# POLICY INSIGHT

K&L GATES

#### January 29, 2014

Practice Groups:

Public Policy and Law;

Tax;

Tax Reform Resources:

*Real Estate Investment, Development, and Finance;* 

Global Government Solutions

For more information, please visit our Tax Reform Resources page at www.klgates.com/taxre form.



### Senate Finance Committee Makes Waves with Pooled Approach to Cost Recovery

By: Michael W. Evans, Mary Burke Baker, Cindy L. O'Malley, Karishma Shah Page, Ryan J. Severson, Andrés Gil, and David A. Walker

The Senate Finance Committee released a tax reform staff discussion draft on cost recovery and accounting as part of a series of tax reform proposals in late 2013. The Cost Recovery and Accounting ("CR&A") proposal completely redesigns the depreciation deduction in an effort to simplify, update, and match the tax life of assets with the economic life of assets— and has made waves by proposing to significantly delay how quickly assets can be depreciated. The draft also repeals several non-depreciation, timing-related provisions.

This alert describes the CR&A discussion draft, compares the treatment of assets under the CR&A proposal to current law, and offers insights on the possible next steps for the proposal.

#### **Key Issues**

### Depreciation: A Pooled Approach

The cornerstone of the draft is a complete redesign of the depreciation system. Since 1986, taxpayers have been able to recover the cost of capital investments through annual depreciation deductions under the modified accelerated cost recovery system ("MACRS"). However, the framework for recovery periods, class lives of assets, and other MACRS rules has remained static since 1988, meaning that many of the rules are now outdated.

The CR&A redesign groups personal property into four pools and real property into a separate fifth pool. Under the draft's approach, residential and commercial real estate would be in the same pool, with a depreciable life of 43 years using the straight-line method—up from the current 27.5 years for residential and the current 39 years for commercial property.

Each pool of personal property is depreciated on a declining balance method and assigned a different depreciation percentage ranging from 38% to 18% to 12% to 5%, depending on the economic life of the assets in the pool (the "applicable percentage"). In general, the rates of depreciation will be slower in this new approach than under current law. Pool 1, the 38% pool, is limited in scope and includes automobiles, certain computer equipment and software, and electric utility nuclear fuel assemblies. Pools 2, 3, and 4 represent a disparate group of assets, grouped according to a new analysis conducted by the Congressional Budget Office that calculates the economic lives of assets based on economic data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

To calculate the yearly depreciation deduction, the applicable recovery rate percentage of the pool is multiplied by the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the pool at year-end. The adjusted basis is the beginning balance in the pool (which has been reduced by the amount of the prior year's depreciation), plus the cost of new acquisitions during the year, less the proceeds of any dispositions from the pool during the year. Gains upon disposition are not

recognized unless the pool has a negative adjusted basis, which will occur when the value of depreciation deductions or dispositions of assets in a pool exceeds the adjusted basis of a pool.

The pooling mechanism under the proposal is intended to simplify the depreciation process. However, businesses will still need to decide where to assign specific assets and maintain accurate calculations of their pools—for example, by tracking individual assets. In addition, companies will need to separately account for assets for other purposes. As a result, the pooling mechanism may actually add complexity to the Tax Code.

#### Other Significant Proposals (partial list)

- The research and development ("R&D") deduction is repealed; R&D expenditures must now be capitalized and amortized over five years. The R&D tax credit is not addressed in this draft.
- Advertising expenses are 50% deductible in the year incurred, with the remaining 50% amortizable over five years. Advertising expenses are defined broadly in the draft. The five-year period begins midway through the year the expenses are incurred, so the amortization is actually spread over six tax years because the last six months of depreciation falls in the sixth year after costs are incurred.
- Percentage depletion rules are repealed. Taxpayers may use cost depletion.
- The section 179 expensing for tangible personal property is increased to \$1 million, phasing out at \$2 million, and is made permanent. The scope of section 179 property is expanded to include R&D expenditures, among others.
- The deduction for qualifying film and television productions is repealed.
- LIFO and Lower of Cost or Market inventory methods are repealed.
- Like-kind exchanges are repealed.

