

# Unfiltered Orange | Weekly eDiscovery News Update – Special

Highlighted in Forbes' Law & Technology Blog as one of [Eight Great Law & Technology Resources](#), Orange Legal Technologies' [Unfiltered Orange](#) Weekly eDiscovery News Update provides readers with a trusted compilation of key public domain eDiscovery news, views and events. To receive the weekly update, sign up at: <http://bit.ly/y6y0DW>.



## Technology Assisted Review Backgrounder

With the increased interest from the legal community on the eDiscovery technique of *technology assisted review*, provided below for your convenience is a compilation of articles, opinions and explanations related to the theory, practice and interpretation of this increasingly prevalent and certainly relevant discovery discipline.



### Technology Assisted Review Related Links From February 1, 2012 – December 7, 2012

#### DECEMBER – 11 Stories

- Technology Assisted Review: Leveraging Advancements to Improve Efficiencies - <http://bit.ly/SBcNou> (Maura Grossman, Carman Oveissi Field)
- Amazing Forensic Tech Behind Next Apple, Samsung Legal Dust-Up - <http://bit.ly/RF4rzK> (Chris Dannen)
- Bridging the Gap in eDiscovery: The Emergence of Conceptual Semantic Search – <http://bit.ly/RF2DpV> (Jeffrey Parkhurst)
- Predictive Technologies May Avert Potential Data Archiving Trainwreck - <http://aol.it/RyO7Qy> (Wyatt Cash)
- Two Rival Predictive Coding Books: Doubledown for Dummies – <http://bit.ly/RBFefX> (Sharon Nelson)
- Standing Back From The Delaware Predictive Coding Case - <http://bit.ly/RB8nAS> (Chris Dale)
- A Review of Two Predictive Coding “Dummies®” Books - <http://bit.ly/Rz7qZZ> (Sharon Nelson)
- Orange Hot Pants and Predictive Coding – A Match Made in Delaware - <http://bit.ly/RzNAXJ> (Drew Lewis)
- Hooters! You’re Ordered to Use Technology-Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/RwnRpP> (Sheila Mackay)
- Predictive Coding 101 & the Litigator’s Toolbelt - <http://bit.ly/YPgr30> (Matthew Nelson)
- Predictive Technologies May Avert Potential Data Archiving Trainwreck – <http://aol.it/RyO7Qy> (Wyatt Cash)

#### NOVEMBER – 34 Stories

- Predictive Coding Comes of Age – <http://bit.ly/RoVxWu> (Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan)
- Is Predictive Coding a Cure for Out-of-Control Discovery Costs? (PDF) <http://bit.ly/TvA78q> (Thompson Hine)
- Analytics Across the Enterprise: From eDiscovery to Second Requests – <http://bit.ly/RoTBNG> (Charles Skamsner)
- Predictive Coding Metrics are for Weenies – Part IV - <http://bit.ly/YmKDCw> (Karl Schieneman)
- Part Three: Like it or Not, Predictive Coding is Here and Judges Want you to Use it - <http://bit.ly/WSXgog> (Cat Casey)
- Escape From Babel: The Grossman-Cormack Glossary - <http://bit.ly/UMxCO7> (Ralph Losey)
- From Law Clerk to First Chair: Effective Positioning of TAR - <http://bit.ly/UxiDHZ> (Stephanie Maw)
- The NHCAA, Jackson Pollock, and Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/WqEJzz> (Kathleen Aller)

- Louisiana Order Dictates That the Parties Cooperate on Technology Assisted Review – <http://bit.ly/Wpk8M8> (Doug Austin)
- ‘Test the Rest’: Sampling for eDiscovery Quality Control – <http://bit.ly/XIdOja> (Chuck Kelner)
- Delaware Court: Surprise! You Will Now Use PredictiveCoding – <http://bit.ly/TTbaSP> (Pooja Nair)
- Part Two: Like it or Not, Predictive Coding is Here and Judges Want you to Use it – <http://bit.ly/UK6f5m> (Cat Casey)
- The ROI of Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/XK2XF1> (Bill Tolson)
- US District Judge Carter Rejects Recusal of Peck in Hot Predictive Coding Case – <http://bit.ly/XJ0LxM> (ACEDS)
- Q&A With Predictive Coding Guru, Maura R. Grossman, Esq. – <http://bit.ly/UGbkM3> (Matthew Nelson)
- Patently Unclear – <http://bit.ly/XxkP6e> (Craig Ball)
- Hooters Law Suit Must Use Predictive Coding from Same Vendor – <http://bit.ly/XxoDEG> (Anna Biblowitz)
- Da Silva Moore: Judge Carter Denies Motion for Recusal or Disqualification – <http://bit.ly/XxnbSL> (K&L Gates)
- Judge Carter Refuses to Disqualify one of SDNY’s “Experts in eDiscovery” – More on Da Silva Moore – <http://bit.ly/Xp7RY7> (Gil Keteltas)
- Moving TARget: The Hunt for a More Cost Effective and Efficient Review – <http://bit.ly/TfsKAe> (Christopher Yoshida)
- Mandatory Predictive Coding? What EORHB, Inc. v. HOA Holdings, LLC Could Mean for eDiscovery – <http://bit.ly/Uzi0eQ> (Linda Sharp)
- EDD Update: USPTO Grants H5 Patent for Its TAR Process – <http://bit.ly/UxTkTS> (Sean Doherty)
- Without Request, Delaware State Judge Orders Use of Predictive Coding in Complex Case – <http://bit.ly/Xn4VLB> (Robert Hilson)
- Judge Peck’s Decision Not to Recuse Himself in ‘Da Silva Moore’ Upheld – <http://bit.ly/VNxIII> (Evan Koblenz)
- Update: Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/xAztDv> (@ComplexD)
- Recusal Motion in Da Silva Moore Case Denied – <http://bit.ly/Q0dMRA> (Philip Favro)
- Review Acceleration: Getting the Most from Technology-Assisted Review – <http://bit.ly/T9e6ue> (Hope Swancy-Haslam)
- Chancery Court Endorses Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/UxTtXl> (Bracewell & Giuliani)
- Judge Orders Parties to Keep it “Kleen” – eDiscovery Law Review – <http://bit.ly/T2YBnx> (Dylan Alper)
- The Grossman-Cormack Glossary of Technology Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/QhUtmD> (Maura Grossman, Gordon Cormack)
- Like it or Not, Predictive Coding is Here and Judges Want you to Use It - <http://bit.ly/QaEBIR> (Cat Casey)
- Judicial Activism: Delaware Judge Orders Both Sides To Use Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/QaK3VW> (Chris Dale)
- EDD Update: Throwing a Wrench in the Document Review Machine - <http://bit.ly/Rzdtbe> (Monica Bay)
- 7 Expectations To Set Your Team Up For Computer-Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/RnQBvb> (Jay Leib)

## OCTOBER – 31 Stories

- Judicial Activism with Predictive Coding – “Just”, “Speedy” and “Inexpensive” eDiscovery - <http://bit.ly/Rriwuf> (Karl Schieneman)
- Discovery of Discovery: Sampling Practice and Resolution of Discovery Disputes (PDF) - <http://bit.ly/RB0nO8> (Nick Landsman)
- Complex Case Law eDiscovery: Is Predictive Coding a Panacea? <http://bit.ly/Q6ve6D> (Shannon Kirk)
- Both Sides Instructed to Use Predictive Coding or Show Cause Why Not – <http://bit.ly/Q1H6qx> (Doug Austin)
- TAR: Five Facts in a Flash - <http://bit.ly/RqmiEm> (Kroll Ontrack)
- Delaware Chancery Court Sua Sponte Orders Parties to Use Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/Q2ICZv> (Wendy Curtis, Jeffrey McKenna)
- A Delaware Judge and Predictive Coding: Comments from Ralph Losey, Craig Ball and Dominic Jaar – <http://bit.ly/SkifxE> (Ralph Losey)
- Surprise Ruling by Delaware Judge Orders Both Sides To Use Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/R3IPYv> (Ralph Losey)
- Predictive Coding Metrics are for Weenies – Part I - <http://bit.ly/Q1FZas> (Karl Schieneman)
- Judicial Activism Taken to New Heights in Latest EORHB (Hooters) Predictive Coding Case - <http://bit.ly/PEleBM> (Matthew Nelson)

