



FENWICK & WEST LLP
Trends in Terms of Venture Financings
In Silicon Valley
(Fourth Quarter 2009)

Background – We analyzed the terms of venture financings for 112 companies headquartered in Silicon Valley that reported raising money in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Overview of Fenwick & West Results

- Up rounds exceeded down rounds in 4Q09 47% to 30%, with 23% of rounds flat. This was an improvement over 3Q09 when up rounds exceeded down rounds 41% to 36%, with 23% flat. This was also the second quarter in a row in which up rounds exceeded down rounds. The improvement in 4Q09 was driven primarily by financings of internet/digital media companies, and software companies (which includes SaaS companies), which had 60% and 65% up rounds, respectively.
- The Fenwick & West Venture Capital Barometer™ showed an average price increase of 19% in 4Q09, compared to 11% in 3Q09. This was the second quarter in a row in which the Barometer was positive. We note that the Barometer would have been up only 12% in 4Q09 except for one financing that sold stock at a very significant increase to its prior round.

Overview of Other Industry Data

Third party reports on the venture industry generally reported a mildly improving venture investment and liquidity environment in 4Q09, but a poor 2009 overall. Detailed results are as follows:

- Dow Jones VentureSource (“VentureSource”) reported that the amount invested by venture capitalists in the U.S. in 4Q09 was approximately \$6.3 billion in 743 deals, a 17% increase in dollars from the \$5.4 billion invested in 629 deals in 3Q09. For all of 2009, a total of \$21.4 billion was invested in 2,489 deals, a 31% decrease in dollars from 2008, when \$31 billion was invested in 2,817 deals. VentureSource financing press release.
- VentureSource reported 86 acquisitions of venture backed companies in the U.S. in 4Q09, for a total of \$7.3 billion, a close to three-fold increase in dollars from the \$2.6 billion paid in 84 acquisitions in 3Q09. For all of 2009 VentureSource reported 326 acquisitions for a total of \$16.2 billion, a 37% drop in dollar terms from the \$25.6 billion paid in 380 acquisitions in 2008. VentureSource liquidity press release.
- VentureSource reported 3 venture backed IPOs in 4Q09 raising a total of \$220 million, compared to 2 IPOs raising \$450 million in 3Q09. For all of 2009 VentureSource reported 8 IPOs raising \$904 million, a 64% increase in dollars from the 7 IPOs raising \$551 million in 2008. VentureSource noted that there were 25 venture backed companies in registration at year end 2009. VentureSource liquidity press release.

- The MoneyTree™ Report by PricewaterhouseCoopers and the National Venture Capital Association based on data from Thomson Reuters (the “MoneyTree Report”) noted that 2008-2009 were the slowest consecutive years for venture-backed IPOs since 1974-75. [MoneyTree liquidity press release](#).
- The MoneyTree Report also reported that venture capital funds raised approximately \$3.8 billion in 4Q09, an 80% increase from \$2.1 billion raised in 3Q09. For all of 2009 venture capital funds raised \$15.2 billion, a 47% decline from \$28.6 billion raised in 2008.
- The Silicon Valley Venture Capitalists Confidence Index™ produced by Professor Mark Cannice at the University of San Francisco reported the confidence level of Silicon Valley venture capitalists at 3.48 on a 5 point scale, which is a slight increase from the 3Q09 results of 3.37.
- The National Venture Capital Association’s annual survey of venture capitalists concluded that “the venture industry will begin to see gradual increases in investment levels and exit transactions in 2010, but the asset class will continue to shrink in size over the next five years. Specific areas of optimism include clean technology investing, growth equity and later stage companies, and ongoing opportunities overseas.” [NVCA venture capitalist survey](#).
- Nasdaq was up 7.5% in 4Q09, but is down 7% in 1Q10 through February 9, 2010.

Detailed Fenwick & West Results

Financing Round – The financings broke down according to the following rounds:

Series	Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
A	23%	17%	8%	13%	16%	16%	15%	17%
B	22%	31%	27%	28%	26%	26%	31%	29%
C	21%	19%	35%	17%	29%	28%	20%	22%
D	17%	16%	13%	20%	14%	17%	19%	13%
E and higher	17%	17%	17%	22%	15%	13%	15%	19%

Price Change – The direction of price changes for companies receiving financing this quarter, compared to their previous round, were as follows:

Price Change	Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
Down	30%	36%	46%	46%	33%	12%	13%	19%
Flat	23%	23%	22%	29%	13%	15%	19%	9%
Up	47%	41%	32%	25%	54%	73%	68%	72%

The percentage of down rounds by series were as follows:

