



State & Local Tax Advisory ■

OCTOBER 19, 2015

Sales Tax Complexities of Customer Loyalty Programs

These days almost every business has some type of loyalty or reward program. At the heart of these programs, a business is trying to reward or incentivize its customers for certain consumer behavior. Not surprisingly, the structure of these programs varies considerably, as does the sales tax treatment that may apply in the states in which the rewards are offered and redeemed. We addressed this issue in a [recent article](#) published in the *Journal of Taxation*. Specifically, we discussed the question of whether the value of the reward is considered part of the “sales price” such that it would be subject to the tax when the rewards are exchanged for free or discounted tangible personal property or taxable services.

Minnesota Department of Revenue Addresses Rewards Programs

In June 2015, Minnesota, a state that was discussed in our article, issued [Sales Tax Fact Sheet 167](#), “Coupons, Discounts, Rewards, Rebates, and Other Forms of Payment.” The fact sheet explains the sales taxation of eight different types of payments—coupons, discounts, rewards, rebates, trade-ins, gift cards and certificates, scrip and barter. The fact sheet provides a level of clarification around loyalty programs that was previously lacking.

According to the fact sheet, the value of a reward will be treated as a discount, and therefore *not subject to tax* if the reward is not purchased, is not provided in exchange for services, cannot be redeemed for cash and is not reimbursed by a third party. Examples include store discount cards, punch cards that provide a price reduction after a number of purchases, seller’s cash and discounts for opening a store credit card. The value of a reward under a rewards program is not a discount, and therefore *subject to tax* if the reward requires the customer to pay cash or other consideration for the reward, requires the customer to provide services in exchange for the reward, is reimbursed by a third party or can be redeemed for cash. Examples include secret-shopper rewards and credit card points.

While the clarity is helpful to those businesses providing rewards to Minnesota customers, the fact sheet also explains that if a taxable reward is bundled with a nontaxable reward, the entire reward is taxable unless the seller can provide documentation showing otherwise. This could be challenging for businesses that redeem rewards that as a matter of business practice are commingled and cannot be separated in a manner acceptable to the Department of Revenue.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

Michigan Case Addresses Rewards Programs

On September 15, 2015, the Michigan Court of Appeals held in *Schoeneckers, Inc. v. Department of Treasury*, No. 321033 (Mich. Ct. App. Sept. 15, 2015) (unpublished), that the administrator of an employee rewards program is liable for sales tax on the retail value of the products it provides to its clients' employees when they redeem award points for merchandise.

The taxpayer in *Schoeneckers* administered rewards programs for employers. The taxpayer billed its clients based on the number of reward points issued to the clients' employees. The employees would then use the reward points to acquire merchandise from the taxpayer. The taxpayer purchased the merchandise free of tax, issuing a resale certificate. When the taxpayer distributed merchandise to the employees, the taxpayer remitted use tax based on the price it paid for the merchandise. However, the Michigan Department of Treasury argued that the correct measure of the tax was not the taxpayer's cost, but rather the value of the rewards points that the employees gave in exchange for the merchandise—i.e., the gross proceeds received from the taxpayer's clients for the points, which consisted of a payment for the items that could be redeemed and a fee for the administration of the program.

The sales taxation of the transaction was viewed within the context of the "incidental to service" test. Thus, the dispute in *Schoeneckers* boiled down to whether the rewards program in question constituted one transaction or two. Under *Catalina Marketing Sales v. Department of Treasury*, 678 N.W.2d 619 (Mich. 2004), providing tangible personal property "incidental to service" is not considered a retail sale. The taxpayer in *Schoeneckers* argued that its reward program, viewed as a whole, was a service provided to its employer-clients, and the merchandise dispensed to the customers was incidental to that service. Accordingly, it owed only use tax on the cost for removing the merchandise from its inventory.

The Michigan Court of Appeals disagreed. According to the court, the rewards program involved two individual transactions. The first was the transaction between the taxpayer and its client, which was a nontaxable service transaction. The second was the transaction between the taxpayer and the client's employees, which was a taxable retail sale. The tax in the second transaction is based on the value of the consideration given—the reward points.

If you would like to receive future *State & Local Tax Advisories* electronically, please forward your contact information to SALT.advisory@alston.com. Be sure to put “**subscribe**” in the subject line.

Click [here](#) for Alston & Bird’s Tax Blog.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Samantha M. Bautista
213.576.1052
samantha.bautista@alston.com

Zachry T. Gladney
212.210.9423
zach.gladney@alston.com

Edward Tanenbaum
212.210.9425
edward.tanenbaum@alston.com

Mary T. Benton
404.881.7255
mary.benton@alston.com

Matthew P. Hedstrom
212.210.9533
matt.hedstrom@alston.com

Rachel Trickett
212.210.9432
rachel.trickett@alston.com

Clark R. Calhoun
213.576.1137
clark.calhoun@alston.com

Kendall L. Houghton
202.239.3673
kendall.houghton@alston.com

Charles D. Wakefield
212.210.1281
charles.wakefield@alston.com

John L. Coalson, Jr.
404.881.7482
john.coalson@alston.com

Richard C. Kariss
212.210.9452
richard.kariss@alston.com

Andrew W. Yates
404.881.7677
andy.yates@alston.com

Jasper L. Cummings, Jr.
919.862.2302
jack.cummings@alston.com

Ethan D. Millar
213.576.1025
ethan.millar@alston.com

Michael M. Giovannini
404.881.7957
michael.giovannini@alston.com

Michael T. Petrik
404.881.7479
mike.petrik@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2015

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777
 BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN
 BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719
 CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111
 DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street ■ 18th Floor ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899
 LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100
 NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444
 RESEARCH TRIANGLE: 4721 Emperor Blvd. ■ Suite 400 ■ Durham, North Carolina, USA, 27703-85802 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260
 SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ 5th Floor ■ East Palo Alto, California, USA, 94303-2282 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001
 WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333