ORRICK Compensation & Benefits

ALERT

ISS 2013 Policy Updates

On November 16, 2012, Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS") released its policy updates to its voting guidelines for the 2013 proxy season. The 2013 updates include a revised methodology for the selection of the ISS peer group, which includes the consideration of a company's self-selected peer group, for purposes of a company's <u>quantitative</u> pay-for-performance evaluation, the use of "realizable" pay as part of a company's <u>qualitative</u> pay-for-performance evaluation and a voting recommendation against directors of companies whose executives or directors have engaged in *any* hedging or *significant* pledging of company stock.

ISS will apply the updated policies to all publicly-traded company shareholder meetings on or after *February 1, 2013* and ISS has indicated that it will release updates to its Frequently Asked Questions with additional guidance next month.

Practical Advice

The 2013 updates to the <u>quantitative</u> pay-for-performance evaluation (i.e., the say-on-pay analysis) should result in greater alignment between a company's self-selected peer group and the ISS peer group so that companies do not need to run two separate CEO pay-for-performance tests. Further, the inclusion of realizable pay in the <u>qualitative</u> say-on-pay analysis is consistent with a growing practice by many companies to disclose realized executive compensation in order to more accurately reflect pay delivery. The pledging determination is performed on a case by case basis and the onus is now on board members as part of their risk oversight duties.

Changes to Pay-for-Performance Evaluation

Selection of ISS Peer Group

- Company selected peer group members will be used as an input to determine the ISS peer group.
- ISS peer group will be based on the subject company's 8-digit GICS group as well as the 8-digit GICS groups represented by the company's self-selected peer group.
- When selecting an ISS peer group, ISS will prioritize peers that (i) maintain the company near the median of the peer group, (ii) are in the subject company's peer group, and (iii) that have chosen the subject company as a peer.
- Other changes include slightly relaxed size requirements, especially for very small or very large companies, and using revenue instead of assets for certain financial companies.
- The revised ISS peer group will generally include 14-24 companies that are selected using market cap, revenue, GICS industry group and company's selected peers' GICS industry group with size constraints.

Realizable Pay

- For large cap companies, realizable pay will be an additional factor in the <u>qualitative</u> analysis comparing realizable pay to grant date pay.
- Realizable pay will include the value of (i) cash, (ii) equity awards and (iii) performance awards.
- Performance awards will be measured based on equity award values for actual earned awards, or target values for multi-year awards, calculated using the stock price at the end of the performance measurement period.
- Stock options or stock appreciation rights (SARs) will be re-valued using the remaining term and updated assumptions based on a Black-Scholes option pricing model. It is not clear whether this re-value approach applies to time-based stock options and SARs.

Changes to Director Voting Recommendations based on Hedging or Pledging of Company Stock

- Significant pledging of company stock by directors and/or executives is considered a failure in risk
 oversight and under extraordinary circumstances, result in a recommendation to vote AGAINST or
 WITHHOLD from individual directors, committee members or the entire board.
- Any amount of hedging will be considered a problematic pay practice warranting a negative voting recommendation.
- In determining whether existing pledges are a problematic pay practice, ISS will consider the following:
 - Presence in the company's proxy statement of an anti-pledging policy that prohibits future pledging activity;
 - o Magnitude of aggregate pledged shares;
 - o Disclosure of progress in reducing the magnitude of aggregate pledged shares;
 - Disclosure in the proxy statement that shares subject to stock ownership and holding requirements do not include pledged company stock; and
 - o Any other relevant factors

For more information on these policy updates, contact Compensation and Benefits Practice Group Chair Jon Ocker.

Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Orrick as the author. All other rights reserved.

IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe (Europe) LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC347108 and is a multinational practice of registered and foreign lawyers and English solicitors. The term partner is used to refer to a member of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe (Europe) LLP or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications, or an individual with equivalent status at an Orrick Entity. A list of members of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe (Europe) LLP and their professional qualifications is open to inspection at our registered office, 107 Cheapside, London EC2V 6DN. Authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe (Europe) LLP or an affiliated undertaking (each such undertaking being an "Orrick Entity") has an office in Beijing, Berlin, Brussels, Dusseldorf, Frankfurt, Hong Kong, London, Los Angeles, Milan, Moscow, Munich, New York, Orange County, Paris, Portland, Rome, Sacramento, San Francisco, Seattle, Shanghai, Silicon Valley, Taipei, Tokyo and Washington, D.C.

This publication is designed to provide Orrick clients and contacts with information they can use to more effectively manage their businesses and access Orrick's resources. The contents of this publication are for informational purposes only. Neither this publication nor the lawyers who authored it are rendering legal or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters. Orrick assumes no liability in connection with the use of this publication.

Attorney advertising. As required by New York law, we hereby advise you that prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. © 2012 Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, 51 West 52nd Street, New York, NY, 10019-6142, +1-212-506-5000.