

# **Evolution and Refinement: Recent Texas Legislative Efforts on Medical Records, Corporate Practice, and Other Issues**

**Brandy Schnautz Mann  
Jackson Walker LLP  
100 Congress Ave., Suite 1100  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 236-2310  
bmann@jw.com**

# Question 1

- If a physician complies with HIPAA, he or she has also complied with all requirements of Texas' medical privacy laws.
  - True
  - False

# Question 2

- Employee training requirements under Texas law are stricter than under HIPAA.
  - True
  - False

# Question 3

- Under Texas law, all hospitals can employ physicians because they are exempt from Texas' corporate practice of medicine prohibition.
  - True
  - False

# **The Corporate Practice of Medicine and Physician Employment in Texas**

# The CPOM Doctrine

- Texas, like most states, recognizes a prohibition on general business entities practicing medicine
- Concern is that only natural persons can be licensed to practice medicine and corporate employers will unduly influence physician employees' professional judgment and interfere in the physician-patient relationship

# The CPOM Doctrine

- The practical effect of it is the prohibition of the employment of physicians by non-licensed persons or entities
- Many states have modified it or else rarely enforce it
  - Some states allow employment by general corporations as long as physician control maintained (e.g., MS, SC, LA)
  - Others retain the prohibition but lack active enforcement (e.g. NV)
  - At least one state has a stricter standard (CA)

# The CPOM Doctrine in Texas

- Most states have exceptions to the CPOM prohibition on physician employment for certain entities (e.g., hospitals, HMOs, non-profit corporations)
- Texas has instituted exceptions for:
  - NPHOs
  - Physician associations
  - Some state entities
  - Medical schools

# The CPOM Doctrine in Texas

- Unlike most states, Texas does not exempt hospitals from the CPOM prohibition and does not have an exception allowing all hospitals to employ physicians
- Instead, Texas had made exceptions for
  - Specific hospitals/hospital districts
  - Specific types of hospitals

# Legislative Attention to Physician Employment

- For a last several legislative sessions, bills have been introduced in the Legislature to create an exception for hospitals generally, specific hospital districts, non-profit hospitals, or rural hospitals
- In 2009, bill allowing employment by Parkland Hospital passed and signed into law but bill for rural hospitals vetoed

# 2011: SB 894

- In 2011, SB 894 passed allowing the employment of physicians by hospitals that:
  - Are designated as critical access hospitals;
  - Are a sole community hospital, as defined in federal statutes; or
  - Are located in a “rural” county with a population of 50,000 or less (approx. 200 of 254 counties)

# 2011: SB 894

- Requirements for employment:
  - Chief medical officer appointed
  - Policies to ensure physician maintains independent judgment including implementation of complaint procedure and no retaliation for advocating patient care
  - Involvement of medical staff in employment policies
  - Chief medical officer must report to TMB

# 2011: SB 894

- Requirements for employment:
  - Employees must not be favored over non-employees for staff membership and privileges
  - Protects employed physicians' right to participate in selection of liability coverage, maintain independent defense, and consent to settlement
  - Any covenants not-to-compete for employees must comply with Section 15.50 of the Texas Business & Commerce Code



# 2011: Other Employment Bills

- SB 761 authorizes employment of physicians by non-profit fraternal organization hospitals primarily providing medical care to children (e.g., Scottish Rite hospitals)
- SB 1568 authorizes employment by Harris County Hospital District
- SB 311 authorizes employment by Ochiltree County Hospital District

# Future Legislative Sessions

- Piecemeal attacks on CPOM prohibition likely to continue
- CPOM prohibition may be neutralized by sheer number of exceptions— particularly for hospitals
- Attention CPOM doctrine receives by Legislature may depend on state of budget in 2013 and beyond

# Medical Record Privacy

# HIPAA

- Federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996
- Created to:
  - Assure health insurance portability
  - Reduce health care fraud and abuse
  - Guarantee security and privacy of health information
  - Enforce standards for health information

# HIPAA: Who is covered?

- Direct applicability to covered entities (“CEs”)
  - Physicians, hospitals, and other healthcare providers
  - Health insurance plans
  - “Healthcare clearinghouses”
- Indirect and direct applicability to business associates (“BAs”) of CEs
  - Original HIPAA: indirect applicability
  - HITECH: some privacy, all security