- Court Instructs Parties to Utilize Predictive Coding, Requires Show of Cause to Avoid It - <http://bit.ly/SJ3SjI> (K&L Gates)
- Predictive Coding in Unpredictable Order from US Chancery Judge - <http://bit.ly/PWWLHQ> (David Sharpe)
- Are Corporations Ready To Be Transparent And Share Irrelevant Documents With Opposing Counsel To Obtain Substantial Cost Savings Through The Use Of Predictive Coding? <http://bit.ly/Ru9y0P> (Ronni Solomon)
- Predictive Coding: Transparency, Metrics And Ease Of Use - <http://bit.ly/PJ98qT> (Ed Burke, Mary Ann Benson)
- 100 Percent Chance You'll Learn Something From These Sampling Experts – <http://bit.ly/Rue5Am> (Jim Wagner)
- Federal Judicial Acceptance of Predictive Coding Beyond Da Silva Moore - <http://bit.ly/RMy3sB> (Mike Hamilton)
- The “E’s” of Predictive Coding – Part Two - <http://bit.ly/PEvFos> (Karl Schieneman)
- Inconsistent Responsiveness Determination in Document Review: Difference of Opinion or Human Error? <http://bit.ly/RFKfeK> (Maura Grossman, Gordan Cormack)
- 29 Ways To Say “Technology Assisted Review” - <http://bit.ly/HKRvOE> (@ComplexD)
- Does Your CAR (Computer Assisted Review) Have a Full Tank of Gas? - <http://bit.ly/Rwtcf3> (Ralph Losey)
- Court Focuses on Cooperation & Proportionality to Resolve Discovery Disputes - <http://bit.ly/Rnmecl> (K&L Gates)
- Legal Precedents Put Computer Assisted eDiscovery on Course to Broader Enterprise Acceptance – <http://bit.ly/Qpvycr> (Charles Skamser)
- The “E’s” of Predictive Coding – Part One - <http://bit.ly/TiUt0J> (Karl Schieneman)
- Predictive Coding – From the Outside In... - <http://bit.ly/Ri203w> (Drew Lewis)
- Attorneys Admonished by Judge Nolan Not to “Confuse Advocacy with Adversarial Conduct” - <http://bit.ly/Q87YAZ> (Ralph Losey)
- Kleen Products Ruling Confirms Significance of Cooperation and Proportionality in eDiscovery – <http://bit.ly/PO7mAp> (Philip Favro)
- Computers vs. Humans? Putting the TREC 2009 Study in Perspective – <http://bit.ly/QFYUpC> (Steve Green, Mark Yacano)
- Technology-Assisted Review: Four Key Questions – <http://bit.ly/RxIIIHA> (Joe Garber)
- Every Good Document Review Starts With Human Expertise – <http://bit.ly/QG05FA> (Howard Reissner, Ian Hochman)
- Counsel’s Top Predictive Coding Concerns; Part 3 – Fear of Inadvertent Productions – <http://bit.ly/PJVBLk> (Bill Tolson)
- Computer Assisted Review | Electronic Discovery Best Practices – <http://bit.ly/PxWoTH> (Ralph Losey)

## SEPTEMBER – 19 Stories

- Predictive Coding: Time, Cost and Accuracy in eDiscovery: Discussion with Howard Sklar – <http://bit.ly/PPFazC> (Lauren Everhart)
- The Machine Learning / Predictive Coding Silver Bullet – <http://bit.ly/OmgIXQ> (Tim Leehealey)
- Analysis of the Official Report on the 2011 TREC Legal Track – Part Three - <http://bit.ly/Q9u9cu> (Ralph Losey)
- Getting It Right: Training And Certification In Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/QcvD5J> (Howard Sklar, Michael Potters)
- What Lawyers Must Know About Technology Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/Q1GEXx> (Sandra Burch)
- Curtail Rising eDiscovery Costs With Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/P0JZD8> (Peter Buckley)
- Actos Litigation Uses Predictive Coding Technology to Sort Documents <http://bit.ly/NzwTQ9> (Linda Grayling)
- Analysis of the Official Report on the 2011 TREC Legal Track – Part Two - <http://bit.ly/Nxai6H> (Ralph Losey)
- Litigation: Predictive Coding’s Grand Debut - <http://bit.ly/QKRHnl> (Jay Conlin, Andrew Pieper)
- Technology Review: A Framework for Managing People, Technology and Processes - <http://bit.ly/NrQlhv> (Lynn Frances)
- Predictive Coding Emerges as eDiscovery’s Data Salvation – <http://bit.ly/PZ114B> (Maureen Duffy)
- From Technology Assisted Review To Twitter: What Clients, Law Firms and Vendors Need to Know – <http://bit.ly/Sx6ZsW> (David Horrigan)

- Trend Towards Adoption Of Predictive Coding: The Good, The Bad, And The Ugly (PDF) – <http://bit.ly/TIwr2I> (Wendy Curtis, Jeffrey McKenna)
- Technology Assisted Review: Leveraging Advancements to Improve Efficiencies (Video) <http://bit.ly/PW4MHT> (Maura Grossman, Carmen Field)
- Analysis of the Official Report on the 2011 TREC Legal Track – Part One – <http://bit.ly/NUQQ5I> (Ralph Losey)
- Machine Learning Process Explained – <http://bit.ly/PHWRxQ> (Cynthia Murrell)
- Got TAR? – <http://bit.ly/OkaFku> (Craig Ball)
- Understand Predictive Coding Options – <http://bit.ly/Ogl7th> (Joshua Fuchs, Benjamin Wolinsky)
- An Elusive Dialogue on Legal Search: Part Two – Hybrid Multimodal Quality Controls - <http://bit.ly/O8e9mi> (Ralph Losey)

## AUGUST – 53 Stories

- Top Predictive Coding Concerns: Part 2 – It’s Not Well Suited for “Needle in Haystack” Investigations – <http://bit.ly/PtSQgo> (Bill Tolson)
- The Next Big Predictive Coding Case that Wasn’t – <http://bit.ly/Oxs5bA> (Bob Ambrogi)
- Predictive Coding Best Method for Detecting ‘Smoking Gun’ Documents – <http://bit.ly/Q2t7xT> (Twillia Case)
- EDI, Oracle Launch Computer-Aided Document Review Study – <http://bit.ly/OrL6MB> (Monica Bay)
- Sampling Enters the Mainstream Lexicon of eDiscovery Practitioners – <http://bit.ly/MVOEsm> (Sheila Mackay)
- An Elusive Dialogue on Legal Search: Part One where the Search Quadrant is Explained - <http://bit.ly/PUzDa6> (Ralph Losey)
- Kleen Products Predictive Coding Epitaph – <http://bit.ly/PVxjzq> (Karl Schieneman)
- In Kleen Products Litigation, Parties Stipulate that Predictive Coding is Not Required At This Time – <http://bit.ly/TnqbdM> (K&L Gates)
- Kleen Parties Resolve Predictive Coding Quarrel – For Now - <http://bit.ly/PP8Fkb> (Maureen O’Neill)
- eDiscovery Case Law: No Kleen Sweep for Technology Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/T2Thiu> (Doug Austin)
- Court Sidesteps Issue of Mandated Technology-Assisted Review with Parties’ Stipulation in Kleen Products – <http://bit.ly/T2RzO8> (Brian Esser)
- Clean Sweep in Kleen Products PredictiveCoding Battle? Not Exactly - <http://bit.ly/MR3LmX> (Matthew Nelson)
- Kleen Case Not Using Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/PJ54nv> (BLLAWG)
- Predictive Coding in eDiscovery - <http://bit.ly/PeK0mm> (Frederick Kopec)
- Rules-Based Coding Avoids Risks And Landmines In Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/T2LVvg> (Mary Mack)
- Plaintiffs Drop Demand for Computer-Assisted Review in “Kleen” Case - <http://bit.ly/MPi5fJ> (Andrew Bartholomew)
- Kleen Products LLC Withdraws Request to Redo Production - <http://bit.ly/SZHmSD> (Bill Tolson)
- ‘Kleen’ Plaintiffs Withdraw Demand for Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/SUq4pO> (Sean Doherty)
- Plaintiffs in High-Profile ‘Kleen’ Case Drop Demand for Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/Pd1mQK> (Robert Hilson)
- Another View of TAR - <http://bit.ly/MJ5ISq> (Cynthia Murrell)
- eDiscovery Best Practices: For Successful Predictive Coding, Start Randomly - <http://bit.ly/MGSZzC> (Doug Austin)
- Top Ten Best Practices In Predictive Coding | The Metropolitan Corporate Counsel - <http://bit.ly/Ow8Jks> (Warwick Sharp)
- Computer Assisted Review – Case Study - <http://bit.ly/SJv68E> (Cat Casey)
- Predictive Coding Watch: ‘In Re: Actos’ - <http://bit.ly/PsMY9b> (Michael Roach)
- Big Data’s Evolving Role in eDiscovery: What Is Predictive Coding? - <http://bit.ly/P2Xzp5> (David Hill)
- eDiscovery Trends: Use of Internet-Based Tools, Predictive Coding, Up in 2012, Says ABA – <http://bit.ly/Pr6QYB> (Doug Austin)
- Predictive Coding: What’s New and What You Need to Know - <http://bit.ly/PpTBal> (Christopher Spizzirri)
- Predictive Coding v. Boolean Search: Out with the Old, In with the New? <http://bit.ly/PoJhJ0> (Linda Sharp)
- How to Get Court Approval for Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/MYnIJK> (Peter Buckley, Scott Vernick)
- Super Human Information Technology: What’s in a Name? <http://bit.ly/N09SGU> (Bill Tolson)
- The Malkovich-ization of Predictive Coding in eDiscovery – <http://bit.ly/MYIJoZ> (Dean Gonsowski)

