgage assignments in South Carolina, and throughout the United States, assigning mortgages to

themselves, and bringing foreclosure actions in the names of the servicing companies. In thousands of cases, defendants AHMS, WELLS/ASC and SAXON used defendant LPS, or attorneys hired by LPS, to prepare and file such assignments to the servicing companies.

- 200. The assignments prepared and filed by the servicer defendants were fraudulent. The servicers failed to obtain valid assignments when the loans were purchased by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The servicers then tried to secretly substitute "replacement" assignments. In hundreds of thousands of cases, these replacement assignments were defective for one or more of the following reasons:
  - (1) the individual or individuals signing as officers of the grantor were actually employees of the grantee servicing company;
  - (2) employees of the servicing companies often signed several thousand replacement assignments in a single week, at a pace that makes it obvious they did not review what they signed and completely disregarded the veracity of the information on such assignments, including the effective date of the transfer (stated on many such documents as 9/9/999); and
  - (3) to expedite the document production, employees of the servicing companies often signed each other's names and also forged the signature of the notary on such documents.
- 201. The services of the defendants AHMS, WELLS/ASC, SAXON, and LPS, actually provided to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which were paid for with U.S. government funds, were fraudulent and illegal.

- (iii) Federal Taxes From Trusts' Loss of Tax Status due to Assignments Made After the Date of Trust Formation
- 202. The most significant reason that trusts do not acquire loans after the closing date of the trust is that such actions may have negative tax consequences for the trusts. MBS trusts are created as Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits, or "REMICS" (a type of special purpose vehicle used for the pooling of mortgage loans and issuance of mortgage-backed securities). REMICS are defined under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (Tax Reform Act of 1986).
- 203. The advantage of REMICS is that income from REMICS is tax exempt from double taxation. Because of the tax advantages, tax law limits and strictly regulates REMIC transactions. All contributions, *i.e.*, the delivery of the mortgage loans into the trust, to the REMIC must occur on the "start up date," or at most 90 days after this date, which is also the "cut-off date" specified in the MBS trust prospectus. All other contributions after the cut-off date are considered under the tax code as prohibited activities. Therefore, if a mortgage loan has not been identified by the cut-off date (*i.e.*, placed in the securitization pipeline by the originating bank), any transfer of the borrower's mortgage note and/or mortgage into the MBS trust after the cutoff date triggers a 100% penalty tax on the late contribution.
- 204. Each of the Trustee Bank Defendants has assigned mortgages to their respective MBS trusts after the cut-off date, triggering taxes owed to the U.S. government, which remain unpaid.

### VIII. CAUSES OF ACTION

# COUNT I Federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A)<sup>3</sup>

- 205. Relator realleges and incorporates herein by reference all the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 172 of this Complaint.
- 206. This is a claim for treble damages and forfeitures under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 *et seq.*, as amended.
- 207. As alleged in paragraphs 1-172, the Defendants created, sold or participated in mortgage-backed securities.
- 208. As further alleged in paragraphs 1-172, the mortgage-backed securities were composed of mortgages bundled to create the securities in which the mortgages lacked the requisites to create a secured, real estate debt obligation, namely: (1) the legally binding assignments from the originating bank to the securities trust and, finally, to the foreclosure agent; (2) recording of title in the county recorder's office; and (3) original note and mortgage, signed by both borrower and lender. The prospectus for each mortgage-backed securities trust, and other public statements, falsely represented that the trust held good title to the mortgages and notes bundled in the securities.
- 209. By virtue of the wrongful conduct alleged here including, but not limited to, the false signatures used in manufactured mortgage assignments, each of the mortgage-backed securities sold to the Treasury, or other entity funded by the U.S. government, violated state and federal laws and furthered an effort to transfer impaired securities to the Treasury, or other government funded entity. Defendants and their

64

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> To the extent wrongdoing occurred prior to May 6, 2009, this Complaint should be deemed to include violations of the FCA prior to recent amendments to that statute, *e.g.*, 31 U.S.C. § 3730(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3).

agents and employees falsely represented that they held good title to the MBS assets and sold to the Treasury, or other government funded entity impaired securities. Defendants received millions of dollars in U.S. government funds to provide services involving fraudulent mortgage assignments. Accordingly, the Defendants and their agents and employees knowingly presented or caused to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval from the government entity purchasing the mortgage-backed securities.

- 210. The United States, unaware of the falsity or fraudulent nature of the claims made by the Defendants, approved, paid and participated in payments made by the United States' fiscal intermediaries for claims that would otherwise would not have been paid.
- 211. By reason of the payments and approvals, the United States has been damaged, and possibly continues to be damaged, in an amount yet to be determined.

# COUNT II Federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B)

- 212. Relator realleges and incorporates herein by reference all the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 172 of this Complaint.
- 213. This is a claim for treble damages and forfeitures under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 *et seq.*, as amended.
- 214. As alleged in paragraphs 1-172, the Defendants, and each of them, created, sold or participated in mortgage-backed securities.
- 215. As further alleged in paragraphs 1-172, the mortgage-backed securities were composed of mortgages bundled to create the securities in which the mortgages lacked the requisites to create a secured, real estate debt obligation, namely: (1) the

legally binding assignments from the originating bank to the securities trust and, finally, to the foreclosure agent; (2) recording of title in the county recorder's office; and (3) original note and mortgage, signed by both borrower and lender. The prospectus for each mortgage-backed securities trust, and other public statements, promised that the trust held good title to the mortgages and notes bundled in the securities.