# The HIPAA Privacy Rule

- Protected Health Information (“PHI”)
  - Individually identifiable
  - Past, present, or future health condition
  - Condition, provision, or payment

# The HIPAA Privacy Rule

- Absolute prohibition from release with exceptions
  - For treatment, payment, or healthcare operations
  - To the individual
  - With permission of the individual
  - As required by law
  - Other specifically-allowed uses

# The HIPAA Privacy Rule

- Right to Notice of Privacy Practices
  - Describes individual's rights to access, inspection, accounting
  - Duties of covered entity
  - Complaints and contacts
  - How covered entity will use and disclose their health information
- Information cannot be used or disclosed for any purpose not included on the notice
- Individual must be notified if information is used in a new fashion not covered by the notice

# The HIPAA Security Rule

- Covered entities must maintain administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of PHI in electronic format that they maintain or transmit

# The HIPAA Privacy Rule Administrative Requirements

- Appoint a privacy officer/security officer
- HIPAA policies and procedures
- Train your employees
- Document compliance and complaints
- Risk assessment (security)

# HITECH Act Provisions

- New data breach rules require notification in cases of breach:
  - To the affected patient
  - To the media if the breach is big (more than 500 individuals)
  - To HHS
- Notification not required if:
  - Breach is of encrypted data or de-identified data
  - Subjective no-harm standard
- Business associates are now treated like covered entities
- “Hide” rule
- Increased enforcement, penalties
  - State AGs can prosecute

# HITECH Act Enforcement Concerns

- Increased penalties
- State attorneys general can prosecute HIPAA violations
- Injured individuals may get some of the fine money

# Penalties and Enforcement

| Degree of neglect and/or correction of violation   | Minimum penalty   | Maximum penalty   |
|--|---|---|
| Did not know (and by exercising reasonable diligence would not have known) and corrected within statutory timeframe            | No penalty  | No penalty  |
| Did not know (and by exercising reasonable diligence would not have known) but <u>not</u> corrected within statutory timeframe | \$100 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$25K)*    | \$50,000 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$1.5M) |
| Reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect but corrected within statutory timeframe                                       | No penalty  | No penalty  |
| Reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect but <u>not</u> corrected within statutory timeframe                            | \$1,000 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$100K)* | \$50,000 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$1.5M) |
| Willful neglect but corrected within statutory timeframe   | \$10,000 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$250K) | \$50,000 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$1.5M) |
| Willful neglect but <u>not</u> corrected within statutory timeframe  | \$50,000 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$1.5M) | \$50,000 for each violation (total amount imposed for all such violations of identical requirement/prohibition during a calendar may not exceed \$1.5M) |

# Texas' Efforts to Protect Personal Information

# Texas' Privacy Laws

- Complying with HIPAA does not guarantee one has complied with Texas' privacy laws
- For example:
  - Texas' law covers more entities
  - Texas' law protects more information than what is defined as PHI under HIPAA
  - Texas has its own training and notice requirements

# Texas Medical Privacy Act

- Health & Safety Code Ch. 181
- 2001: Texas legislature proposes near-identical requirements to HIPAA
- Final legislation stripped down to 3 issues
  - More entities are “covered entities”
  - Tighter marketing restrictions
  - Re-identification not allowed

# Texas Identity Theft Identification Act

- Business & Commerce Code Ch. 521
- Person who conducts business in Texas or owns/licenses computerized data including “sensitive personal information” must notify affected individuals after a “breach of system security”
- Businesses in Texas must reasonably protect sensitive personal information
- NOT limited to health information but does apply to “covered entities” under Health & Safety Code



# Texas Identity Theft Identification Act

- “Sensitive personal information”=
  - First name or initial +
  - Last name +
  - SSN or DL number or account, credit card, or similar number
  - In 2009, “PHI”-type information added to the definition
- Notice requirements are broader than those under HIPAA

# **Medical Record Privacy: House Bill 300 (2011)**

# House Bill 300

- Passed in 2011 Legislative Session
- Amends portions of the Health & Safety Code, Business & Commerce Code, and Insurance Code
- Multiple start dates, but generally effective September 1, 2012

