

December 4, 2013 • Volume 6, Number 27

GAMING LEGAL NEWS EDITORIAL BOARD

Robert W. Stocker II, Gaming Law 517.487.4715 • rstocker@dickinsonwright.com

Dennis J. Whittlesey, Gaming Law/Indian Law 202.659.6928 • dwhittlesey@dickinsonwright.com

Michael D. Lipton, Q.C., Gaming Law 416.866.2929 • mdliptonqc@dickinsonwright.com

Peter H. Ellsworth, Gaming Law/Indian Law 517.487.4710 • pellsworth@dickinsonwright.com

Glenn M. Feldman, Gaming Law/Indian Law 602.285.5138 • gfeldman@dickinsonwright.com

Peter J. Kulick, Gaming Law/Taxation 517.487.4729 • pkulick@dickinsonwright.com

Kevin J. Weber, Gaming Law 416.367.0899 • kweber@dickinsonwright.com

GAMING WEB SITES OF INTEREST

www.indianz.com
www.pechanga.net
www.indiangaming.org
www.nigc.gov
www.michigan.gov/mgcb
www.gaminglawmasters.com
www.casinoenterprisemanagement.com
www.ggbmagazine.com

Disclaimer: Gaming Legal News is published by Dickinson Wright PLLC to inform our clients and friends of important developments in the fields of gaming law and federal Indian law. The content is informational only and does not constitute legal or professional advice. We encourage you to consult a Dickinson Wright attorney if you have specific questions or concerns relating to any of the topics covered in Gaming Legal News.

DICKINSON WRIGHT'S

GAMING **LEGAL**NEWS

NORTHWEST GAMING LAW SUMMIT

by Robert W. Stocker II

The Seminar Group's 11th annual Northwest Gaming Law Summit, which has a heavy focus on tribal gaming, is set for December 12–13 in Seattle, Washington. Oral arguments before the United States Supreme Court in *Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Community*, which were held on December 3, will be the focus of one of the panels. A separate panel will discuss the implications of the Arizona battle over tribal gaming development rights that is ongoing in *Tohono O'odham v. City of Glendale*. The conference will also feature National Indian Gaming Commission and National Indian Gaming Association updates, as well as internet gaming in Indian Country and regional and national gaming trends. Details regarding the conference topics and speakers can be reviewed at www.TheSeminarGroup.net.

MACAO GAMING SUMMIT HIGHLIGHTS

by Robert W. Stocker II

The new kids on the block in Macau in November were the Macao Gaming Show (sponsored by the Macau Gaming Equipment Manufacturers Association) and the Macao Gaming Summit, both held contemporaneously at the Venetian. Speakers at the Gaming Summit included casino operators, major junket operators, regulators, consultants, and lawyers. While the gaming market throughout Asia was discussed by the speakers, the focus was clearly on the Macau gaming market. The ultimate question was: When is the market growth going to stop? With a number of major new casino projects under construction on the Cotai Strip, the consensus was "not anytime soon." Andrew Scott, CEO of World Gaming, summed it up by stating that it is projected that in the next decade China will have 500 million middle class citizens (the current total population in North America is approximately 500 million) and that by 2030 China will have one billion middle class citizens, all of whom will have discretionary money to spend. The bottom line of the prognosticators at the Gaming Summit: the Macau gaming market is going to continue a strong growth pattern, subject to occasional bumps in the road.

The internet was, as usual, another hot topic at the Gaming Summit. The general consensus was that on an almost universal basis the political classes around the world have failed to understand the internet market demand and, accordingly, have been slow to react to the internet market in a practical, realistic manner. The United States is, of course, the ongoing poster child in this regard – at least at the national level. The internet is breaking down state and national barriers at a pace that the political class has been unable to constructively



page 2 of 2

GAMINGLEGALNEWS

respond to in a universal comprehensive manner that facilitates lawful internet growth that protects broad government interests (think revenue generation and player protection). There was agreement that the best way to curb illegal internet operations is to establish realistic legal internet operations that are cost-effective competitors to the illegal internet operations, which means realistic cost-effective tax and regulatory regimes that encourage players to play on the legal sites.

