

in the news

## Health Policy Monitor



May 2013

Issue 1

## Health Reform and Related Health Policy News

## In this Issue:

|                            |   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Top News .....             | 1 |
| State News .....           | 4 |
| Regulatory News .....      | 4 |
| Additional Reading .....   | 5 |
| Federal Register .....     | 5 |
| For More Information ..... | 7 |

An executive summary of political, legal and regulatory issues that may impact your business, prepared by Polsinelli Health Care legal and Public Policy professionals.

## Top News

### HHS Releases Hospital Pricing Data in Transparency Effort; Results Show Significant Variation

**O**n May 8, 2013, in an unprecedented effort to provide transparency to health care consumers, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) released hospital pricing data from approximately 3,400 hospitals that receive payment from Medicare for inpatient services. The data, which compares charges for services provided during the 100 most common inpatient stays, reveals that hospital charges for similar services can vary significantly --

even within the same geographic area. For example, a fact sheet from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) shows that charges to treat heart failure range from \$21,000 to \$46,000 within the Denver, Colorado, area. Although Medicare does not actually pay the amount that a hospital charges, this data is likely to reignite the debate over medical costs and hospital pricing methodology. More information about the transparency initiative can be found [here](#), and the actual pricing data is available on CMS' website [here](#).

## States Divided on Medicaid Expansion

With all eyes on the final hours of many state legislative sessions, it appears states will be almost equally split on whether to expand Medicaid eligibility. According to data from Avalere Health, as of May 6, 2013, twenty states and the District of Columbia will expand Medicaid, and fifteen states will not. Of the remaining fifteen states, only four are leaning toward expansion.

Current focus is on Florida, as local lawmakers are urging Florida Gov. Rick Scott to call a special session to address the issue, though other states in the limelight include Arizona, Louisiana, Kansas, Connecticut, and Oklahoma.

While there is no established deadline for states to expand their Medicaid programs, if states do not expand, they will likely forego lucrative financial incentives included under the Affordable Care Act. Given the uncertainty about the legislative battles still being fought, it is difficult to predict how many states will expand their Medicaid programs come January 1, 2014. For more information and specific state data, please click [here](#).

## CMS Issues FY 2014 Proposed Rules for Acute-Care and Long-Term Care Hospitals, Skilled Nursing Facilities, Inpatient Rehab Facilities, and Hospice

In a flurry of activity, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) recently released several proposed rules affecting Medicare Part A providers, including acute-care hospitals and long-term care hospitals (LTCHs), skilled nursing facilities (SNFs), inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs), and hospice providers. Additional information about each proposed rule is available below.

### *Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) Proposed Rule*

On April 26, 2013, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services released a proposed rule that would update the Medicare payment policies and rates for

general acute care and LTCHs effective October 1, 2013.

Under the proposed rule, general acute care hospitals paid under the inpatient prospective payment system that successfully participate in the Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting (IQR) Program would see operating rates for inpatient stays increased by 0.8 percent; those that do not successfully participate in the IQR Program would receive a 2.0 percentage point reduction from the proposed increase. The projected increase for these payments, which include capital and operating payments, is \$27 million. The proposed rule would also increase Medicare payments to LTCHs by 1.1 percent, or approximately \$62 million.

Other significant provisions of the proposed rule include the following:

- Revisions to the Direct Graduate Medical Education policy addressing inpatient labor and delivery days in the inpatient Medicare utilization calculation.
- Clarification of admission and medical review criteria for hospital inpatient services.
- A negative 0.8 percent recoupment adjustment to recoup documentation and coding overpayments for prior years.
- Guidance regarding implementation of a variety of Affordable Care Act provisions, including the



Hospital-Acquired Conditions (HAC) Reduction Program and a new payment methodology to calculate Disproportionate Share Hospital adjustments.