#### Small Businesses/Cash Method of Accounting

- Only businesses with average annual gross receipts of \$10 million or less over three years are allowed to use the cash basis method of accounting.
- Current law exceptions allowing the use of the accrual method of accounting (e.g., personal service corporations) are repealed.
- Once a business exceeds the \$10 million threshold, it must use the accrual method for the next five years. Even if the business dips below \$10 million in a later year, it must stay on the accrual method for another four years before it can switch back to the cash method.

### Comparison with Current Law

Below is a chart that offers a comparison of how assets are treated under the CR&A proposal with the treatment of these same assets under current law.

Finance Committee CR&A Proposal <sup>1</sup>				Current Law (MACRS - Half-Year Convention)			
Pool	Applicable Rate	Effective Rate		Recovery	Depreciation Rate		
		Year	Rate %	Period	Year	Rate %	
1		1	38	5-year	1	20	
(generally,		2	23	(generally,	2	32	
information systems,	38%	3	14	information systems,	3	19.2	
automobiles, electric utility nuclear fuel assemblies)	38%	4	9	automobiles, electric utility nuclear fuel assemblies)	4	11.52	
		5	6		5	11.52	
		6	3 <sup>2</sup>		6	5.76	
	18%	1	18	5-year (generally, light general purpose trucks, buses, cattle, cutting of timber)	1	20	
		2	14		2	32	
		3	13		3	19.2	
2 (generally,		4	10		4	11.52	
		5	8		5	11.52	
light general purpose		6	6		6	5.76	
trucks, buses,		7	5				
cattle, cutting of timber, telephone comm. equipment)		8	4				
		9	4				
		10	3				
		11	2				
		12	2				
		13	2				

### K&L GATES

## Senate Finance Committee Makes Waves with Pooled Approach to Cost Recovery

Finance Committee CR&A Proposal			Current Law (MACRS - Half-Year Convention)						
Pool	Applicable	Effective Rate		Recovery	Depreciation Rate				
	Rate	Year	Rate %	Period	Year		Rate	Rate %	
					7- year	Rate	10- year	Rate	
	12%	1	12	Generally 5, 7 or 10-year (5: airplanes (non- commercial) and helicopters, offshore drilling) (7: office furniture, cable) (10: vessels)	1	14.29	1	10	
		2	10		2	24.49	2	18	
3		3	9		3	17.49	3	14.4	
		4	8		4	12.49	4	11.52	
(generally, office		5	7		5	8.93	5	9.22	
furniture, airplanes (non-		6	6		6	8.92	6	7.37	
		7	5		7	8.93	7	6.55	
commercial) and		8	5		8	4.46	8	6.55	
helicopters,		9	4				9	6.56	
vessels, offshore		10	4				10	6.55	
drilling,		11	3				11	3.28	
manufacture of apparel and		12	3						
other finished products, cable television comm. equipment)		13	2						
		14	2						
		15	2						
		16	2						
		17	1						
		18	1						
			•						

### K&L GATES

## Senate Finance Committee Makes Waves with Pooled Approach to Cost Recovery

Finance Committee CR&A Proposal			Current Law (MACRS - Half-Year Convention)					
Pool	Applicable Rate	Effective Rate		Recovery	Depreciation Rate			
		Year	Rate %	Period	Year		Rate %	
Pool 4 (generally, railroad cars and locomotives (except those owned by rail transport companies), ship and boat building dry docks and land improvements , wind and solar energy structures)		Year   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19	Rate %   5   5   4   4   4   4   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2		Depr	eciation I	Rate	
		20 21 22 23 24	2 2 2 2 1					
		25 26	1 1	-				