- 216. By virtue of the wrongful conduct alleged here including, but not limited to, the false signatures used in manufactured mortgage assignments, each of the mortgage-backed securities sold to the Treasury, or other government funded entity, violated state and federal laws and furthered an effort to transfer impaired securities to the Treasury, or other government funded entity. Defendants and their agents and employees falsely represented that they held good title to the MBS assets and sold to the Treasury, or other government funded entity impaired securities. Defendants received millions of dollars in U.S. government funds to provide services involving fraudulent mortgage assignments. In connection with the submission of these claims in the sale of the mortgage-backed securities to the government, or government funded entity, each of the Defendants knowingly made, used or caused to be made or used, false records or statements material to false or fraudulent claims to the Treasury, or other U.S. government funded entity purchasing mortgage-backed securities.
- 217. The United States, unaware of the falsity or fraudulent nature of the claims made by the Defendants, approved, paid and participated in payments made by the United States' fiscal intermediaries for claims that would otherwise would not have been paid.

218. By reason of the payments and approvals, the United States has been damaged, and possibly continues to be damaged, in an amount yet to be determined.

# **COUNT III**Federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(C)

- 219. Relator realleges and incorporates herein by reference all the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 172 of this Complaint.
- 220. As alleged in paragraphs 1-172, the Defendants, and each of them, created, sold or participated in mortgage-backed securities.
- 221. As further alleged in paragraphs 1-172, the mortgage-backed securities were composed of mortgages bundled to create the securities in which the mortgages lacked the requisites to create a secured, real estate debt obligation, namely: (1) the legally binding assignments from the originating bank to the securities trust and, finally, to the foreclosure agent; (2) recording of title in the county recorder's office; and (3) original note and mortgage, signed by both borrower and lender. The prospectus for each mortgage-backed securities trust, and other public statements, promised that the trust held good title to the mortgages and notes bundled in the securities.
- 222. By virtue of the wrongful conduct alleged here including, but not limited to, the false signatures used in manufactured mortgage assignments, Defendants conspired to violated state and federal laws and furthered an effort to transfer impaired securities to the Treasury, or other government funded entity. Defendants acted in concert to create fraudulent legal documentation to conceal that the trust was missing title to the assets, thus impairing the value of the securities sold to the Treasury, or other government funded entity. Each of the mortgage-backed securities sold to the Treasury, or other government funded entity, was in violation of state and federal law.

223. Through the conduct set forth above, Defendants conspired to commit a violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A) and § 3729(a)(1)(B).

# IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Relator requests that judgment be entered against Defendants, ordering that:

- a. Defendants cease and desist from violating the False Claims Act, 31
   U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.;
- b. Defendants pay an amount equal to three times the amount of damages the United States have sustained because of Defendants' actions, plus a civil penalty against Defendants of not less than \$5,000, and not more than \$10,000 for each violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729;
- c. Relator be awarded the maximum amount allowed pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d);
- d. Relator be awarded all costs of this action, including attorneys' fees,
   expenses, and costs pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d);
- e. That, in the event that the United States Government continues to proceed with this action or proceeds with any alternative remedy available to the Government, Relator be awarded an amount for bringing this action of at least 15%, but not more than 25%, of the proceeds of this action and the alternative remedy or settlement of any such claim;
- f. That in the event that the United States Government does not proceed with this action, but pursues an alternate remedy, Relator be awarded an amount the Court decides is reasonable for collecting the civil penalty and damages, which shall not be less

than 25% nor more than 30% of the proceeds of this action and the alternate remedy or the settlement of any such claim;

g. The United States and Relator be granted all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

# X. REQUEST FOR A TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff/Relator hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: June 4, 2010.

## RICHARD A. HARPOOTLIAN P.A.

s/Richard A. Harpootlian Richard A. Harpootlian, Esq. Federal ID No.: 1730 Post Office Box 1090 Columbia, SC 29202

Telephone: (803) 252-4848 Facsimile: (803) 252-4810

### JANET, JENNER & SUGGS, LLC

Howard Janet, Esq. Woodholme Center

1829 Reisterstown Road, Suite 320

Baltimore, MD 21208

Telephone: (410) 653-3200 Facsimile: (410) 653-9030

### **GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.**

Jay W. Eisenhofer, Esq. Frank Karam, Esq. Lydia Ferrarese, Esq. 485 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017

Telephone: (646) 722-8500 Facsimile: (646) 722-8501

### JANET, JENNER & SUGGS, LLC

Kenneth M. Suggs, Esq. Federal ID No.: 3422 500 Taylor Street Columbia, SC 29201

Telephone: (803)- 726-0050 Facsimile: (410) 653-9030

### **GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.**

Reuben Guttman, Esq. 1920 L Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 386-9500 Facsimile: (202) 350-5908

Attorneys for Qui Tam Plaintiff Lynn E. Szymoniak