1	ALICE ATTORNEY	
2	Law Office of Alice Attorney 1234 Fifth Avenue, Suite 123	
3	San Diego, CA 92101	
4	Telephone (619) 555-1212 Attorney for Respondent Susan R. Smith	
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
9		
10		
11	In re the Marriage of:	) Case No.: D987654
12	FRANK FATHER,	) MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
13	Petitioner,	AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION, AND PASSPORT FOR MINOR CHILD AND
14	and	
15	SUSAN R. SMITH,	TRAVEL OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES WITH MINOR
16	Respondent,	
17		
18		)
19	Respondent Susan R. Smith (Susan) respectfully submits the following Memorandun	
20	of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Petitioner's motion to Modify Child Custody,	
21	Visitation, Passport for Minor and Travel Outside the United States with Petitioner.	
22	STATEMENT OF FACTS	
23	In his moving papers Petitioner Frank Father (Frank) moves for the issuance of a	
24	passport for the parties' son Joe Father (DOB 11/11/2006) for travel to Frank's native Nigeri	
25	to participate in a family wedding. Frank is an American citizen and a reservist in the United	
26	States Marine Corps. The minor child was born in the United States, and is a natural-born	
27	citizen.	
28		

As is more fully set forth in Respondent Susan R. Smith (Susan), Frank wishes to send the minor child to Nigeria to be raised by his sister. Susan has also determined that, contrary to the representations made by Frank, there is no family wedding pending in Nigeria. Frank has stated that he wants his son to be immersed in Nigerian culture. As stated in Frank's declaration, he is an American citizen, and has a business in San Diego County, California. Frank's declaration says nothing about traveling with his son to Nigeria. If Frank is so enamored with Nigerian culture and the Nigerian nation, why is he an American citizen, a California domiciliary, and a reserve member of the United States Marine Corps?

Frank's declaration states that Nigeria is a signatory to various treaties that would assure the child's return to the United States. That simply is not so. Nigeria is NOT a signatory to any international treaty or convention that would assure a child's return to his native country. Moreover, the State Department of the United States has declared that travel to and in Nigeria is not safe. The nation is undergoing significant civil unrest and violence, such that both Nigerians and foreigners are not safe.

Susan asserts that once the minor child is taken to Nigeria, their legal system is such that there is no effective legal method for obtaining his return. Depending upon where in Nigeria the child would be located, would determine the law applied. Susan further asserts that a "trip" to Nigeria would be tantamount to a move-away order.

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

A. The Request for a Passport for the Minor Child is Tantamount to a Request for an International Move-Away Order.

Because of the unusual facts of this case, Frank's request for a passport for his 3-year old son to travel to Nigeria is tantamount to a request for an international move-away order.

Any analysis of a change in custody, visitation, or move away begins and ends with what is in the best interest of the child.

### Best Interests of the Child

When determining the best interest of the child, relevant factors include the health, safety and welfare of the child, any history of abuse by one parent against the child or the

There is no statutory definition of "best interests of the child." It has been described as "`an elusive guideline that belies rigid definition. Its purpose is to maximize a child's opportunity to develop into a stable, well-adjusted adult." Adoption of Mathew B., 232 Cal.App.3d 1239, 1263 (1991).

To determine the best interest of the child, relevant factors include the health, safety and welfare of the child, any history of abuse by a parent against the child or other parent, a parent's habitual and continual use of controlled substances or alcohol, and the nature and amount of contact with the parents. (Family Code §3011.)

The changed circumstances rule requires that there be a prior judicial custody decision that determined the best interest of the child under the circumstances then existing. The changed circumstances rule is not a different test from the initial best interest test. Rather, it is an adjunct to the best interest test providing in essence that once a court has established the child's best interest, it need not re-examine the issue without some significant change of circumstances suggesting a different arrangement would be in the child's best interest.

Montenegro v. Diaz, *supra*, 26 Cal.4<sup>th</sup> 249, 256 (2001).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

When the court orders a change in custody, "there must generally be a persuasive showing of changed circumstances affecting the child. And that change must be substantial: a child will not be removed from the prior custody of one parent and given to the other `unless the material facts and circumstances occurring subsequently are of a kind to render it essential or expedient for the welfare of the child that there be a change." In re Marriage of Carney, 24 Cal.3d 725, 730 (1979), quoting Washburn v. Washburn, 49 Cal.App.2d 581, 588 (1942). The burden of showing a sufficient change in circumstances is on the party seeking the change of custody. (<u>Carney</u>, supra, at p. 731.) Here, there is no change in circumstances other than Frank's desire to get the parties' child out of the reach of Susan.