- Actos Case TAR Protocol Order – Equivio’s Relevance in Action? <http://bit.ly/MXQ9Ys> (Greg Buckles)
- The Emergence of a Technology Assisted eDiscovery Lifecycle - <http://bit.ly/MXaQUK> (Andrew Bartholomew)
- Kleen-ing up eDiscovery - <http://bit.ly/MWRuyM> (Howard Sklar)
- Predictive Coding – Interview with Senior Master Whitaker - <http://bit.ly/OWhGVL> (Chris Dale)
- Who Are The Technology Providers For Predictive Coding? <http://bit.ly/HKRvOE> (@ComplexD)
- Day Ten of a Predictive Coding Narrative: Post Hoc Test of Hypothesis of Insignificant False Negatives – <http://bit.ly/PhK98V> (Ralph Losey)
- Shrink it Down: Technology Assisted Review in Audio Discovery - <http://bit.ly/P81RMd> (Jeff Schlueter)
- Use of Predictive Coding and the Cloud in eDiscovery Rose in 2012, Survey Says <http://bit.ly/MDN9Ae> (Robert Ambrogi)
- Valora Technologies CEO Responds to Craig Ball’s LTN Article “Next Level” Technology Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/MDI1MD> (Sandra Serkes)
- Guest Comment: Power of Predictive Coding - Philadelphia Business Journal - <http://bit.ly/ONx1I5> (Peter Buckley, Scott Vernick)
- Are Seed Sets the New Keyword, Part III: Transparency is Good - <http://bit.ly/MDH4DZ> (Howard Sklar)
- TAR Takes Steps Toward Acceptance at Carmel Valley eDiscovery Retreat – <http://bit.ly/ONwqWT> (Kris Rzepkowski)
- Inn Re: Actos eDiscovery Order – An Example of an eDiscovery Order Handling Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/MxIYpG> (RVM)
- New TAR Order: In re Actos Products Liability Litigation (PDF) <http://bit.ly/OIY9YX> (W.D. La July 27, 2012)
- Tangible Examples Of Technology-Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/OIO5yW> (Barry Murphy)
- Keyword Search and Technology-Assisted Review: Judge Scheindlin’s Recent Opinion - <http://bit.ly/MrTWwQ> (Shelia Mackay)
- Lawyers See Benefits of Computer Coding, with Caveats - <http://bit.ly/MrOE4A> (Erin Geiger Smith)
- Train, Don’t Cull Using Keywords | Ball in your Court - <http://bit.ly/OCPOxC> (Craig Ball)
- Day Nine of a Predictive Coding Narrative: A Scary Search for False Negatives (And More) - <http://bit.ly/PwSdAJ> (Ralph Losey)
- Recommend and Fulbright Panel Debunks Predictive Coding Myths - <http://bit.ly/MnZdFN> (Chris Dale)
- Taking Technology-Assisted Review to the Next Level - <http://bit.ly/OLe5Mi> (Craig Ball)
- Are Keywords Obsolete? <http://bit.ly/M3xPeJ> (RVM)

## JULY – 51 Stories

- Are Seed Sets the New Keyword, Part II: You Can Have My Seed Set - <http://bit.ly/OeWYUb> (Howard Sklar)
- Carmel Valley eDiscovery Judicial Panel on Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/M0PB28> (Karl Schieneman)
- Taking Technology-Assisted Review to the Next Level – <http://bit.ly/OLe5Mi> (Craig Ball)
- Predictive Coding, eDiscovery, and Me - <http://bit.ly/LYwao> (Mark Dubois)
- Days Seven and Eight of a Predictive Coding Narrative - <http://bit.ly/Ox4J52> (Ralph Losey)
- Why Half Measures Aren’t Enough in Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/LRNx2Q> (Chitrang Shah)
- Why (Some) Lawyers Don’t Like Technology-Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/LQkztN> (Dennis Kiker)
- Court Acceptance of Predictive Coding? Still a Waiting Game - <http://bit.ly/OpZFiQ> (Michael Hamilton)
- The Latest Update: Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/xAztDv> (@ComplexD)
- Da Silva Moore: Defendant Files Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Objection to Denial of Motion for Recusal or Disqualification – <http://bit.ly/Q6WTOT> (K&L Gates)
- Beyond Prediction: Technology-Assisted Review Enters the Lexicon - <http://bit.ly/LNtUjh> (Richard Acelio)
- Judge Scheindlin advises Keyword Search Inadequate, Recommends Predictive Coding as a Best Practice - <http://bit.ly/PCxlfX> (Bill Tolson)
- Predictive Coding - Clawing Back Privileged Documents - <http://bit.ly/NPxn75> (Hayes Hunt, Jillian Thornton)
- The Cowen Group Q3 Kick Off In New York City - <http://bit.ly/LHKVcl> (Barry Murphy)
- Case in Point for Monday, July 23rd, “TARpit” <http://bit.ly/LHzCkW> (Tom Fishburne)
- Predictive Coding on the Move - <http://bit.ly/LFv12C> (Chris Dale)
- Days Five and Six of a Predictive Coding Narrative: Deep into the Weeds and a Computer Mind-meld Moment - <http://bit.ly/NMrVLS> (Ralph Losey)

- Increasing Judicial Acceptance of Computer-Assisted Document Review – <http://bit.ly/PgsP30> (White & Case)
- “Take it e-sy” : Electronic Discovery Law- Julie Anne Halter - <http://bit.ly/LCKLrS> (K&L Gates)
- Judge Scheindlin Says “No” to Self-Collection, “Yes” to Predictive Coding - <http://bit.ly/Oe8agl> (Doug Austin)
- Panel Debunks Predictive Coding Myths - <http://bit.ly/PgqR2t> (Monica Bay)
- Is Predictive Coding a Threat to Jobs? <http://bit.ly/LyAuNm> (Talia Page)
- Kleen Case May Have Another Evidentiary Hearing on Search Methodologies (PDF) <http://bit.ly/PmIRf2> (Via Philip Favro)
- Predicting Enterprise TAR: Why Smart CIOs and GCs Should Be Talking To Each Other - <http://bit.ly/LuW13x> (Gerard Britton)
- Are Seed Sets the New Keyword? <http://bit.ly/PgY9Cj> (Howard Sklar)
- Trimming Legal Costs and Jobs: A Predictive Coding Unintended Consequence? <http://bit.ly/Q2DxPi> (Whitney Grace)
- Firms and Terms: A Snapshot Of Technology Assisted Review Providers And Terminology - <http://bit.ly/HKRvOE> (@ComplexD)
- eDiscovery Trends: TREC Study Finds Technology Assisted Review More Cost Effective - <http://bit.ly/M2Ie6U> (Doug Austin)
- Assisted Review Technologies: eDiscovery’s ‘Brave New World’ of Predictive Coding and TAR (451 Research Subscribers) <http://bit.ly/Mt6nbx> (David Horrigan)
- Days Three and Four of a Predictive Coding Narrative: Where I Find that the Computer is Free to Disagree – <http://bit.ly/OHK6lt> (Ralph Losey)
- Technology-Assisted Review Boosted in TREC 2011 Results - <http://bit.ly/LWkd1n> (Evan Koblentz)
- Shifting the eDiscovery Paradigm with Defensible Data Reduction - - <http://bit.ly/LiejuF> (Andrew Bartholomew)
- Text REtrieval Conference (TREC) 2011 Proceedings - <http://1.usa.gov/LkRQgt> (NIST)
- Robots Are Not Replacing eDiscovery Lawyers - <http://bit.ly/MkKifa> (Jason Krause)
- Predictive Coding Gaining Popularity in Complex Litigation – Dinsmore & Shohl LLP – <http://bit.ly/OtKyUe> (Grahmn Morgan)
- Making Sense of ‘Kleen Products’: Is it Really about Predictive Coding? <http://bit.ly/LPLQcg> (Bob Ambrogi)
- Plaintiffs v. Peck – A Worthy Addition to Your Summer Reading List - <http://bit.ly/Oxk9F5> (Leah R. Glasofer)
- Da Silva Moore: Plaintiffs Object to Denial of Motion for Recusal or Disqualification – <http://bit.ly/PHFt0P> (K&L Gates)
- Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding - Consolidated Key Document File Update (July 9, 2012) - <http://bit.ly/xAztDv> (@ComplexD)
- Expanding TAR to become Predictive Discovery - <http://bit.ly/RNYT1r> (Greg Buckles)
- Day Two of a Predictive Coding Narrative: More Than A Random Stroll Down Memory Lane - <http://bit.ly/RJV7Gi> (Ralph Losey)
- Predictive Coding – Measurement Challenges - <http://bit.ly/PCX2zb> (Venkat Rangan)
- Class Certification Granted in ‘Da Silva Moore’ - <http://bit.ly/PrWWu1> (Mark Hamblett)
- “One Ring to Rule Them All?” eDiscovery Search Methodology in Patent Litigation – <http://bit.ly/N9mdln> (Wendy Akbar)
- How One Judge Sees #eDiscovery - <http://bit.ly/M1V0qI> (Whitney Grace)
- Survey Shows Surge in eDiscovery Work at Law Firms and Corporations – <http://bit.ly/PphaES> (Monica Bay)
- What is the Turing Test for Computer-Assisted Review? <http://bit.ly/RmAzmV> (kCura)
- ‘Lawyerbots’ Offer Attorneys Faster, Cheaper Assistants - <http://huff.to/MFRLUS> (Brittany Fitzgerald)
- Predicting the Future of Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/M325C3> (Hayes Hunt, Jillian Thornton)
- London Judicial Panel Points to Future of Technology Assisted Review – <http://bit.ly/Ooff79> (Chris Dale)
- Day One of a Predictive Coding Narrative: Searching for Relevance in the Ashes of Enron - <http://bit.ly/LKKaDq> (Ralph Losey)

