The Legal Center One Riverfront Plaza Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 623-1000



400 Park Avenue Suite 1420 New York, NY 10022 (212) 432-7419

www.podvey.com

Amendments to the New Jersey Local Patent Rules: Leveling the Playing Field

By: Gregory D. Miller, Esq. gmiller@podvey.com

Several recent amendments to the

New Jersey Local Patent Rules will serve to level the playing field between patent holders and alleged infringers. The Local Patent Rules first went into effect on January 1, 2009. They were adopted in part to provide a standard protocol and disclosure process in patent cases that would be helpful to the Court and litigants. However, almost two years after their adoption, the Local Patent Rules Committee recognized that certain amendments were needed. Below is a summary of the key changes.

Design Patents Treated Differently Than Other Patents

Prior to the amendments, design patent cases were subject to the same disclosure requirements as all other patent cases, including disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions, a narrative claims chart, claim construction contentions and a claim construction hearing. The amendments now exempt design patents from these requirements and obligations. The basis for the change lies with the Federal Circuit's decision in Egyptian Goddess v. Swisa, 543 F.3d 665 (2008), which held, in part, that a trial court should not provide a detailed description of the claimed design. See L. Pat. R. 3.1(c) and (e), 3.3(c), 3.4A(c), 4.1(c), 4.2(e), 4.3(g), 4.4 and 4.5(d).

Non-Infringement Contentions and Responses to Infringement <u>Contentions Now Required</u>

The Local Patent Rules now require an allegedly infringing party to provide its noninfringement contentions and responses to infringement contentions. *See* L. Pat. R. 3.2A. Prior to the amendments, disclosures were only required with regard to infringement and invalidity contentions.

> Responses to Invalidity Contentions Now Required

The Local Patent Rules now require responses to invalidity contentions. *See* L. Pat. R. 3.4A and 3.5. There previously was no such reciprocal obligation under the rules.

Mandatory Disclosure of Materials

The rules governing disclosure of non-infringement infringement, and invalidity contentions. and responses thereto, were amended to make clear a party is obligated to disclose all material it intends to rely upon in support of its contentions or responses. See L. Pat. R. 3.2(f), 3.2A(c), 3.4(c) and 3.4A(d).



The Legal Center One Riverfront Plaza Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 623-1000



400 Park Avenue Suite 1420 New York, NY 10022 (212) 432-7419

www.podvey.com

Patent Holder In Hatch-Waxman Case Must Identify Asserted Claims

Arguably the most significant change is in the area of Hatch-Waxman actions under L. Pat. R. 3.6. Prior to the amendments, a generic defendant was obligated to disclose its invalidity and non-infringement contentions within 14 days of the Initial Conference, notwithstanding that the patent holder had not yet identified each claim of each patent that it alleges is infringed. The Patent Rules Committee concluded that:

> [I]n order to help narrow the focus of a generic's invalidity contentions, the patent holder should be required to provide early disclosure of each patent and patent claim for infringement to which its infringement contentions would be limited. This eliminates speculation and added work by the generics

in formulating their noninfringement and invalidity contentions.

See Explanatory Notes for 2011 Amendments.

Mandatory Early Production of ANDA and Production of Communications With FDA

Hatch-Waxman cases were also impacted by two other amendments. An ANDA filer must now produce its ANDA or NDA shortly after filing an answer or motion. *See* L. Pat. R. 3.6(a). Additionally, an ANDA filer is now required to advise the FDA of any motion for injunctive relief and provide the parties with relevant communications with the FDA that concern the subject matter of the litigation. *See* L. Pat. R. 3.6(j).

The amendments to the New Jersey Local Patent Rules are effective as of March 18, 2011.

The Legal Center One Riverfront Plaza Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 623-1000



400 Park Avenue Suite 1420 New York, NY 10022 (212) 432-7419

www.podvey.com

Attorney Advertising: You are receiving this communication because we believe you have an existing business relationship with Podvey Meanor Catenacci Hildner, Cocoziello & Chattman, P.C. or have previously indicated your desire to receive such communications. You may unsubscribe from future messages by e-mailing <u>arainone@podvey.com</u>.

IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

This publication is designed to provide Podvey Meanor Catenacci Hildner Cocoziello & Chattman, P.C. clients and contacts with information they can use to more effectively manage their businesses. The contents of this publication are for informational purposes only. Neither this publication nor the lawyers who authored it are rendering legal or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters. Podvey assumes no liability in connection with the use of this publication.

We hereby advise you that prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. 2011 Podvey Meanor Catenacci Hildner Cocoziello & Chattman, P.C., One Riverfront Plaza, 8th Floor, Newark, New Jersey 07102, (973) 623-1000 and 400 Park Avenue, Suite 1420, New York, New York 10022, (212) 432-7419.