Marriage of Condon, 62 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 533 (1998), regarding a move away to a foreign nation, the Court held that the trial court should also consider three additional issues: (1)

cultural differences, (2) distance, and (3) enforceability of the order in the foreign jurisdiction. (Condon, supra, 62 Cal.4th at pp. 546-548.)

## Condon Factors As Applied to Nigeria

## **Cultural Differences**

The difference in culture between the United States and Nigeria is vast. A review of the United States Department of State materials shows that Nigeria is a developing country. The State Department advises against travel by Americans to Nigeria, based on gang violence, political, and religious unrest. Nigeria also has rampant and violent crime, for which the State Department notes that there is little victim recourse. Additionally, medical facilities are often poorly maintained and many medicines are unavailable. Roads conditions and traffic safety is primitive and corrupt.

Nigeria has both Christian and Muslim populations who are at violent odds with each other. As noted below, the Muslim population has been successful in imposing Sharia (Islamic) law in areas of the country. Sharia law treats women differently and without the equality as America and other Western nations. What recourse would an American woman have in Nigeria? Without knowing where in Nigeria Frank intends to send the child, there is no way of determining whether it is a Christian or Muslim area of Nigeria.

While English is the official language of Nigeria, there are some 500 additional languages spoken by the Nigerian people.

#### Distance

It is approximately 7,700 miles between San Diego, California and Lagos, Nigeria.

Condon held that for a person of average income or below, an order relocating his or her child to a faraway foreign country is ordinarily tantamount to an order terminating that parent's custody and visitation rights. Id. at 547.

#### Condon further stated:

To award custody to the mother would, in effect, be to completely deny to the infant the right to the love, parental care, companionship and guidance of her father. Further, it would deprive her of the right to be raised and educated in her own country--which is part of her birthright. Moreover, it would deprive the petitioner of the natural rights he has as a father--since he would be

1 2

completely cut off from his daughter by a distance of many thousands of miles. <u>Id</u>. at 845

Susan contends that if Frank is granted the right to obtain a passport for his minor child, this distance will allow Frank to send the child to Nigeria, where Susan right of visitation will be purely illusory. Frank proposes to take a three year-old child some 7,700 miles across eight time zones for a trip of some 24 hours. How could this possibly be in the child's best interests?

# Enforceability of Order in Nigeria

Plainly stated, a California custody and visitation order will have little, if any, force and effect in Nigeria. Nigeria has a complex three-tiered legal system composed of English common law, Islamic law, and Nigerian customary law. Under the 1999 constitution, the regular court system comprises federal and state trial courts, state appeals courts, the Federal Court of Appeal, the Federal Supreme Court, and Sharia (Islamic) and customary (traditional) courts of appeal for each state and for the federal capital territory of Abuja. Courts of the first instance include magistrate or district courts, customary or traditional courts, Sharia courts, and for some specified cases, the state high courts. Because of religious differences, Christians (which Frank professes to be) fear the forced introduction of Sharia law.

Frank's declaration states that there are international treaties that would aid Susan in enforcing her custody and visitation order in Nigeria. THIS SIMPLY IS NOT TRUE. Nigeria is NOT a signatory to any international or bilateral treaty regarding child custody, child abduction, or any related subject. How could this be in the best interests of this child?

#### **CONCLUSION**

Susan asserts that rather than the benign reason of a family wedding, Frank wishes to send their child to live with his sister in Nigeria on a permanent basis. Once the child is in Nigeria, Susan is left without a remedy, and her custody and visitation is effectively terminated.

An order allowing the obtaining of a passport will be exactly what Frank needs to put the minor child effectively out of reach for Susan. For whatever reasons, Frank believes that he is in charge, and should be able to unilaterally determine what is best for the parties' child.

Once the child is granted leave to obtain a passport, it is one short step to getting the child out of the country and to Nigeria, where is out of reach of civil and diplomatic authorities. Allams contends that there is no justification in sending a three year-old child to a nation in which there is civil and religious violence. No real argument can be made that such a relocation could be in this child's best interest. Frank's motion should be denied. Dated: March \_\_\_, 2010 Respectfully submitted, Alice Attorney Attorney for Respondent Susan R. Smith