A fact sheet that discusses major payment provisions of the proposed rule is available [here](#). A separate fact sheet related to quality is available [here](#). The proposed rule will be published in the May 10, 2013 Federal Register, with comments due by June 25. CMS expects to issue the final rule by August 1, 2013. The display copy of the proposed rule can be downloaded from the Federal Register [here](#).

### *The SNF Proposed Rule*

CMS issued the 2014 SNF prospective payment system proposed rule on Monday, May 6, 2013. Among other technical changes, the rule includes a 1.4% market basket update to SNF payments for 2014 and invites suggestions to identify reimbursement alternatives for therapy services under the SNF PPS. CMS will accept comments on the proposed rule until July 1, 2013. The text of the proposed rule is available [here](#) and appeared in the May 6 Federal Register.

### *IRF Proposed Rule*

Released in the May 8 edition of the Federal Register, the IRF prospective payment system proposed rule would increase IRF payments by 2% for fiscal year 2014. The rule also outlines proposed changes to the so-called "60% rule," under which a hospital must demonstrate that at least 60% of its patients meet the IRF criteria in order to be excluded from the hospital inpatient prospective payment system. Specifically, the rule would revise the list of diagnosis codes used to identify patients "presumptively" in need of intensive rehabilitation. Comments on the proposed rule are due by July 1, 2013. The proposed rule is available [here](#).

### *Hospice Proposed Rule*

Under the proposed rule for hospice, CMS would provide a 1.1% increase in hospice payments for FY 2014. In addition, the proposed rule provides guidance for reporting diagnoses on hospice claims, including recommendations that hospices pay more attention to reporting multiple diagnoses, avoid "adult failure to thrive" and "debility" as principal diagnoses, and, for patients electing hospice within three days of a hospital discharge, list the inpatient care diagnosis on the hospice claim. The rule also contains changes to the hospice quality reporting program, which will subject hospices to a two percent payment reduction if they fail to report certain quality data. The proposed rule will be published in the May 10, 2013 Federal Register, with comments due by July 9. A display copy is available [here](#).

### *Appeal of Ruling on Plan B Contraception*

On May 1, 2013, one day after the FDA approved over-the-counter use of Plan B for women 15 and older, the Department of Justice appealed the order of a U.S. District Court judge to have the Food & Drug Administration (FDA) make Plan B One-Step (Plan B), an emergency contraceptive, available without age restrictions within thirty days of the judge's April 5th ruling.



The move drew immediate criticism from reproductive rights groups and various medical associations, including the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and the Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine, which issued a collective statement opposing the decision of the Department of Justice to appeal the court order. However, the appeal is supported by anti-abortion groups such as the Susan B. Anthony List.

## State News

### Pennsylvania Insurance Department Approves Highmark's Takeover of West Penn Allegheny Health System

On April 29, the Pennsylvania Insurance Department approved the proposed takeover of West Penn Allegheny Health System (West Penn), a seven-hospital health system largely operating in the Pittsburgh area, by Highmark Inc., a health insurance company. Proposed in 2011, the landmark transaction is contingent upon compliance with a number of conditions intended to protect consumers and preserve competition. Specifically, the Insurance Department is requiring a firewall between Highmark's insurance entities and any providers in the newly-named Allegheny Health Network. In addition, if West Penn's financial stability comes into question at any point, Highmark is required to submit a corrective action plan to the state. Highmark also is prohibited from requiring a most-favored-nation clause, which would require West Penn to offer it the hospital's best payment rate or terms given to any other insurer. For more information, the Pennsylvania Insurance Department's "Approving Determination and Order" is available [here](#).

### OIG Calls for Refund of \$7.3 Million from New York Medicaid

According to an April 15 report published by the HHS Office of the Inspector General (OIG), the New York Department of Health (Health Department) improperly sought at least \$7.3 million in federal Medicaid reimbursement for patients enrolled in managed care organizations. The OIG found that the Health Department failed to make proper eligibility determinations and, in some instances, allowed beneficiaries to have more than one Medicaid identification number, resulting in duplicate monthly payments to the managed care plans. In addition, the OIG estimated that the Health Department claimed \$546,000 in Medicaid reimbursement where applicants did not provide a valid Social Security number (SSN) or where there was no case file documentation to support the eligibility determination. The OIG's full audit report is available [here](#).