Series	Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
B	24%	19%	16%	38%	21%	7%	3%	16%
C	25%	45%	51%	50%	43%	14%	23%	25%
D	47%	56%	67%	39%	22%	12%	14%	29%
E and higher	26%	39%	67%	60%	45%	15%	19%	10%

The Fenwick & West Venture Capital Barometer™ (Magnitude of Price Change) –Set forth below is (i) for up rounds, the average per share percentage increase over the previous round, (ii) for down rounds, the average per share percentage decrease over the previous round, and (iii) the overall average per share percentage change from the previous round for all rounds taken together. Such information is broken down by series for Q4'09 and is provided on an aggregate basis for comparison purposes for the prior four quarters. In calculating the “net result” for all rounds, “flat rounds” are included. For purposes of these calculations, all financings are considered equal, and accordingly the results are not weighted for the amount raised in a financing.

Percent Change	Series B	Series C	Series D	Series E and higher	Combined total for all Series for Q4'09	Combined total for all Series for Q3'09	Combined total for all Series for Q2'09	Combined total for all Series for Q1'09	Combined total for all Series for Q4'08
Up rounds	+74%	+64%	+62%	+98%	+73%	+77%	+61%	+82%	+80%
Down rounds	-37%	-43%	-55%	-66%	-50%	-57%	-54%	-52%	-54%
Net result	+33%	+29%	-3%	+19%	+19%	+11%	-6%	-3%	+25%

Liquidation Preference – Senior liquidation preferences were used in the following percentages of financings:

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
41%	49%	41%	45%	41%	45%	38%	47%

The percentage of senior liquidation preference by series was as follows:

Series	Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
B	24%	38%	17%	35%	30%	35%	21%	38%
C	50%	40%	52%	38%	35%	48%	32%	46%
D	58%	63%	50%	56%	61%	59%	62%	36%
E and higher	37%	67%	53%	55%	50%	38%	50%	70%

Multiple Liquidation Preferences - The percentage of senior liquidation preferences that were multiple preferences were as follows:

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
19%	21%	24%	28%	23%	16%	11%	17%

Of the senior liquidation preferences that included a multiple preference, the ranges of the multiples broke down as follows:

Range of multiples	Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
>1x- 2x	57%	89%	75%	80%	70%	50%	75%	100%
>2x – 3x	43%	11%	25%	10%	20%	50%	25%	0%
> 3x	0%	0%	0%	10%	10%	0%	0%	0%

Participation in Liquidation – The percentages of financings that provided for participation were as follows:

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
51%	53%	49%	51%	57%	62%	57%	60%

Of the financings that had participation, the percentages that were not capped were as follows:

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
54%	60%	67%	60%	51%	51%	55%	56%

Cumulative Dividends – Cumulative dividends were provided for in the following percentages of financings:

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
4%	7%	2%	10%	4%	4%	6%	5%

Antidilution Provisions – The uses of antidilution provisions in the financings were as follows:

Type of Provision	Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
Ratchet	6%	3%	3%	3%	2%	7%	1%	2%
Weighted Average	94%	96%	97%	97%	98%	93%	99%	98%
None	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Pay-to-Play Provisions – The use of pay-to-play provisions in the financings was as follows:

Percentages of financings having pay-to-play provisions.

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
10%	15%	15%	14%	15%	12%	7%	6%

Note that anecdotal evidence indicates that companies are increasingly using contractual “pull up” provisions instead of charter based “pay to play” provisions. These two types of provisions have similar economic effect but are implemented differently. The above information includes some, but likely not all, pull up provisions, and accordingly may understate the use of these provisions.

The pay-to-play provisions provided for conversion of non-participating investors’ preferred stock into common stock or shadow preferred stock, in the percentages set forth below:

- Common Stock.

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
80%	93%	100%	73%	85%	60%	87%	67%

- Shadow Preferred Stock.

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
20%	7%	0%	27%	15%	40%	13%	33%

Redemption – The percentages of financings providing for mandatory redemption or redemption at the option of the venture capitalist were as follows:

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
21%	19%	20%	24%	20%	23%	29%	20%

Corporate Reorganizations – The percentages of post-Series A financings involving a corporate reorganization were as follows:

Q4'09	Q3'09	Q2'09	Q1'09	Q4'08	Q3'08	Q2'08	Q1'08
5%	8%	10%	10%	13%	4%	4%	5%

For additional information about this report please contact Barry Kramer at 650-335-7278; bkramer@fenwick.com or Michael Patrick at 650-335-7273; mpatrick@fenwick.com at Fenwick & West. The contents of this report are not intended, and should not be considered, as legal advice or opinion.

To be placed on an email list for future editions of this survey please go to our [VC Survey sign up page](#).

©2010 Fenwick & West LLP