## JUNE – 41 Stories

- A Discovery: Study Tech-Aided Review Before It’s an Ethics Issue – <http://bit.ly/Ld27rD> (Joe Dysart)
- The Best Place to Perform Technology Assisted Review (TAR) <http://bit.ly/Qi5sIQ> (Charles Skamser)
- Case Study: All In The Mix | Millnet – <http://bit.ly/LcVOEs> (Charles Holloway)
- Going “All Out” for Predictive Coding and Vendor Cost Savings – <http://bit.ly/LyAtfb> (Ralph Losey)

- A Solution to the High Cost of eDiscovery: Technology-Assisted Review - <http://bit.ly/LJVrFU> (Michael Pontrelli)
- Litigators on a Journey of eDiscovery (PDF) <http://bit.ly/LyqN0n> (Jonathan Ames)
- Considering Predictive Coding? <http://bit.ly/znmHLg> (@OrangeLT)
- Judge Peck: Annoyance Is Not Enough to Warrant Recusal in Da Silva Moore – <http://bit.ly/LyZbtV> (Amy Lynn Maxwell)
- Championing” the Preservation of Keywords in eDiscovery – <http://bit.ly/L9Djkc> (Chad McManamy)
- Opening the Doors of Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/Lu5cWI> (Greg Mucarella)
- Technology Assisted Review – “Seed Set” vs. Complex Queries – <http://bit.ly/Luct93> (Kevin Nichols)
- Judge Refuses Recusal in Da Silva Moore – <http://bit.ly/LuOkzd> (Thomas Jones)
- Learning and Teaching About Predictive Coding Are Not Bases for Recusal – More on Da Silva Moore – <http://bit.ly/La1IEv> (Gil Keteltas)
- The Digital Advantage: TAR – Is Your Seed Sound? <http://bit.ly/Lubu8O> (Mark Walker)
- Rejecting Da Silva Moore Effort To Remove Him From Case, Peck Writes 56-Page Opinion To Say He Will Stay – <http://bit.ly/LIo31V> (ACEDS)
- Judge Refuses Recusal in Da Silva Moore : E-Discovery Law Review – <http://bit.ly/L6SbOz> (Thomas Jones)
- Jason Baron on Computer-Assisted Review – Bravo! <http://bit.ly/LhJyXI> (Sharon Nelson)
- Perceived Value of Technology Assisted Review (TAR) <http://bit.ly/L6RmVR> (Charles Skamser)
- Predictive Coding Wins Major Case – <http://bit.ly/LemVTv> (Whitney Grace)
- Update: Judge Andrew Peck Refuses Recusal in ‘Da Silva Moore’ – Order <http://bit.ly/Ld4LSF> (Monica Bay)
- After Court Decisions, Clients Mull Swapping Lawyers for Machines – <http://on.wsj.com/Lb2xD7> (Joe Pallazolo)
- Going Where Few Judges Have Gone Before: Emerging Case Law On Software-Assisted Review – <http://bit.ly/L7UoPR> (Jason Baron)
- Judge Peck Comes Out Fighting And Denies Da Silva Moore Recusal Motion – <http://bit.ly/L5gfHM> (Chris Dale)
- Third Millnet eDisclosure Podcast on Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/Lmx6W1> (Chris Dale)
- One More Update From June 15, 2012 – Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding – <http://bit.ly/xAztDv> (@ComplexD)
- 7th Circuit Pilot Program on eDiscovery – <http://bit.ly/L2IsyW> (Ralph Losey)
- Predictive Coding: Dozens of Names, No Definition, Lots of Controversy – <http://bit.ly/L6bZXN> (Sharon Nelson, John Simek)
- The Human Side to Using Predictive Coding in eDiscovery – <http://bit.ly/L6jkGO> (Jonathan Easton)
- Judge OKs Use of Predictive Coding to Cut eDiscovery Document Review Group from 2 Million to 5,000 – <http://bit.ly/LxEPxL> (Martha Nell)
- The New Proportionality – <http://bit.ly/LxATNu> (Howard Sklar)
- Where Angels Fear To Tread: Daubert, FRE 702 and #eDiscovery – <http://bit.ly/LxAGK3> (Philip Favro)
- Where Does the Money Go? RAND Provides Some Answers – <http://bit.ly/LxBtL7> (Doug Austin)
- Pros And Cons Of Computer-Assisted Review (PDF) <http://bit.ly/LxDJC4> (David Breaux, Adrian Fonticella)
- Update: Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding (1,432 Pages With Index) <http://bit.ly/xAztDv> (@ComplexD)
- Da Silva Moore: Defendant Opposes Plaintiffs’ Objections to May 7 Discovery Rulings – <http://bit.ly/L9yg2c> (K&L Gates)
- Kleen Products Predictive Coding Update – Judge Nolan – <http://bit.ly/L1d14l> (Matthew Nelson)
- The Rise of Technology-Assisted Review (TAR) <http://bit.ly/L1vPAAt> (Barry Murphy)
- Judge Peck Stays Defendant’s ESI Production in da Silva Moore Pending Resolution of Several Motions – <http://bit.ly/Nf67f9> (Phillip Duffy)
- Judge Peck: Cloud For Enterprises Not Cost-Effective Without Efficient eDiscovery Process – <http://bit.ly/KZ8saw> (John Patzakis)
- What is a ‘Reasonable Search’? <http://bit.ly/Ktyg2d> (Howard Sklar)
- Predictive Coding 2.0 (LegalTech West Coast Presentation)
- 5.16.12 New Order Update: Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding (1320 Pages Consolidated w/Index) <http://bit.ly/xAztDv> (@ComplexD)
- Judge Peck Stays Defendant MSL Production in ‘Da Silva Moore’ - <http://bit.ly/IUy8DM> (Monica Bay)
- eDiscovery Case Law: Judge Peck Stays Defendant’s Production in Da Silva Moore – <http://bit.ly/IUsaCH> (Doug Austin)
- eDiscovery Case Law: Defendant Responds to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Recusal in Da Silva Moore - <http://bit.ly/KnyPcm> (Doug Austin)

