What are the differences in the FCPA and Bribery Act?

Yesterday in a post entitled, "The Shrinking UK Bribery Act" the FCPA Professor discussed some of the information coming out of the UK regarding how the Bribery Act may be interpreted. He stated that it appears that the SFO will not implement the full ban on facilitation payments and will apply a reasonableness standard for gifts, entertainment and travel expenses, although no such standard is built into the Bribery Act itself. New Ministry of Justice guidance may also give a company some protection against corrupt acts by a joint venture partner. He stated that he believes at the end of the day, "the Bribery Act will look very much like the FCPA. In fact, because of the Bribery Act's adequate procedures defense and other hinted at limitations, the Bribery Act may turn out to be more lenient than the FCPA."

With the recent information coming out, largely from reports by the UK Telegraph, we thought it might a propitious time to review the differences in the Bribery Act and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) so that US companies might begin to plan to acclimate their FCPA based compliance program to one which includes concepts found in the Bribery Act, if such action is appropriate.

With that in mind we were pleased when we saw that our colleague, Michael Whitener of Vista Law had put together a handy chart comparing the two laws. With Michael's permission we reprint his summary comparison below.

U.S. FCPA vs. UK BRIBERY ACT

Provision	FCPA	Bribery Act
Who is being bribed	Only bribes ("anything of value")	Prohibits bribes paid to any person
	paid or offered to a "foreign official"	to induce them to act "improperly"
	are prohibited	(not limited to foreign officials)
Nature of advantage	Payment must be "to obtain or retain	Focus is on improper action rather
obtained	business"	than business nexus (except in case
		of strict corporate liability)
"Active offense" vs.	Only the act of payment, rather than	Creates two offenses: (1) offense of
"passive offense"	the receipt/acceptance of payment, is	bribing another ("active offense")
	prohibited	and (2) offense of being bribed
		("passive offense")
Corporate strict liability	Strict liability only under accounting	Creates a new strict liability
	provisions for public companies	corporate offense for the failure of a
	(failure to maintain adequate systems	commercial organization to prevent
	of internal controls)	bribery (subject to defense of having
		"adequate procedures" in place
		designed to prevent bribery)
Jurisdiction	U.S. companies and citizens, foreign	Individuals who are UK nationals or
	companies listed on U.S. stock	are ordinarily resident in the UK and

	_	
	exchange, or any person acting while	organizations that are either
	in the U.S.	established in the UK or conduct
		some part of their business in the UK
Business promotion	Affirmative defense for reasonable	No similar defense (but arguably
expenditures	and bona fide expenditure directly	such expenditures are not
	related to the business promotion or	"improper" and therefore not a
	contract performance	Bribery Act violation)
Allowable under local	Affirmative defense if payment is	No violation if permissible under
law	lawful under written laws/regulations	written laws of foreign country
	of foreign country	(applies only in case of bribery of
		foreign public official; otherwise a
		factor to be considered)
Facilitating payments	Exception for payment to a foreign	No facilitating payments exception,
	official to expedite or secure the	although guidance is likely to
	performance of a routine (non-	provide that payments of small
	discretionary) government action	amounts of money are unlikely to be
		prosecuted
Civil/criminal	Both civil and criminal proceedings	Criminal enforcement only by the
enforcement	can be brought by DOJ and SEC	UK Serious Fraud Office (SFO)
Potential penalties	Bribery: for individuals, up to five	For individuals, up to 10 years'
	years' imprisonment and fines of up	imprisonment and potentially
	to \$250,000; for entities, fines of up	unlimited fines; for entities,
	to \$2 million	potentially unlimited fines
	Books and records/internal control	
	violations: for individuals, up to 20	
	year's imprisonment and fines of up	
	\$5 million; for entities, fines of up to	
	\$25 million	

We still believe that all us companies which have a UK subsidiary or do business in the UK need to be cognizant of the requirements of the Bribery Act. Each company should review its own compliance policy to determine if changes need to be made to bring their compliance program into compliance with the Bribery Act. This summary chart is an excellent tool for setting out the differences and allowing a US company to more easily assess where it may need to make changes. We commend Michael for putting this chart together and for making it available to us for this post.

Michael Whitener is a principal in the Washington, D.C. office of VistaLaw International LLC (www.vistalaw.com), a global legal services firm. Michael has drafted anti-corruption compliance programs and conducted FCPA risk assessments and related training for a number of his clients.

This publication contains general information only and is based on the experiences and research of the author. The author is not, by means of this publication, rendering business, legal advice,

or other professional advice or services. This publication is not a substitute for such legal advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified legal advisor. The author, his affiliates, and related entities shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person or entity that relies on this publication. The Author gives his permission to link, post, distribute, or reference this article for any lawful purpose, provided attribution is made to the author. The author can be reached at tfox@tfoxlaw.com.

© Thomas R. Fox, 2011