## BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

btlaw.com

## ALERT

## LABOR & EMPLOYMENT LAW

September 2010

## NLRB: "Shame, Shame, Shame" Banners are Not Secondary Boycotts

The new majority of the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) has decided one of the oldest cases pending before the Board, ruling in favor of unions that bannering activities at construction sites do not constitute secondary boycott activity under the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) (United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America, Local Union No. 1506 *and* Eliason & Knuth of Arizona, Inc.). Employers should be aware that this decision has the potential to dramatically increase the amount of secondary boycott activity at construction work sites where non-union labor is working.

In a 3-2 decision, NLRB members Liebman, Becker and Pearce upheld the Carpenters' Union's use of the "shame, shame, shame" banners at construction sites where non-union contractors were working. The Board majority found that the Carpenters Union did not engage in secondary boycott activity when they held 16-foot long banners near three construction sites in Arizona. Though the banners (and accompanying handbills) declared that specific named construction contractors at those sites paid substandard wages and benefits, they also stated that the owners of the businesses where the non-union contractors were working were contributing to undermining wages and benefits paid to area workers by using the non-union contractors.

The business owners filed unfair labor practices charges against the Union (in 2003) on the ground that the banners sought to involve them in the Union's dispute with the contractors thus resulting in a secondary boycott in violation of the NLRA. However, the new NLRB majority found that the language of the NLRA does not suggest that Congress intended the secondary boycott language of the NLRA to prohibit "the peaceful stationary display of a banner."

The Board minority in dissent said that the majority went too far, claiming that in so ruling, they have established a new standard that secondary boycotts only occurred if the union's conduct "caused or could be expected to cause disruption to the secondary employer's operations." The dissenters argued that this new standard upset the balance that Congress sought to achieve in the NLRA and they predicted the decision will dramatically increase the amount of secondary boycott activity.

To obtain more information, please contact the Barnes & Thornburg Labor and Employment attorney with whom you work, or a leader of the firm's Labor and Employment Law Department in the following offices: Kenneth J. Yerkes, Chair (317) 231-7513; John T.L. Koenig, Atlanta (404) 264-4018; Norma W. Zeitler, Chicago (312) 214-8312; William A. Nolan, Columbus (614) 628-1401; Eric H.J. Stahlhut, Elkhart (574) 296-2524; Mark S. Kittaka, Fort Wayne (260) 425-4616; Michael A. Snapper, Grand Rapids (616) 742-3947; Peter A. Morse, Indianapolis (317) 231-7794; Tina Syring-Petrocchi, Minneapolis (612) 367-8705; Janilyn Brouwer Daub, South Bend (574) 237-1139; and Teresa L. D.C. Jakubowski, Washington, (202)371-6366. Visit online us at www.btlaw.com/laborandemploymentlaw.

© 2010 Barnes & Thornburg LLP. All Rights Reserved. This page, and all information on it, is proprietary and the property of Barnes & Thornburg LLP. It may not be reproduced, in any form, without the express written consent of Barnes & Thornburg.

This Barnes & Thornburg LLP publication should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult your own lawyer on any specific legal questions you may have concerning your situation.

Please send address changes or requests to opt out of these alerts to megan.decker@btlaw.com.

• One of 22 firms nationally on Workforce Management Magazine's 2009 "Hot List" of Top U.S. Labor and Employment Firms

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

- Top 20 busiest firm for ADA (#8), Civil Rights (#10), Employment Practices (#18) (2009 *Litigation Almanac*, Law360)
- Listed as one of *Corporate Counsel Magazine's* 2009 "Go-To Law Firms of the World's Leading Companies"