



BRIAN SANDOVAL
Governor

SALLY P. ELLOYAN
Executive Secretary

NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

1919 College Parkway, P.O. Box 8003
Carson City, Nevada 89702
(775) 684-7750

PETER C. BERNHARD, *Chairman*
TONY ALAMO, M.D., *Member*
JOSEPH W. BROWN, *Member*
JOHN T. MORAN JR., *Member*
RANDOLPH J. TOWNSEND, *Member*

NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Notice # 2013-20

Issuer: Executive Secretary

DATE: MARCH 14, 2013

TO: ALL LICENSEES AND OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS

**SUBJECT: NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR COMMENTS AND/OR LANGUAGE ON
REGULATIONS CONCERNING INTERSTATE AGREEMENTS FOR
INTERACTIVE GAMING**

Section 6 of Assembly Bill 114 from the 2013 Legislative Session requires the Gaming Commission ("Commission") to adopt regulations authorizing the Governor of Nevada to enter into agreements with other states or agencies thereof and to set forth provisions for any potential revenue sharing arrangements for purposes of interactive gaming. Accordingly, the Commission is hereby soliciting comments.

Interested and affected persons may file comments with the Commission's Executive Secretary on or before **April 12, 2013**. Interested and affected persons may file reply comments with the Commission's Executive Secretary on or before **April 19, 2013**.

Comments should be mailed, faxed or e-mailed at the following addresses by deadlines listed above:

Sally P. Elloyan, Executive Secretary
Nevada Gaming Commission
1919 College Parkway
P.O. Box 8003
Carson City, NV 89702
Fax 775-687-8221
selloyan@gcb.nv.gov

Comments should specifically address the following questions:

1. What topics should the Board and Commission consider putting in regulation relating to an interstate agreement on interactive gaming?
2. Should revenue sharing between signatory states to a compact be based on the location of where the wager originated? Why or why not? Please be specific and cite any relevant legal support.
3. Should revenue sharing between signatory states to a compact be based on the location of the licensed interactive host? Why or why not? Please be specific and cite any relevant legal support.
4. Should the regulatory body of the signatory state where the wager originated have control over player disputes related to said players? Why or why not? Please be specific and cite any relevant legal support.
5. Please provide any other information not requested above that is relevant to regulations for interstate agreements on interactive gaming.

Regulatory language will be drafted after comments are submitted and will be considered at a regulatory workshop yet to be scheduled.

This notice is also posted on the Commission's web site at www.gaming.nv.gov.

Sincerely,



Sally P. Elloyan
Executive Secretary