

February 11, 2013

An important announcement from the law firm of Jackson Walker.

Offices

Austin

100 Congress Avenue Suite 1100 Austin, TX 78701

Dallas

901 Main Street Suite 6000 Dallas, TX 75202

Fort Worth

777 Main Street Suite 2100 Fort Worth, TX 76102

Houston

1401 McKinney Street Suite 1900 Houston, TX 77010

San Angelo

301 W. Beauregard Avenue Suite 200 San Angelo, TX 76903

San Antonio

112 E. Pecan Street Suite 2400 San Antonio, TX 78205

Texarkana

6004 Summerfield Drive Texarkana, TX 75503

Shedding Light on Whether Electricity is a "Good:" In re Southern Montana Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc.

By Jennifer Wertz

The question of whether electricity qualifies as a "good" under the Bankruptcy Code's administrative priority provisions has sparked varied rulings from bankruptcy courts. The recent case of *In re Southern Montana Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc.*, from the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Montana, followed some courts in ruling that electricity is a "good." Other courts have ruled differently and have concluded that electricity is not a "good." In *Southern Montana*, the court had to address whether electricity qualified as a "good" to determine whether an electricity provider should be entitled to administrative priority for a portion of its claim pursuant to section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code is unique in that it grants administrative (higher) priority to claims based on the value of "goods" received by the debtor within 20 days from the commencement of a bankruptcy case. In *Southern Montana*, the debtor, a wholesale electricity supplier, received power from PPL EnergyPlus, LLC ("PPL"), pursuant to the terms of a Power Purchase and Sales Agreement between the Debtor and PPL. PPL asserted that it had a claim for the value of the electricity it had provided the debtor. PPL further argued that the portion of the electricity that it had provided to the debtor in the 20 days before the debtor commenced its bankruptcy case, which it valued at over \$2.4 million, should be entitled to administrative priority under section 503(b)(9). Not surprisingly, PPL's argument was met with resistance from the Chapter 11 trustee, the unsecured creditors' committee, and various noteholders.

The Southern Montana court began its analysis by noting that according to the Power Purchase and Sale Agreement between the debtor and PPL, the debtor was purchasing electricity as a wholesale customer, not as an end user. The court observed that the debtor purchased electricity from its providers such as PPL and then supplied the power to its members, who in turn sold the power to their customers. The court quickly distinguished those facts from facts in other cases in which courts, especially the court in In re Pilgrim's Pride Corp., 421 B.R. 231 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2009), found that electricity did not qualify as a "good." The Southern Montana court then examined another line of cases that had ruled the other way, stating that it found GFI Wisconsin, Inc. v. Reedsburg Utility *Comm'n*, 440 B.R. 791, 799-801 (W.D. Wis. 2010) particularly persuasive. In GFI Wisconsin, the district court, on appeal from the bankruptcy court, referenced the Uniform Commercial Code ("UCC") to determine whether electricity should qualify as a "good." It concluded that electricity qualifies as a "good" because it "is both identifiable and moving until it reaches the intended customer," and



Jackson Walker L.L.P. has more than 120 years of experience in providing legal counsel to clients throughout Texas, the United States and internationally. The firm provides a strong regional base of more than 330 attorneys in Austin, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, San Angelo and San Antonio. For more information, please call 1.866.922.5559 or visit us at www.jw.com.

©2013 Jackson Walker L.L.P.

Click here to unsubscribe your e-mail address 901 Main Street, Suite 6000 | Dallas, Texas 75202