Finance Committee CR&A Proposal			Current Law (MACRS - Half-Year Convention)				
Pool	Applicable Rate	Effective Rate		Recovery	Depreciation Rate		
		Year	Rate %	Period	Year	Rate %	
		27	1				
		28	1				
		29	1				
		30	1				
		31	1				
		32	1				
		33	1				
		34	.009				
		35	.009				
		36	.008				
		37	.008				
		38	.007				
		39	.007				
		40	.007				
		41	.006				
		42	.006				
		43	.006	1			
		44	.005				
		45	.005				
		46	.005				

### **Next Steps**

The Senate Finance Committee considers the CR&A proposal (as well as their discussion drafts on tax administration, international taxes, and energy) to be "starting points" that spark a conversation about tax reform and test whether stakeholders are willing to lose valued tax benefits in exchange for a lower marginal tax rate. Consequently, it is unlikely that the CR&A proposal will be enacted in its current form. However, given the need to update the MACRS system, it is very likely that the CR&A proposal will inspire debate about the U.S. depreciation system in the months to come and may ultimately lead to changes in law.

One issue complicating the prospects of the proposal is the expected confirmation of Chairman Max Baucus (D-MT) as the next U.S. Ambassador to China. Senator Ron Wyden

(D-OR), who is widely expected to take the Finance Committee gavel after Chairman Baucus steps down, is deeply committed to tax reform and is likely to continue working with House Ways and Means Committee leadership to enact comprehensive tax reform legislation. However, Senator Wyden may consider discarding some or all of Chairman Baucus' tax reform proposals in order to put his own stamp on tax reform. As a result, while these dynamics play out, the CR&A proposal is treading water in the Finance Committee. A change in leadership on the Finance Committee will also push back the timing of tax reform significantly, making it much less likely that Congress will pass tax reform legislation in 2014.

Still, the proposal embodies ideas that will likely resurface as policymakers continue to examine cost recovery and accounting issues moving forward.

#### Authors:

Michael W. Evans Partner michael.evans@klgates.com +1.202.661.3807

Mary Burke Baker Government Affairs Advisor mary.baker@klgates.com +1.202.778.9223

Cindy L. O'Malley Government Affairs Counselor cindy.omalley@klgates.com +1. 202.661.6228

Karishma Shah Page Associate

karishma.page@klgates.com +1.202.778.9128

#### Ryan J. Severson

Associate ryan.severson@klgates.com +1.202.778.9251

#### Andrés Gil

Associate andres.gil@klgates.com +1.202.778.9226

David A. Walker Government Affairs Specialist dave.walker@klgates.com +1.202.778.9346

### K&L GATES

Anchorage Austin Beijing Berlin Boston Brisbane Brussels Charleston Charlotte Chicago Dallas Doha Dubai Fort Worth Frankfurt Harrisburg Hong Kong Houston London Los Angeles Melbourne Miami Milan Moscow Newark New York Orange County Palo Alto Paris Perth Pittsburgh Portland Raleigh Research Triangle Park San Diego San Francisco São Paulo Seattle Seoul Shanghai Singapore Spokane Sydney Taipei Tokyo Warsaw Washington, D.C. Wilmington

K&L Gates practices out of 48 fully integrated offices located in the United States, Asia, Australia, Europe, the Middle East and South America and represents leading global corporations, growth and middle-market companies, capital markets participants and entrepreneurs in every major industry group as well as public sector entities, educational institutions, philanthropic organizations and individuals. For more information about K&L Gates or its locations, practices and registrations, visit <a href="http://www.klgates.com">www.klgates.com</a>.

This publication is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer.

©2013 K&L Gates LLP. All Rights Reserved.

<sup>1</sup> Assumes \$10,000 asset.

 $^{2}$  Under Chairman Baucus' proposal, when the value of an asset pool is less than \$1,000, a taxpayer may choose to fully write off the remaining balance of the asset pool.