## Regulatory News

### CMS Delays Implementation of Phase 2 Ordering and Referring Denial Edits

Citing technical issues, CMS will delay implementation of Phase 2 edits that would have denied certain claims with missing or incorrect information



regarding an approved or opted-out physician or non-physician provider. Specifically, this review would have targeted: (a) Medicare Part B claims from labs, imaging centers, and DMEPOS suppliers that have an ordering or referring physician/non-physician provider; and (b) Part A home health agency claims requiring an attending physician provider. CMS will advise providers of the new implementation date in the near future.

### CMS Revises Interim Guidance for Part B Rebilling

On April 19, 2013, CMS issued revised temporary instructions for billing for Part B services on types of bills 12x and 13x. This technical guidance, available [here](#), follows the March 13 CMS Ruling 1455-R, which establishes an interim process for hospitals to bill Medicare for Part B services following a denial of a claim for an inpatient admission as not reasonable and necessary.

### HHS Issues Guidance on Brokers and Agents in Exchange Marketplaces.

On May 1, the HHS Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIIO) issued guidance related to the role of brokers and agents in assisting consumers to enroll in health plans through Federally-facilitated exchanges, State Partnership exchanges, or State-based exchanges. Among the highlights, CMS will allow State-based exchanges to establish rules on how much insurers can pay brokers (either by direct compensation from the exchange or by having issuers continue to pay commissions), but the Federally-facilitated exchanges will not establish commission schedules or pay commissions directly to such brokers. The full HHS guidance document is available [here](#).

## Additional Reading

- *pcori*: [PCORI Awards \\$88.6 Million in Funding for Comparative Effectiveness Research Projects](#)
- *Kaiser Health News*: [A Shorter Exchange Application. But Is It Simpler?](#)
- *MedPage Today*: [Medicare: Cost-Cutters on Hold](#)
- *Senate Finance Committee*: [Finance Committee Approves Marilyn Tavenner to Lead Medicare, Medicaid](#)
- *Kaiser Health News*: [Harkin Lifts Hold On Tavenner Nomination To Lead CMS](#)
- *Kaiser Health News*: [Advocates Head to Court to Overturn Medicare Rules for Observation Care](#)
- *The Hill*: [Unified Medicare Benefit Would Save Billions, Study Says](#)

## Federal Register

CMS published a proposed rule revising the Incentive Reward Program provisions of 42 C.F.R. § 420.405 and certain provider enrollment requirements in 42 C.F.R. § 424, Subpart P. The proposed rule specifically modifies the reward amount for information regarding individuals or entities engaged in potentially fraudulent behavior from 10 percent of the overpayment or \$1,000,



whichever is less, to 15 percent of the final overpayment amount collected. In addition, the rule would expand CMS' bases for denying or revoking a provider or supplier's enrollment, including allowing revocation upon a determination that a provider or supplier has a "pattern or practice" of submitting claims that fail to meet Medicare requirements. Comments will be accepted until June 28, 2013. The proposed rule was published in the Federal Register on April 29, 2013, and is available [here](#).

CMS published a proposed rule that would increase Medicare reimbursement for hospice providers by 1.1% for fiscal year 2014. The rule would also amend the existing quality-reporting requirements for hospices and, beginning July 1, 2014, would require hospices to begin collecting and submitting new patient-data forms – called Hospice Item Sets – designed to measure certain aspects of patient care upon admission and discharge. Comments are due by July 9, 2013. The proposed rule is available [here](#) and will appear in the May 10 Federal Register.

IRS published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) regarding the health insurance premium tax credit made available by the Affordable Care Act and related statutes. The regulations generally provide guidance for determining whether health coverage under an eligible employer-sponsored plan provides minimum value (MV) and affects employers offering health coverage and their employees. Comments will be accepted through July 2, 2013. The NPRM is available [here](#) and appeared in the May 3 Federal Register.