- Judge Peck Puts Freeze On Predictive Coding Protocol in Da Silva Moore Case – [bit.ly/L1PL3i](http://bit.ly/L1PL3i) (Robert Hilson)
- Are Your Samples Random? Are You Just Getting Random Results? [bit.ly/Jb9LGL](http://bit.ly/Jb9LGL) (Mark Walker)
- Da Silva Moore: Plaintiffs File Reply in Support of Motion for Recusal or Disqualification - [bit.ly/JYD5LY](http://bit.ly/JYD5LY) (K&L Gates)
- Will Predictive Coding Live Up to the eDiscovery Hype? [bit.ly/JbEROt](http://bit.ly/JbEROt) (Philip Favro)
- Blogging, Proportional Review and Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/JbCFQs](http://bit.ly/JbCFQs) (Ralph Losey)
- Da Silva Moore Plaintiff's Accuse Judge Peck of 'Naked Retaliation' - [bit.ly/M4K5ef](http://bit.ly/M4K5ef) (ACEDS)
- Are Predictive Coding Disclosures Required? A Proportional Answer - [bit.ly/IFLZNY](http://bit.ly/IFLZNY) (Gerard Britton)
- Podcast: Top 10 Tips for Learning Predictive Coding and Forbes Legal Hydra Article - [bit.ly/Jju8Bh](http://bit.ly/Jju8Bh) (Karl Schieneman)
- Da Silva Moore' Plaintiffs Continue Discovery Objections - [bit.ly/J3PY5b](http://bit.ly/J3PY5b) (Sean Doherty)
- Da Silva Moore Opponents Rally to Peck's Defense - [bit.ly/JjdhZS](http://bit.ly/JjdhZS) (ACEDS)
- Do Litigators Need to Understand Predictive Coding Theory? [bit.ly/INcG7c](http://bit.ly/INcG7c) (Charles Skamser)
- Manual vs. Technology-Assisted Review in eDiscovery: You Can Play Too! [bit.ly/IMDynN](http://bit.ly/IMDynN) (PLG, University of Waterloo)
- Are You Ready for the Next Step in Document Review Technology? [bit.ly/K08f5j](http://bit.ly/K08f5j) (Michelle L'Hommedieu)
- An Introduction to Statistical Sampling in Electronic Discovery - [bit.ly/KFv17p](http://bit.ly/KFv17p) (Apersee)
- Technology Assisted Review, Concept Search and Predictive Coding: The Limitations and Risks - [bit.ly/J88ehx](http://bit.ly/J88ehx) (Johannes Scholtes)
- Peck Wins By Submission; Parties Get Shot At Title Fight - [bit.ly/Jfheio](http://bit.ly/Jfheio) (eLessons Learned)
- Move Over Humans, 21st Century Document Review Has Arrived - [bit.ly/IXZAlr](http://bit.ly/IXZAlr) (William Essig, Lawrence Del Rossi)
- Technology-Assisted Predictive Modeling and Auto-Classification in Records Management (PDF) [bit.ly/J0uFV](http://bit.ly/J0uFV) (Jason Baron, Dave Lewis)
- A Viable Alternative to Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/IZeSGM](http://bit.ly/IZeSGM) (Jonathan Easton)
- The Names and Faces of "Technology Assisted Review" [bit.ly/HKRvOE](http://bit.ly/HKRvOE) (@ComplexD)
- Four Lessons Counsel Can Learn About Da Silva Moore and Predictive Coding | Quarles & Brady - [bit.ly/IDjI9s](http://bit.ly/IDjI9s) (Steven Hunter)
- Ride The Lightning: More on Da Silva Moore and Judge Peck – <http://bit.ly/IDqEuF> (Sharon Nelson)
- Two Hits and No Strikes for Computer-Assisted Review - [bit.ly/IHsVBz](http://bit.ly/IHsVBz) (Jon Resnick)
- Judge Carter's DaSilva Decision: Cleared for Takeoff? [bit.ly/JLcgwL](http://bit.ly/JLcgwL) (Maureen O'Neil)
- 3 Drawbacks To Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/JLcbco](http://bit.ly/JLcbco) (Sandra Serkes)
- Scattershot Innuendo and Muck – The Plaintiffs Respond To The Recusal Motion in Da Silva Moore - [bit.ly/JBmlI9](http://bit.ly/JBmlI9) (Chris Dale)
- Combatting Bias in Predictive Coding Adoption: In the Courts and in Practice - [bit.ly/IrHdaH](http://bit.ly/IrHdaH) (Gerard Britton)
- Defendants in 'Da Silva Moore' Oppose Motion to Recuse Judge - [bit.ly/INB7II](http://bit.ly/INB7II) (Michael Roach)
- Three Things to Ask to Make Sure You're Getting Predictive Coding [bit.ly/INBInu](http://bit.ly/INBInu) (Howard Sklar)
- VA State Court Orders Predictive Coding Over A Party's Objections In High Stakes Case - [bit.ly/Kx5WWK](http://bit.ly/Kx5WWK) (Robert Hilson)
- The Real Winners In Technology-Assisted Review Are... [bit.ly/JVSyQi](http://bit.ly/JVSyQi) (Barry Murphy)
- Score One for Peck - [bit.ly/JVL4N7](http://bit.ly/JVL4N7) (Linda Sharp)
- Does Predictive Coding Spell Doom for Entry-Level Associates? [bit.ly/JVHOkM](http://bit.ly/JVHOkM) (Erica Birg)
- Updated 5/2/12 – Consolidated Filings (812 Pages) Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/xAztDv](http://bit.ly/xAztDv) (@ComplexD)
- Defendant Files Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Recusal - [bit.ly/IKaHuU](http://bit.ly/IKaHuU) (K&L Gates)
- Take Two: Reactions to 'Da Silva Moore' Predictive Coding Order [bit.ly/JSpyZC](http://bit.ly/JSpyZC) (Evan Koblentz)
- Global Aerospace v. Landow Aviation – Audio of Judge Chamblin's Order Approving Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/IphMn8](http://bit.ly/IphMn8) (Trustpoint International)
- The Buzz on Predictive Coding – Global Aerospace Inc., et al. v. Landow Aviation LP, et al. (PDF) [bit.ly/InKCY3](http://bit.ly/InKCY3) (Redgrave LLP)
- Considered, Deferred, Denied | @Millnet - [bit.ly/InoP2E](http://bit.ly/InoP2E) (Charles Holloway)
- The Why & How of Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/In23b5](http://bit.ly/In23b5) (James Moeskops, Chris Dale)

APRIL – 56 Stories



- Computer-Assisted Review: Reducing Risk For The CPS - [bit.ly/Ko6ZaD](http://bit.ly/Ko6ZaD) (Howard Sklar)
- Top Ten Considerations When Evaluating Technology-Assisted Document Review Technology - [bit.ly/In1r5a](http://bit.ly/In1r5a) (Pradeep Victor)
- Judicial Test Pilot - [bit.ly/JHmGPo](http://bit.ly/JHmGPo) (Josh Gilliland)
- Judge Carter Adopts Magistrate Judge Peck's Order Endorsing Use of Predictive Coding (PDF) [bit.ly/JHr1C3](http://bit.ly/JHr1C3) (Paul Weiss)
- Nod to Predictive Coding in 'Da Silva Moore' Stirs Caution, Excitement - [bit.ly/JHscBl](http://bit.ly/JHscBl) (Evan Koblentz)
- District Judge Upholds Peck on Predictive Coding, Says Recusal Of Magistrate Judge May Endanger Ruling – [bit.ly/KhLmcA](http://bit.ly/KhLmcA) (ACEDS)
- Open Questions About Carter's Upholding of Peck - [bit.ly/KhHN6n](http://bit.ly/KhHN6n) (Evan Koblentz)
- Predictive Coding Software Sifts Through Documents Better [bit.ly/I3sAWS](http://bit.ly/I3sAWS) (Luis Salazar)
- Peck Decision on Use of Predictive Coding Upheld in N.Y. Federal Court - [bit.ly/IJr90K](http://bit.ly/IJr90K) (Mark Hamblett)
- District Court Judge Adopts Orders Approving Use of Predictive Coding, Denies Plaintiffs' Objections – [bit.ly/IJqD34](http://bit.ly/IJqD34) (K&L Gates)
- Da Silva Moore, Global Aerospace, Kleen Products: Hyped Triumvirate, But Dispositive Opinion Yet To Come [bit.ly/IyzkOW](http://bit.ly/IyzkOW) (Brandon Hollinder)
- First State Court Issues Order Approving the Use of Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/IsgrtS](http://bit.ly/IsgrtS) (Matthew Nelson)
- Another eDiscovery Milestone: State Judge Orders Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/I3nav5](http://bit.ly/I3nav5) (Allison Frankel)
- Federal Court Affirms Judge Peck's Predictive Coding Order [bit.ly/Is3UXq](http://bit.ly/Is3UXq) (Bob Ambrogi)
- Peck, Parties and Predictive Coding - (Updated 4/26/2012) - [bit.ly/xAztDv](http://bit.ly/xAztDv) (@ComplexD)
- Virginia State Court Judge Allows Defendants to Use Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/I3pcLT](http://bit.ly/I3pcLT) (K&L Gates)
- Judge Carter OKs Peck's Predictive Coding Decision in 'Da Silva Moore' - [bit.ly/IrSqmJ](http://bit.ly/IrSqmJ) (Evan Koblentz)
- Judge Carter Upholds Judge Peck's Predictive Coding Order in Da Silva Moore Case – (PDF) [bit.ly/IRyV8C](http://bit.ly/IRyV8C) (ACEDS)
- Predictive Coding - An Interview With James Moeskops Of Millnet - [bit.ly/IRkAZO](http://bit.ly/IRkAZO) (Chris Dale)
- Big Data Meets Computer-Assisted Review - [bit.ly/IyG53n](http://bit.ly/IyG53n) (Jay Lieb)
- Is the Third Time the Charm for Technology Assisted Review? [bit.ly/I3rqdZ](http://bit.ly/I3rqdZ) (Doug Austin)
- Experts Debate The Ins and Outs Of Technology-Assisted Review - [bit.ly/K6nckE](http://bit.ly/K6nckE) (Alex Vorro)
- Smart Scope and Smarter Tools Help Cut E-Discovery Costs - [bit.ly/I3pu5j](http://bit.ly/I3pu5j) (Craig Smith)
- Defensible Disposal and Predictive Coding Reduces (?) eDiscovery by 65% - [bit.ly/JqtLjo](http://bit.ly/JqtLjo) (Bill Tolson)
- Major State Court Ruling Issued on Predictive Coding - Use Approved Over Opponents' Objections – [bit.ly/IRWIos](http://bit.ly/IRWIos) (OrcaTec)
- Second Ever Order Entered Approving Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/Ic9QXQ](http://bit.ly/Ic9QXQ) (Ralph Losey)
- Va. Judge Orders Predictive Coding Over Plaintiff Objections - [bit.ly/IakuP1](http://bit.ly/IakuP1) (Evan Koblentz)
- Document Review in 2025: eDiscovery To Infinity And Beyond [bit.ly/I3HLjQ](http://bit.ly/I3HLjQ) (Kara Kirkeby, Jodi Vickerman)
- “Where The Money Goes” – A Report By The Rand Corporation | eDiscovery Team - [bit.ly/JyxAVs](http://bit.ly/JyxAVs) (Ralph Losey)
- Federal Court Approves Use Of “Predictive Coding” | Metropolitan Corporate Counsel – [bit.ly/I6OcnA](http://bit.ly/I6OcnA) (Brendan Schulman, Samantha Ettari)
- Plaintiffs Move To Recuse Peck In Predictive Coding Case, Now Suggesting Financial Link To Recommend – [bit.ly/IBawU5](http://bit.ly/IBawU5) (ACEDS)
- Plaintiffs Trying To Get Judge Peck Bounced from Landmark Predictive Coding Case - [bit.ly/HNvuxL](http://bit.ly/HNvuxL) (Christopher Danzig)
- Leading Federal Court Decision Opens Doors to Wider Use of Computer-Assisted Review – [bit.ly/JwXNR0](http://bit.ly/JwXNR0) (Deanna Blomquist, Aaron Van Oort)
- Predictive Coding Watch: 'Kleen Products' in Illinois - [bit.ly/HluSjF](http://bit.ly/HluSjF) (Michael Roach)
- Plaintiffs File Formal Motion for Recusal or Disqualification in Da Silva Moore - [bit.ly/IjYpvi](http://bit.ly/IjYpvi) (K&L Gates)
- One Size Doesn't Fit All in Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/IUFGuc](http://bit.ly/IUFGuc) (Matt Miller)
- eDiscovery Dispute Yields Formal Recusal Request in 'da Silva Moore' - [bit.ly/J8frLe](http://bit.ly/J8frLe) (Sean Doherty)
- A is for Apple Appeal: Peck's Approval of Computer-Assisted Review Under Review - [bit.ly/HUEouM](http://bit.ly/HUEouM) (eLessons Learned)
- Kleen Products vs Da Silva Moore: Measurement vs Method - [bit.ly/HMCgJz](http://bit.ly/HMCgJz) (Greg Buckles)
- Redefine Transparency in Predictive Coding: Shoot for Validity - [bit.ly/HL7PhL](http://bit.ly/HL7PhL) (Gerard Britton)
- The Other Technology Assisted Review Case - [bit.ly/HKUJB8](http://bit.ly/HKUJB8) (Doug Austin)
- Plaintiffs Ask Judge Nan R. Nolan to Go Out On Limb In Kleen Products Predictive Coding Case - [bit.ly/HGgMfD](http://bit.ly/HGgMfD) (Matthew Nelson)

