BowTieLawyer

11/26/2012 · 10:46 AM | EDIT

Solomon did not "Split the Baby."

"Splitting the Baby" is a phrase that has taken root in our lexicon. It references King Solomon's de mothers were embroiled in a "custody battle" over a child, each claiming the child to be their own



In family law courts, oftentimes the Judges compare themselves to Solomon when making decision must make tough decisions based testimony and evidence that are frequently in stark contrast dej which party was offering up the facts and proof.

In the Biblical Custody Battle, King Solomon was faced with one infant and two mothers. Solom c which woman was the child's real mother, so he arranged a test to see if he could determine the t Solomon's case, the real mother was willing to let the other woman have her child in order to spa the other woman (whose own baby previously died) agreed with King Solomon that the baby shc two, with each woman receiving half. The real mother in King Solomon's court was willing to m sacrifice of giving the child up, so that he might live. *The Holy Bible, King James Version, 1 Kings*

These days, however, it seems that when we talk about "splitting the baby" we are referencing mathat leave both parties unhappy. I have heard a Judge say that if both parties leave unhappy the gotten the result right. There may be some instances where this holds true, however there was no Biblical version of Solomon's decision.

Splitting the baby may be the solution if it's not an actual baby. But the wisdom of Solomon is ren celebrated, and often cited because he, in fact, did not split the baby.

Thompson Law Firm, pllc Matthew Thompson (601) 850-8000



Rate this:

Rate This