FDA put on display a notice announcing and requesting comments regarding a regulation that would clarify how FDA determines the organizational entity within FDA assigned to have primary jurisdiction for the premarket review and regulation of products that are comprised of any combination of: (1) a drug and a device; (2) a device and a

biological product; (3) a biological product and a drug; or (4) a drug, a device, and a biological product. The regulation would also establish a procedure whereby an applicant could obtain an assignment or designation determination by submitting certain information to FDA. Comments are due July 1, 2013. The notice is available [here](#) and appeared in the May 2 Federal Register.



## For More Information



For questions regarding any of the issues covered in this Alert, please contact:

- Matthew J. Murer | *Practice Area Chair* | 312.873.3603 | [mmurer@polsinelli.com](mailto:mmurer@polsinelli.com)
- Jane E. Arnold | *Practice Area Vice Chair* | 314.622.6687 | [jarnold@polsinelli.com](mailto:jarnold@polsinelli.com)
- Colleen M. Faddick | *Practice Area Vice Chair* | 303.583.8201 | [cfaddick@polsinelli.com](mailto:cfaddick@polsinelli.com)
- Alan K. Parver | *Practice Area Vice Chair and Senior Editor* | 202.626.8306 | [aparver@polsinelli.com](mailto:aparver@polsinelli.com)
- Sara Iams | *Author* | 202.626.8361 | [siams@polsinelli.com](mailto:siams@polsinelli.com)
- Tennille Syrstad | *Author* | 303.583.8263 | [tsyrstad@polsinelli.com](mailto:tsyrstad@polsinelli.com)



Matthew J. Murer  
Practice Area Chair  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3603  
mmurer@polsinelli.com

Jane E. Arnold  
Practice Area Vice-Chair  
*St. Louis*  
314.622.6687  
jarnold@polsinelli.com

Colleen M. Faddick  
Practice Area Vice-Chair  
*Denver*  
303.583.8201  
cfaddick@polsinelli.com

Alan K. Parver  
Practice Area Vice-Chair  
*Washington, D.C.*  
202.626.8306  
aparver@polsinelli.com

Lisa J. Acevedo  
*Chicago*  
312.463.6322  
lacevedo@polsinelli.com

Janice A. Anderson  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3623  
janderson@polsinelli.com

Douglas K. Anning  
*Kansas City*  
816.360.4188  
danning@polsinelli.com

Jack M. Beal  
*Kansas City*  
816.360.4216  
jbeal@polsinelli.com

Cynthia E. Berry  
*Washington, D.C.*  
202.626.8333  
ceberry@polsinelli.com

Mary Beth Blake  
*Kansas City*  
816.360.4284  
mblake@polsinelli.com

Mary Clare Bonaccorsi  
*Chicago*  
32.463.6310  
mbonaccorsi@polsinelli.com

Gerald W. Brenneman  
*Kansas City*  
816.360.4221  
gbrenneman@polsinelli.com

Teresa A. Brooks  
*Washington, D.C.*  
202.626.8304  
tbrooks@polsinelli.com

Jared O. Brooner  
*St. Joseph*  
816.364.2117  
jbrooner@polsinelli.com

Anika D. Clifton  
*Denver*  
303.583.8275  
aclifton@polsinelli.com

Anne M. Cooper  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3606  
acooper@polsinelli.com

Lauren P. DeSantis-Then  
*Washington, D.C.*  
202.626.8323  
ldesantis@polsinelli.com

S. Jay Dobbs  
*St. Louis*  
314.552.6847  
jdobbs@polsinelli.com

Thomas M. Donohoe  
*Denver*  
303.583.8257  
tdonohoe@polsinelli.com

Cavan K. Doyle  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3685  
cdoyle@polsinelli.com

Meredith A. Duncan  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3602  
mduncan@polsinelli.com