# HB 300: Components

- Training
- Access
- AG Enforcement/Penalties
- AG Website and Reporting
- PHI Sales
- Notice of Electronic Disclosure
- HHSC Audits
- HHSC Standards for Electronic Sharing
- HIT Task Force

# Training

- All covered entities under Health & Safety Code (remember, it's a broader group than HIPAA) must train employees
- Training standards are stricter than those required by HIPAA

# Training

- Training must focus on:
  - Specific business of the entity
  - Employee's scope of employment
- Within 60 days of employment
- At least every 2 years
- Employee must sign attendance statement and records maintained

# Access

- If healthcare provider uses EHR, must give access to patients
  - Similar to HIPAA HITECH requirements, but access in 15 days instead of 30
- Incorporates HIPAA's exceptions to access
- HHSC can recommend standard electronic format for releasing data

# AG Enforcement and Penalties

- New penalties for wrongful disclosure similar to HIPAA HITECH penalties:
  - \$5,000 for negligent
  - \$25,000 for knowing or intentional
  - \$250,000 if for financial gain
  - Limited fine for encrypted data sent to another covered entity for PTO, there was no further disclosure, or policies were in place
- Court penalties can go up to \$1.5 million
- AG can retain part of the penalty

# AG Website and Reporting

- Website:
  - Consumer information and advice
  - Consumer rights
  - Agencies affected
- Report to Legislature
  - Number and types of complaints
  - Agencies involved
  - Results

# Sales of PHI Prohibited

- No covered entity may receive direct or indirect remuneration for disclosing PHI
- Disclosures for PTO don't count
- Disclosures "required by law" don't count
- Insurance or HMO functions don't count (reimbursement limited to cost)

# Notice of Electronic Disclosure

- A covered entity must provide written notice if an individual's information is subject to electronic disclosure
- Texas data breach notification requirement changed to apply if victim is a Texas resident or a resident of a state without a data breach law

# Notice of Electronic Disclosure

- A covered entity must provide written notice if an individual's information is subject to electronic disclosure
- Except for PTO or disclosures required by law, can't disclose without authorization (can be oral)
- AG required to adopt a form

# HHSC Audits

- Texas Health and Human Services Commission may request U.S. Secretary of HHS to audit Texas HIPAA covered entities for HIPAA compliance
- Coordinate with Health Services Authority and Department of Insurance
- Commissioner to report to Legislature

# HHSC Standards for Electronic Sharing of Data

- Texas Health Services Authority to develop and HHSC to approve privacy and security standards for electronic sharing of PHI
- HIPAA-based
- Should support interoperability of EHR systems

# HIT Task Force

- AG appoints 11-member task force to review HIT issues
- Must have 2 doctors, 2 hospital reps, 1 private citizen, and 1 pharmacist
- Develop recommendations for electronic exchange, improving patient access to ePHI, and reporting to the Legislature

# Odds and Ends

- HHSC, DSHS, TMB, and TDI to review status of the law and report to the legislature regularly
- HHSC to have oversight over defunct entities to keep their data safe

# Questions

# Question 1

- If a physician complies with HIPAA, he or she has also complied with all requirements of Texas' medical privacy laws.
  - False

# Texas' Privacy Laws

- Complying with HIPAA does not guarantee one has complied with Texas' privacy laws
- For example:
  - Texas' law covers more entities
  - Texas' law protects more information than what is defined as PHI under HIPAA
  - Texas has its own training requirements

# Question 2

- Employee training requirements under Texas law are stricter than under HIPAA.
  - True



# Training

- All covered entities (remember, it's a broader group than HIPAA) must train employees
- Training standards are stricter than those required by HIPAA

# Question 3

- Under Texas law, all hospitals can employ physicians because they are exempt from Texas' corporate practice of medicine prohibition.
  - False

# The CPOM Doctrine in Texas

- Unlike most states, Texas does not exempt hospitals from the CPOM prohibition and does not have an exception allowing all hospitals to employ physicians
- Instead, Texas had made exceptions for
  - Specific hospitals/hospital districts
  - Specific types of hospitals

# Evolution and Refinement: Recent Texas Legislative Efforts on Medical Records, Corporate Practice, and Other Issues

# QUESTIONS?

Brandy Schnautz Mann  
Jackson Walker L.L.P.  
100 Congress Ave., Suite 1100  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 236-2310  
bmann@jw.com