- The ‘Other’ Predictive Coding Case Shows Vastly Different Judicial Fact-Finding Approach - [bit.ly/IywbhX](http://bit.ly/IywbhX) (Robert Hilson)
- 4 Lessons Counsel Can Learn from Da Silva Moore - [bit.ly/IoZR0N](http://bit.ly/IoZR0N) (Steven Hunter)
- Da Silva Moore + Kleen = It’s All About the Math - [bit.ly/I38kSI](http://bit.ly/I38kSI) (Karl Schieneman)
- Predictive Coding — Cost Savings If Investment Is Made | Lowenstein Sandler (PDF) - [bit.ly/Hmy3b3](http://bit.ly/Hmy3b3) (Nicole Albano, Ryan Cooper)
- Information Governance and Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/HAqdsz](http://bit.ly/HAqdsz) (Bill Tolson)
- What’s the Difference Between Automated Review and PredictiveCoding? [bit.ly/HNXtkM](http://bit.ly/HNXtkM) (Valora Technologies)
- More in Da Silva Moore: Magistrate Judge Peck Responds to Request for Recusal - [bit.ly/HNCbUB](http://bit.ly/HNCbUB) (K&L Gates)
- Computer Assisted Review: Technology to Help Navigate the Murky Waters of eDiscovery | Sedgwick LLP - [bit.ly/HgEehJ](http://bit.ly/HgEehJ) (Nicholas Weiss)
- Equivio Spells out Predictive Coding Basics on ESIBytes Podcast - [bit.ly/Hgotdz](http://bit.ly/Hgotdz) (Chris Dale)
- The Battle of Boolean Searches versus Sampling and Predictive Coding and Attacking Expert Witnesses – [bit.ly/HgVweD](http://bit.ly/HgVweD) (Karl Schieneman)
- Technology-Assisted Document Review: Is It Really Defensible (PDF) - [bit.ly/HgH1HO](http://bit.ly/HgH1HO) (Dennis Kiker, Daryl Shetterly, William W. Belt)
- ‘Da Silva Moore’ Plaintiffs Request Recusal for Judge Peck - [bit.ly/HgD1HI](http://bit.ly/HgD1HI) (Sean Doherty)
- Court Affirms Technology-Assisted Review — Now What? (Webinar Recording) [bit.ly/GYvyvk](http://bit.ly/GYvyvk) (Xerox XLS)
- Try It, You’ll Like It (Or Not) - [bit.ly/HE5tAA](http://bit.ly/HE5tAA) (Sean Doherty)

#### March – 44 Stories

- PredictiveCoding: 5 Things You Should Know (PDF) - [bit.ly/H6CmVU](http://bit.ly/H6CmVU) (David Kessler, Florinda Baldrige)
- Much Ado About (Predictive Coding) Definitions - [bit.ly/GYVwhb](http://bit.ly/GYVwhb) (Craig Carpenter)
- PredictiveCoding: A Rose by Any Other Name - [bit.ly/Hg7J0z](http://bit.ly/Hg7J0z) (Sharon Nelson, John Simek, Dan Gallivan)
- Training of Predictive Coding Systems Fosters Debate - [bit.ly/Hg5eyd](http://bit.ly/Hg5eyd) (Evan Koblentz)
- Global eDiscovery & Da Silva Moore Technology Assisted Review Case Overview - [bit.ly/GV6pE6](http://bit.ly/GV6pE6) (Michele Lange, Jim Daley)
- Predictive Tagging: It’s A Process, Not A Panacea - [bit.ly/H6iguB](http://bit.ly/H6iguB) (Bill Mariano)
- Predictive Coding And Patented Workflow: A Defensible #eDiscovery System - [bit.ly/H6i5zy](http://bit.ly/H6i5zy) (Howard Sklar)
- Roitblat Publishes Critique: Argument in Da Silva Moore Case Isn’t About Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/GTGhoY](http://bit.ly/GTGhoY) (PR Web)
- Putting the Duh in Da Silva Moore - [bit.ly/GTpane](http://bit.ly/GTpane) (Craig Ball)
- What’s the Right Call on Computer Assisted-Review? [bit.ly/GTnZ7e](http://bit.ly/GTnZ7e) (Jon Resnick)
- Predictive Coding Based Legal Methods for Search and Review - [bit.ly/H6rY5x](http://bit.ly/H6rY5x) (Ralph Losey)
- OrcaTec’s Herb Roitblat Gets The Measure of Da Silva Moore Plaintiffs – [bit.ly/H6dkLB](http://bit.ly/H6dkLB) (Chris Dale)
- Validating Predictive Coding, Da Silva Moore Case and Other Current Issues – [bit.ly/H2JaGC](http://bit.ly/H2JaGC) (Karl Schieneman, Herb Roitblat)
- Da Silva Moore Plaintiffs Slash and Burn their Way Through eDiscovery - [bit.ly/H2FLHY](http://bit.ly/H2FLHY) (Herb Roitblat)
- Should the ‘Daubert’ Standard Apply to Predictive Coding? We May Know Soon - [bit.ly/GTGnQ4](http://bit.ly/GTGnQ4) (Bob Ambrogio)
- Predictive Coding on Trial: Knives Out - [bit.ly/GIJzlg](http://bit.ly/GIJzlg) (Katey Wood)
- Da Silva Moore Fast Becoming Landmark eDiscovery Case - [bit.ly/GFPdUk](http://bit.ly/GFPdUk) (Barry Murphy)
- Update – Plaintiffs Attack Judge Peck’s Da Silva Moore Predictive Coding Order Again - [bit.ly/GMwBNj](http://bit.ly/GMwBNj) (Brandon Hollinder)
- Da Silva Moore Plaintiffs File Reply Brief In Support of Objections to Discovery Rulings - [bit.ly/GH8LmX](http://bit.ly/GH8LmX) (K&L Gates)
- Do Novel Document Review Methods Demand Disclosure? [bit.ly/GEaATY](http://bit.ly/GEaATY) (Robert Trenchard, Steven Berrent)
- Endorsement, Evidence and Impartiality: Predictive Coding, Take 2 [bit.ly/GCvMZn](http://bit.ly/GCvMZn) (BLLAWG)
- A Golden Opportunity for Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/GCtfxC](http://bit.ly/GCtfxC) (BLLAWG)
- Court Recognizes Computer-Assisted Review as Acceptable Way to Search for Relevant Electronic Documents – [bit.ly/yGre0c](http://bit.ly/yGre0c) (Patton Boggs)
- Predictive Coding Is a New Tool in the eDiscovery Toolbox - [bit.ly/FPXWS5](http://bit.ly/FPXWS5) (Philip Cohen, Lauren Harrison)