Erin Fleming Dunlap  
*St. Louis*  
314.622.6661  
edunlap@polsinelli.com

Fredric J. Entin  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3601  
fentin@polsinelli.com

Jennifer L. Evans  
*Denver*  
303.583.8211  
jevans@polsinelli.com

T. Jeffrey Fitzgerald  
*Denver*  
303.583.8205  
jfitzgerald@polsinelli.com

Michael T. Flood  
*Washington, D.C.*  
202.626.8633  
mflood@polsinelli.com

Kara M. Friedman  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3639  
kfriedman@polsinelli.com

Rebecca L. Frigy  
*St. Louis*  
314.889.7013  
rfrigy@polsinelli.com

Asher D. Funk  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3635  
afunk@polsinelli.com

Randy S. Gerber  
*St. Louis*  
314.889.7038  
rgerber@polsinelli.com

Mark H. Goran  
*St. Louis*  
314.622.6686  
mgroan@polsinelli.com

Linas J. Grikis  
*Chicago*  
312.873.2946  
lgrikis@polsinelli.com

Lauren Z. Groebe  
*Kansas City*  
816.572.4588  
lgroebe@polsinelli.com

Brett B. Heger  
*Dallas*  
314.622.6664  
bheger@polsinelli.com

Jonathan K. Henderson  
*Dallas*  
214.397.0016  
jhenderson@polsinelli.com

Margaret H. Hillman  
*St. Louis*  
314.622.6663  
mhillman@polsinelli.com

Jay M. Howard  
*Kansas City*  
816.360.4202  
jhoward@polsinelli.com

Cullin B. Hughes  
*Kansas City*  
816.360.4121  
chughes@polsinelli.com

Sara V. Iams  
*Washington, D.C.*  
202.626.8361  
siams@polsinelli.com

George Jackson, III  
*Chicago*  
312.873.3657  
gjackson@polsinelli.com





Bruce A. Johnson  
*Denver*  
 303.583.8203  
 bjohnson@polsinelli.com

Lindsay R. Kessler  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.2984  
 lkessler@polsinelli.com

Joan B. Killgore  
*St. Louis*  
 314.889.7008  
 jkillgore@polsinelli.com

Anne. L. Kleindienst  
*Phoenix*  
 602.650.2392  
 akleindienst@polsinelli.com

Chad K. Knight  
*Dallas*  
 214.397.0017  
 cknight@polsinelli.com

Sara R. Kocher  
*St. Louis*  
 314.889.7081  
 skocher@polsinelli.com

Dana M. Lach  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.2993  
 dlach@polsinelli.com

Jason T. Lundy  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3604  
 jlundy@polsinelli.com

Ryan M. McAteer  
*Los Angeles*  
 310.203.5368  
 rmcaateer@polsinelli.com

Jane K. McCahill  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3607  
 jmccahill@polsinelli.com

Ann C. McCullough  
*Denver*  
 303.583.8202  
 amccullough@polsinelli.com

Ryan J. Mize  
*Kansas City*  
 816.572.4441  
 rmize@polsinelli.com

Aileen T. Murphy  
*Denver*  
 303.583.8210  
 amurphy@polsinelli.com

Hannah L. Neshek  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3671  
 hneshek@polsinelli.com

Gerald A. Niederman  
*Denver*  
 303.583.8204  
 gniederman@polsinelli.com

Edward F. Novak  
*Phoenix*  
 602.650.2020  
 enovak@polsinelli.com

Thomas P. O'Donnell  
*Kansas City*  
 816.360.4173  
 todonnell@polsinelli.com

Aaron E. Perry  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3683  
 aperry@polsinelli.com

Mitchell D. Raup  
*Washington, D.C.*  
 202.626.8352  
 mraup@polsinelli.com

Daniel S. Reinberg  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3636  
 dreinberg@polsinelli.com

Donna J. Ruzicka  
*St. Louis*  
 314.622.6660  
 druzicka@polsinelli.com

Charles P. Sheets  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3605  
 csheets@polsinelli.com

Kathryn M. Stalmack  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3608  
 kstalmack@polsinelli.com

Leah Mendelsohn Stone  
*Washington, D.C.*  
 202.626.8329  
 lstone@polsinelli.com

Chad C. Stout  
*Kansas City*  
 816.572.4479  
 cstout@polsinelli.com

Steven K. Stranne  
*Washington, D.C.*  
 202.626.8313  
 sstranne@polsinelli.com

William E. Swart  
*Dallas*  
 214.397.0015  
 bswart@polsinelli.com

Tennille A. Syrstad  
*Denver*  
 312.873.3661  
 etremmel@polsinelli.com

Emily C. Tremmel  
*Chicago*  
 303.583.8263  
 tysrstad@polsinelli.com

Andrew B. Turk  
*Phoenix*  
 602.650.2097  
 abturk@polsinelli.com

Joseph T. Van Leer  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3665  
 jvanleer@polsinelli.com

Andrew J. Voss  
*St. Louis*  
 314.622.6673  
 avoss@polsinelli.com

Joshua M. Weaver  
*Dallas*  
 214.661.5514  
 jweaver@polsinelli.com

Emily Wey  
*Denver*  
 303.583.8255  
 ewey@polsinelli.com

Mark R. Woodbury  
*St. Joseph*  
 816.364.2117  
 mwoodbury@polsinelli.com

Janet E. Zeigler  
*Chicago*  
 312.873.3679  
 jzeigler@polsinelli.com

### Additional Health Care Public Policy Professionals

Julius W. Hobson, Jr.  
*Washington, D.C.*  
 202.626.8354  
 jhobson@polsinelli.com

Harry Sporidis  
*Washington, D.C.*  
 202.626.8349  
 hsporidis@polsinelli.com



## About Polsinelli's Health Care Group

---

The Health Care group has vast national resources and strong Washington, D.C. connections. With highly trained, regulatory-experienced attorneys practicing health care law in offices across the country, we are familiar with the full range of hospital-physician lifecycle and business issues confronting hospitals today. A mix of talented, bright, young attorneys and seasoned attorneys, well known in the health care industry, make up our robust health care team.

Polsinelli is the 10th largest health care law firm in the nation, according to the 2010 rankings from Modern Healthcare magazine. The publication annually ranks law firms based on their total membership in the American Health Lawyers Association. With one of the fastest-growing health care practices in the nation, Polsinelli has the depth and experience to provide a broad spectrum of health care law services.

## About Polsinelli

---

Serving corporations, institutions, entrepreneurs, and individuals, our attorneys build enduring relationships by providing legal counsel informed by business insight to help clients achieve their objectives. This commitment to understanding our clients' businesses has helped us become the fastest-growing, full-service law firm in America\*. With more than 640 attorneys in 16 cities, our national law firm is a recognized leader in the industries driving our growth, including health care, financial services, real estate, life sciences and technology, energy and business litigation. The firm can be found online at [www.polsinelli.com](http://www.polsinelli.com). Real Challenges. Real Answers. SM

Polsinelli PC. In California, Polsinelli LLP.

\* Inc. Magazine, September 2012

## About this Publication

---

If you know of anyone who you believe would like to receive our e-mail updates, or if you would like to be removed from our e-distribution list, please contact Kim Auther via e-mail at [KAuther@polsinelli.com](mailto:KAuther@polsinelli.com).

*Polsinelli provides this material for informational purposes only. The material provided herein is general and is not intended to be legal advice. Nothing herein should be relied upon or used without consulting a lawyer to consider your specific circumstances, possible changes to applicable laws, rules and regulations and other legal issues. Receipt of this material does not establish an attorney-client relationship.*

*Polsinelli is very proud of the results we obtain for our clients, but you should know that past results do not guarantee future results; that every case is different and must be judged on its own merits; and that the choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements.*

Polsinelli PC. In California, Polsinelli LLP.