- Judge Peck’s Da Silva Moore Opinion Will Continue to Be Influential Despite Objection - [bit.ly/AxirNA](http://bit.ly/AxirNA) (Brendon Hollinder)
- Not So Fast on Computer Assisted Review - [bit.ly/y32iGA](http://bit.ly/y32iGA) (Doug Austin)
- New Methods for Legal Search and Review - [bit.ly/AkdolS](http://bit.ly/AkdolS) (Ralph Losey)
- Shedding Light on the Predictive Coding Black Box - [bit.ly/ABz8Aw](http://bit.ly/ABz8Aw) (James Hanft)
- Judge Carter Grants Plaintiff’s Request To File Opposing Brief Re: da Silva Moore (PDF) [bit.ly/wHlAbp](http://bit.ly/wHlAbp) (Sirham Nurhussein)
- Will Approval of Computer-Assisted Document Review Spur Acceptance in Antitrust Investigations? [bit.ly/zWGXy6](http://bit.ly/zWGXy6) (Jones Day)
- What is this Predictive Coding thing Anyway? [bit.ly/wGRljf](http://bit.ly/wGRljf) (Chuck Rothman)
- Fulbright Focuses on Transparency in Predictive Coding Review - [bit.ly/wJRCpi](http://bit.ly/wJRCpi) (Chris Dale)
- Judge Peck Provides a Primer on Computer-Assisted Review - [bit.ly/xeyRfR](http://bit.ly/xeyRfR) (John Tredennick)
- Automated Predictive Review (Predictive Coding) from Orange Legal Technologies - [bit.ly/zzXJCK](http://bit.ly/zzXJCK) (@OrangeLT)
- LegalTech New York Interview of Dean Gonsowski on Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/xNYWYG](http://bit.ly/xNYWYG) (Ralph Losey)
- The Blogosphere Reacts to Judge Peck’s Ruling on Predictive Coding – [bit.ly/ygrbml](http://bit.ly/ygrbml) (Bob Ambrogi)
- Federal Judge Approves Predictive Coding Technology for eDiscovery (PDF) - [bit.ly/wgGdCE](http://bit.ly/wgGdCE) (GreenbergTraurig)
- Judge Peck’s Predictive Coding Opinion – Reporting The Reaction - [bit.ly/yououl2](http://bit.ly/yououl2) (Chris Dale)
- Overview of the TREC 2010 Legal Track (PDF) [1.usa.gov/Ae1pQw](http://www.usa.gov/Ae1pQw) (Gordon Cormack, Maura Grossman, Bruce Hedin, Douglas Oard)
- Before They Were Famous Video – Maas, Whitaker, Peck and Waxse On Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/weljFs](http://bit.ly/weljFs) (Chris Dale)
- Landmark E-Discovery Decision Recognizes the Appropriateness of Predictive Coding Review - [bit.ly/yiwBVk](http://bit.ly/yiwBVk) (Squire Sanders)
- Computer-Assisted Review Approved - [bit.ly/wl2QH7](http://bit.ly/wl2QH7) (Rebecca James)
- Peck, Parties and PredictiveCoding - [bit.ly/xAztDv](http://bit.ly/xAztDv) (@ComplexD)
- The eDiscovery Opinion We’ve Been Waiting for Has Arrived - [bit.ly/yymy2RN](http://bit.ly/yymy2RN) (Jon Resnick)

## February – 30 Stories

- Use of Computer-Assisted Coding Is Endorsed to Comb Through Huge Number of Documents - [bit.ly/zrGCSs](http://bit.ly/zrGCSs) (Mark Hamblett)
- Judge Peck Opens The Way For Mainstream Adoption Of Predictive Coding - [conta.cc/xuJhHN](http://conta.cc/xuJhHN) (Warwick Sharp)
- Size and Significance in eDiscovery Sampling - [bit.ly/yTNmD7](http://bit.ly/yTNmD7) (@OrangeLT)
- Judge Peck’s PredictiveCoding Game-Changer [bit.ly/zBqfuu](http://bit.ly/zBqfuu) (Craig Carpenter)
- In A Milestone for Predictive Coding, Judge Peck Says, ‘Go Ahead, Dive In!’ [bit.ly/Aw2xdG](http://bit.ly/Aw2xdG) (Bob Ambrogi)
- Federal Court Approves Use of “Computer-Assisted Review” to Find and Produce Relevant ESI in Discovery – [bit.ly/xBfh4F](http://bit.ly/xBfh4F) (Jay Yurkiw)
- Random Sample Generation and eDiscovery - [bit.ly/yviGDA](http://bit.ly/yviGDA) (@OrangeLT)
- Predictive Coding ’s Silver Blaze: The Dogs Who Didn’t Bark In The Night-Time - [bit.ly/wzoIXO](http://bit.ly/wzoIXO) (Chris Dale)
- Computerized Document Review Defensible at Last? [bit.ly/zwruTK](http://bit.ly/zwruTK) (Ron Friedmann)
- Judge Peck Issues Opinion on Computer-Assisted Review - [bit.ly/wA6J26](http://bit.ly/wA6J26) (Monica Bay)
- Computer-Assisted Review “Acceptable in Appropriate Cases,” Says Judge Peck - [bit.ly/xn79DI](http://bit.ly/xn79DI) (Matt Nelson)
- Plaintiffs Object to Predictive Coding Order, Argue Lack of Transparency in eDiscovery Process - [bit.ly/yLWhJD](http://bit.ly/yLWhJD) (Philip Favro)
- Sampling, Assumptions and eDiscovery - [bit.ly/Ath0Xr](http://bit.ly/Ath0Xr) (@OrangeLT)
- Statistics for eDiscovery: “Process and Sample” or “Sample and Process”? [bit.ly/wjo7sS](http://bit.ly/wjo7sS) (@OrangeLT)
- Federal Judges Consider Issues That Could Shape Predictive Coding Future - [onforb.es/yAlKgy](http://onforb.es/yAlKgy) (Matt Nelson)
- Custodians, Relevancy and eDiscovery Sampling - [bit.ly/y7W4qH](http://bit.ly/y7W4qH) (@OrangeLT)
- An Interview with The Honorable Andrew J. Peck – Part Two | eDiscovery Journal - [bit.ly/yeUDvj](http://bit.ly/yeUDvj) (Mikki Tomlinson)
- The Best Approach to Representative Sampling in eDiscovery – [bit.ly/xy9GQa](http://bit.ly/xy9GQa) (@OrangeLT)

- Is Judge Peck the First to Require a Predictive Coding Protocol for Automated Doc Review? [bit.ly/xfXdbf](http://bit.ly/xfXdbf) (Martha Neil)
- The Honorable Andrew J. Peck on the Record with Predictive Coding: Early Headlines Get it Wrong! [bit.ly/xlv94n](http://bit.ly/xlv94n) (Mikki Tomlinson)
- Predictive Coding Addressed in Detail at Hearing, Parties Ordered to Submit Draft Protocol - [bit.ly/yv3pfl](http://bit.ly/yv3pfl) (K&L Gates)
- Statistically Comparing Human and Predictive Coding Review - [bit.ly/xC479j](http://bit.ly/xC479j) (@OrangeLT)
- An On-the-Record Colloquy about Predictive Coding With Judge Peck [bit.ly/zcefhS](http://bit.ly/zcefhS) (Bob Ambrogi)
- Doar Experts Advise Plaintiffs in Hearing before Magistrate Judge Peck on the Use of Predictive Coding [bit.ly/wRWiDL](http://bit.ly/wRWiDL) (Business Wire)
- Technology on Trial: Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/yi98hy](http://bit.ly/yi98hy) (Sean Doherty)
- Judge Grimm, Sampling and eDiscovery Process Quality [bit.ly/wsbNnI](http://bit.ly/wsbNnI) (@OrangeLT)
- ESI Family Relationships and Sampling in eDiscovery [bit.ly/wPX2KF](http://bit.ly/wPX2KF) (@OrangeLT)
- Cranking Up the Buzz on InfoGovernance, Predictive Coding - [bit.ly/xVaWvJ](http://bit.ly/xVaWvJ) (David Snow)
- Technology Assisted Review – Advice from the Experts - [bit.ly/Ap9HdA](http://bit.ly/Ap9HdA) (BLLAWG)
- Ralph Losey of Jackson Lewis on Predictive Coding and Transparency in E-Discovery - [bit.ly/y9Dw8m](http://bit.ly/y9Dw8m) (@LXBN)

### *Additional Background*

- [An Evaluation of Retrieval Effectiveness for a Full-Text Document Retrieval System \(PDF\)](#) (David C. Blair, M.E. Moron) 1985
- [Document Categorization in Legal Electronic Discovery: Computer Classification vs. Manual Review \(PDF\)](#), Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology. (Herbert Roitblat, Anne Kershaw, Patrick Oot) 2010
- [Law in the Age of Exabytes: Some Further Thoughts on ‘Information Inflation’ and Current Issues in E-Discovery Search \(PDF\)](#), Richmond Journal of Law and Technology. (Jason Baron) 2011
- [Predictive Coding: Reading the Judicial Tea Leaves](#) (Mark Michels) 2011
- [Statistics and Sampling for eDiscovery: A Glossary and FAQ](#) (Herb Roitblat) 2011
- [Technology Assisted Document Review: Is It Defensible? \(PDF\)](#), Richmond Journal of Law and Technology. (William W. Belt, Dennis R. Kiker, Darly E. Shetterly)
- [Technology-Assisted Review in E-Discovery Can Be More Effective and More Efficient Than Exhaustive Manual Review \(PDF\)](#), Richmond Journal of Law and Technology. (Maura Grossman, Gordon Cormack) 2011
- [What Technology-Assisted Electronic Discovery Teaches Us About The Role Of Humans In Technology](#), Forbes Technology. (Amanda Jones, Ben Kerschberg) 2012



### **About Orange Legal Technologies: Who Are We?**

Formed in 2008 as an eDiscovery-centric outgrowth of the 1995 founded Litigation Document Group, Orange Legal Technologies is a leading provider of electronic discovery litigation, audit, and investigation services for law firms and corporations. Having served over 1,000 clients since inception and with over 250 clients leveraging the OneO® Discovery Platform since its introduction, OrangeLT™ has worked with some of the world's most well known corporations and law firms and has been recognized in leading analyst and media publications from such organizations as Gartner, IDC, 451 Research and Forbes.

### **About Orange Legal Technologies' Offerings: What Do We Do?**

OrangeLT offers a complete suite of electronic discovery services, including legal hold, collection, analysis, processing, review and production of digital and paper-based information. While providing expert staff to support knowledge intensive services ranging from forensic data collection to managed attorney review, OrangeLT supports core services with its proprietary OneO® Discovery Platform. The OneO Discovery Platform is an advanced technology platform that provides clients integrated, web- accessible online analytics (early case/data assessment), processing and review of electronically stored information (ESI) from the security of a hosted centralized repository. OrangeLT's complete portfolio of litigation support offerings include:

Offering	Description
<b>Digital Evidence Service</b>	Services that help you preserve, evaluate and acquire potentially relevant ESI for use in audits, investigations and litigation.
<b>Processing and Review Hosting</b>	Services that help you prepare responsive and relevant documents for subsequent use in follow-on electronic discovery tasks.
<b>Document Review</b>	Services that both provide advanced technology (technology assisted review) and personnel (review attorneys) for you to conduct complete and defensible document reviews.

Providing electronic discovery support that allows our clients to focus on the law, not the technology, OrangeLT enables clients to collect, secure, review and manage electronically stored information in a defensible manner at a substantial time and cost savings when compared to traditional discovery providers. This time and cost savings is the result of a combination of advanced technology and expert staff working together to provide you with either a complete eDiscovery capability from collection to production or to provide trusted augmentation to your current eDiscovery capability with selected services and expert support.

### **About Orange Legal Technologies' Technology: What Makes Our Technology Different?**

Orange Legal Technologies is a technology company that provides law firms and corporations both technology and services for the conduct of eDiscovery. Unlike most service providers who resell and manage licensed software from multiple vendors, we leverage our own internally developed and proprietary eDiscovery platform to deliver a combination of technology and service expertise that provides clients with a level of task (eDiscovery) and cost (time/money) efficiency that can only be achieved through this type of development and distribution approach.

This approach also provides Orange Legal Technologies with two unique technology related differentiators over most eDiscovery providers. These unique differentiators are:

- Better Architecture
- Better Flexibility

While the term ‘better’ is extremely subjective, provided below are proof points on why it appears to be a completely appropriate term when describing our technology differentiators.

### ***Better Architecture***

In general, most eDiscovery hosting platforms are built leveraging the most efficient software architecture from a developer’s point of view. At Orange Legal Technologies, we took a different approach and developed our OneO Discovery Platform with the most efficient software architecture from a user’s perspective. This difference in approach can be exemplified in a comparison of both how documents are transmitted and reviewed using different approaches and in the way different functions are integrated using different approaches.

#### *Document Transmission and Review*

Most hosting platforms are **web-based applications** that require entire documents to be transferred over the web and opened by applications residing on the reviewer’s workstation. This web-based approach, highly dependent on browsers, bandwidth and client side native applications, can cause time, cost and security challenges that highly impact both the conduct of reviews (quality of review) and the business of eDiscovery (cost of review).

Orange Legal Technologies’ software architecture approach alleviates the challenges typically associated with a web-based approach by leveraging a custom implementation of a **terminal services architecture**. Simply stated, this approach does not require entire documents to be transferred over the web, as only images of the documents need to be transferred.

This approach decreases connectivity bandwidth requirements and results in faster document presentation (near instantaneous document presentation). Also, as this approach is server-centric in nature, reviewers do not have to have a full library of native applications on their workstations in order to review transferred documents. Orange Legal Technologies’ maintains a complete portfolio of native applications on its terminal servers and as reviewers are working directly with the servers (instead of transferred documents), users are able to review documents in their native format from any workstation regardless of that workstations’ installed applications or underlying operating system.

#### *eDiscovery Function Integration*

Most eDiscovery platforms are built as a **series of individual applications** that are integrated at the platform level to present a unified offering. This results in increased data transfer challenges as data must be ingested, acted up, and moved to the next application during the eDiscovery process. When one considers that most providers leverage several eDiscovery platforms in the delivery of their eDiscovery support, one can see how multiple task and application data transfer points can impact eDiscovery workflow and adversely impact overall task and cost efficiency in the delivery of eDiscovery while increasing the risk of spoliation.

Orange Legal Technologies’ OneO Discovery Platform is an advanced technology platform that provides clients **completely integrated**, web- accessible online analytics (early case/data assessment), processing and review of electronically stored information (ESI) from the security of a hosted centralized repository. This application level integration means clients load data once and can leverage integrated early case assessment, processing and review capabilities at any point in the eDiscovery process. This approach alleviates many of the data transfer inefficiencies of other platforms and providers and significantly decreases time, cost and risk in the conduct of eDiscovery.

The software architecture approach of Orange Legal Technologies, as highlighted in these *document transmission* and *delivery and eDiscovery function integration* examples, provide salient considerations for why we believe we have “better architecture”.

## ***Better Flexibility***

As Orange Legal Technologies is the developer of our core and proprietary eDiscovery platform, we have a unique flexibility to *customize our technology* and to *customize the pricing* of our offerings to best support your individual requirements.

### *Technology Customization*

Orange Legal Technologies' can customize our technology to support client specific matter and workflow needs with **our own developers working directly with your eDiscovery experts**. This direct support and customization capability coupled with our approach to software architecture allows us to rapidly and accurately translate your requirements into action without the typical development challenges facing technology developers with non-integrated platforms or the coordination challenges facing eDiscovery providers delivering multiple offerings from multiple vendors.

### *Pricing Customization*

As the developer of our technology and the manager of our direct delivery model, **we are able to provide clients with pricing efficiencies that cannot be achieved by competitors** constrained with multiple licensing fees for multiple platforms delivered in an indirect manner. We understand our development, support and delivery costs and can work directly with you to deliver exactly what you need at the most aggressive price point possible.

In translating “better software” and “better flexibility” considerations into actionable offerings that can be considered, quantified and leveraged to enhance your discovery efforts, Orange Legal Technologies provides a complete portfolio of services to support the entire spectrum of ESI related activities.

Provided as a [PDF Download](#), is a complete and detailed overview of Orange Legal Technologies and its full complement of eDiscovery services.

For additional information on Orange Legal Technologies, visit: <http://www.orangelt.com>.

